# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE

TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT

**AUSTIN, TEXAS** 

**VOLUME XIV** 

# THE STATE LAW LIBRARY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1

BEFORE THE

3

TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT

AUSTIN, TEXAS

11

5

7

8

IN THE MATTER OF HSR NO. 161 CONTINUED HEARING -JUDGE O. P. CARRILLO, DUVAL COUNTY, TEXAS.

9

10

### CONTINUED HEARING

### VOLUME XIV

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

BE IT REMEMBERED that on Tuesday, July 15, 1975, beginning at 10:00 o'clock a.m., in the Old Supreme Courtroom, State Capitol Building, Austin, Texas, the above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT, the HONORABLE L. DEWITT HALE, CHAIRMAN, Presiding, and the following proceedings were reported by Hickman Reporting Service, 205 West Ninth, Austin, Texas, 78701.

21

22/

23

24

25

# HRS HRS

## HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE

THE **QUALITY** REPORTERS Austin. Texas **476-5363** 

1< FT 8782

C3T4

1	MEMBERS PRESENT
2	REPRESENTATIVE HALE - CHAIRMAN
3	REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY - VICE CHAIRMAN
4	REPRESENTATIVE CHAVEZ
5	REPRESENTATIVE DONALDSON
6	REPRESENTATIVE HENDRICKS
7	REPRESENTATIVE KASTER
8	REPRESENTATIVE LANEY
9	REPRESENTATIVE THOMPSON
10	REPRESENTATIVE NABERS
11	
12	APPEARANCES
13	FOR HOUSE SIMPLE RESOLUTION NO. 161
14	REPRESENTATIVE TERRY CANALES, P. O. Box 730,
15	Premont, Texas, 78375.
16	FOR THE COMMITTEE
17	FOR THE COMMITTEE
18	MR. TERRY DOYLE
19	FOR THE RESPONDENT, JUDGE O. P. CARRILLO
20	MS. JAN FOX, Mitchell, George and Belt, 1122
21	Colorado, Westgate Building, Austin, Texas 78701.
22	
23	FOR THE WITNESS, MR. J. H. SAENZ
24	MR. ELILIO DAVILA, Laredo, Texas.
25	

FOURTEENTH SESSION, TUESDAY, JULY 15, 1975   14-04	,	INDEX	
OPENING REMARKS - CHAIRMAN HALE  WITNESSES CALLED BY THE COMMITTEE  SGT. SILVERIO VALADEZ  Hale Doyle 14-11 Maloney 14-23 Hendricks 14-24 Donaldson 14-25 Chavez 14-26 Doyle 14-30  MR. AURELIO CORREA Hale Hendricks 14-30  MR. AURELIO CORREA Hale Hendricks 14-77 Kaster 14-79 Thompson 14-81 Donaldson 14-85 Hendricks 14-99 Chavez 14-98 Doyle 14-99 Canales 14-116 Hale 14-117 Nabers 14-116  LUNCHEON RECESS  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75  LUNCHEON  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75  14-04	2	FOURTEENTH SESSION, TUESDAY, JULY 15, 1975	14-04
SGT. SILVERIO VALADEZ    SGT. SILVERIO VALADEZ	3	1	14-04
SGT. SILVERIO VALADEZ    Hale			
Doyle 14-11 Maloney 14-23 Hendricks 14-24 Kaster 14-24 Donaldson 14-25 Chavez 14-26 Doyle 14-28 Hendricks 14-30  MR. AURELIO CORREA Hale 14-33 Doyle 14-34 Hendricks 14-76 Nabers 14-77 Kaster 14-79 Thompson 14-80 Chevez 14-81 Donaldson 14-85 Hendricks 14-91 Maloney 14-94 Chavez 14-98 Doyle 14-99 Canales 14-112 Nabers 14-116  LUNCHEON RECESS 14-118  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04	`		Ì
Maloney	5		
Hendricks   14-24			
Kaster	١ °		
B   Donaldson   14-25   Chavez   14-26   Doyle   14-28   Hendricks   14-30    MR. AURELIO CORREA   Hale   14-33   Doyle   14-34   Hendricks   14-76   Nabers   14-77    Kaster   14-79   Thompson   14-80   Chevez   14-81   Donaldson   14-85   Hendricks   14-91   Maloney   14-94    Chavez   14-98   Doyle   14-99   Canales   14-102   Hale   14-112    Nabers   14-116   Townsor   14-116    Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman   Hale dated 7/10/75   14-04   Townsor   14-04   Townsor   Table 11-04    Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman   Hale dated 7/10/75   14-04   Townsor   Table 11-04   To	_		
Chavez	′		I .
Doyle 14-28 Hendricks 14-30  MR. AURELIO CORREA Hale 14-33 Doyle 14-34 Hendricks 14-76 Nabers 14-77 Kaster 14-79 Thompson 14-80 Chevez 14-81 Donaldson 14-85 Hendricks 14-91 Maloney 14-94 Chavez 14-98 Doyle 14-99 Canales 14-102 Hale 14-116 Nabers 14-116  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75  Doyle 14-04			
MR. AURELIO CORREA    Hale	•		1
MR. AURELIO CORREA    Hale			I
Doyle	"	Heliditeks	14-30
Doyle		MR. AURELTO CORREA Halo	14-33
Hendricks	10		
Nabers		I ·	
Kaster	'' ]		
Thompson 14-80 Chevez 14-81 Donaldson 14-85 Hendricks 14-91 Maloney 14-94 Chavez 14-98 Doyle 14-99 Canales 14-102 Hale 14-112 Nabers 14-118  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04		<b>↑</b>	
Chevez	12.		
Donaldson	.,		Į.
Hendricks	17		I
Maloney	., 1		
Chavez	14		
Doyle			I
Canales 14-102 Hale 14-112 Nabers 14-116  LUNCHEON RECESS 14-118  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04	15		
Hale 14-112 Nabers 14-116  LUNCHEON RECESS 14-118  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04			
Nabers 14-116  LUNCHEON RECESS 14-118  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04	16		
LUNCHEON RECESS  14-118  FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  20  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75  14-04			
FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04	17	Madels	14-110
FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENTIFIED  Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04	18	LUNCHEON RECESS	14-118
Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04	19	FOR THE COMMITTEE TANK TARRY TO THE	
Exhibit 73 Letter from Mitchell to Chairman Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04		FOR THE COMMITTEE EXHIBIT INDEX IDENT	TETED
Hale dated 7/10/75 14-04	20		
11	21		
	,,	Hale dated //10/75	14-04
Exhibit 74 Letter from Hale to Mitchell		Exhibit 74 Letter from Hale to Mitchell	
dated 7/10/75 14-05	23	dated 7/10/75	14-05
Exhibit 75 Log of Roberto Elizondo's attendance	24		1/ 00
at National Guard meetings 14-23	25	at National Guard meetings	14-23

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come to order.

4 order

The Clerk will call the roll.

(The Clerk called the roll.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: There is a quorum present.

(Gavel!)

18

19

20

21

22

Members of the Committee, at the last meeting the Chair read to you an exchange of correspondence with Mr. Mitchell. Howeverl, I feel that it might be pertinent to the record to get that correspondence officially into the record other than just to the members of the Committee. For that reason, the Chair would like to put into evidence a letter dated July 10, 1975, addressed to the Chair from Mr. Arthur Mitchell and signed by Jan Fox, and put that in as an exhibit. That is a letter in which Mr. Mitchell requests the hearing last week to be postponed until Tuesday, July the 15th in order that he could be here to represent his client.

(The letter referred to was marked "Exhibit 73," for identification.)

23 CHAIRMAN HALE: In response to that letter,

by letter dated July 10, the Chair advised Mr. Mitchell that at his request the hearing that was scheduled last

week would be postponed until Tuesday, July the 15th, and 1 in the same letter we again, for about the third or fourth 2 time, made known to Mr. Mitchell and with a copy to Judge 3 Carrillo that if he cared to testify before this 4 Committee his testimony would be welcome. 5 The Chair would like to put the reply letter into 6 7 evidence. (The letter referred to was 8 marked "Exhibit 74," for 9 identification.) 10 CHAIRMAN HALE: On the instructions of the Committee at our last meeting, the Chair issued 11 subpoenas for six people to appear here today for the 12 13 purpose of testifying before the Committee. And I believe that all of these people are here. 14 The Chair would like to see for sure. 15 Sgt. Silverio Valadez? 16 MR. VALADEZ: Here. 17 CHAIRMAN HALE: And Mr. Aurelio Correa? 18 MR. CORREA: Here. 19 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hector Zertuche? 20 MR. HECTOR ZERTUCHE: Here. 21 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Arturo Zertuche? 22 MR. ARTURO ZERTUCHE: Here. 23 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. J. H. Saenz? 24 MR. SAENZ: 25 Here.

2

3 4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Zenaida Montemayor?

Here. MRS. MONTEMAYOR:

CHAIRMAN HALE: And Mr. Ramiro Carrillo?

MR. RAMIRO CARRILLO:

CHAIRMAN HALE: On behalf of the

Committee, the Chair expresses appreciation to all of you for your presence here in response to the subpoenas. are glad to have you.

I think each of the witnesses has signed, with the possible exception of Mr. Carrillo, has signed one of these witness affidavit forms. Let the Chair also state to each of you who are under subpoena that you are entitled to mileage and reasonable expenses for your trip up here. If you will contact anyone connected with the Committee: the Clerk here, Mr. Johnson, or our Committee Clerk, they will arrange for you to have the forms that you can fill out and claim your reimbursement for your travel expenses.

Is there any other business to come before the Committee before we proceed with the taking of testimony?

(No response.)

The Chair did receive a CHAIRMAN HALE: call from Mr. Mitchell's office this morning. The note is dated 8:30 a.m. However, I did not take the call until

some time subsequent to that. And the Chair was 1 advised that Mr. Mitchell was unavoidably detained on his 2 out-of-town trip and would not be back into Austin until 3 this afternoon; that as a result of his not being here 4 that in all probability Mr. Ramiro Carrillo and possibly 5 one or more of the Zertuches who he represents would 6 probably not want to testify until he was here. 7 I understand that he will be here late this afternoon or possibly 8 for the Committee purposes tomorrow morning. 9

The Chair took the liberty of advising Mr.

Mitchell's office that the Chair, as one member of the

Committee, was not disposed to delay these hearings any

further waiting for Mr. Mitchell. But the Chair will

leave it up to the Committee. If any member of the

Committee desires to make a motion to postpone these

hearings for that reason, the Chair would entertain that

motion at this time.

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: I take it no one cares to make such a motion.

Are you Jan Fox?

MS. FOX: I certainly am.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Have you anything further to add to that, Ms. Fox?

AUSTIN, TEXAS

MS. FOX: No. I believe you covered it all.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 5

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HALE:} \textbf{This is Jan Fox, who is}$  associated with Mr. Mitchell.

MS. FOX: Excuse me, sir. The only other thing I would have to say is that Judge Carrillo is available to be before the Committee, but he has asked me to inform the Committee also that he chooses to withhold his appearance until Mr. Mitchell can make it to town.

CHAIRMAN HALE: We can understand that, and we appreciate your giving us that information.

At this time then, the Chair would ask Sergeant Silverio Valadez if you would, please, to take the witness stand.

First you may be seated. Let me give you a warning.

Sergeant Valadez, I'm sure it's probably not necessary; you're probably familiar with these types of proceedings, but it's my duty as Chairman to advise you of your rights with respect to your testimony.

You will be sworn to tell the truth and your failure to do so could subject you to a prosecution for perjury.

After you have completed your statement, members of the Committee may ask questions concerning your testimony.

You must answer these questions and your refusal to do so could subject you to punishment for contempt. You can refuse to answer questions only on the ground that such

1 answer might incriminate you, or tend to incriminate you, 2 in some way. 3 You are privileged to have an attorney of your selection sit with and advise you as to your answers if 4 you desire. The Chair will attempt to protect your rights 5 at all times. 6 7 Do you understand the advice I have given you? 8 SGT. VALADEZ: Yes, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN HALE: Are you now ready to 10 testify? 11 SGT. VALADEZ: Yes, sir. 12 CHAIRMAN HALE: Would you stand and raise 13 your right hand. 14 (The witness was sworn by the Chairman.) 15 SGT. SILVERIO VALADEZ 16 was called as a witness by the Committee and, being duly 17 sworn by the Chairman, testified as follows: 18 19 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN HALE 20 21 Would you please state your name. Q Sir, my name is 1st Sgt. Silverio Valadez. 22 Α Are you a member on active duty with the 23 Q Armed Forces of the United States? 24 No. sir. I am a member of the Texas Army 25 Α

1	National Guard and I'm a full-time technician for the
2	Guard.
3	Q Where are you stationed?
4	A I am stationed in Alice, Texas.
5	Q And you are here in response to a subpoena?
6	A Correct, sir.
7	Q And I believe that the subpoena asked you to
8	bring certain records?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q These records were concerning the attendance,
11	the morning reports that would show the attendance at
12	various meetings of your unit?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Is that correct?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q Fine. Do you have those records with you?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q That we requested you to bring?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q We appreciate you bringing them.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Doyle, do you want to
23	pick up from there?
24	MR. DOYLE: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Valadez, would you inform the Committee of what your functions are as a member of that unit?

A Yes, sir. I'm the 1st Sergeant of the unit.

Also, I am, like I said, I am the full-time technician.

I work on a full-time basis.

Q So, you're a member of the unit and you are also an employee of the unit?

A Yes, sir. I'm employed.

Q You're one of the hired hands?

Yes, sir.

Q And in the course and scope of your employment for the unit, do you maintain and keep the records that we have asked you to bring this morning?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do those records reflect that one Roberto Elizondo is a member of that unit, or was a member?

A Was a member of the unit. Yes, sir.

Q Do your records reflect when he joined?

A He joined on 17 April 1969.

Q April the 17th, 1969?

A Yes, sir.

Q And is he still a member?

A No, sir. He is not a member any more.

Q When did he cease to be a member?

Austin, sir, and they requested-well, they told me that

if you needed records that they could make the records for

24

you there at the Adjutant General Department. 1 That's fine. They can send us certified copies? Q 2 Yes, sir. 3 Q Okay. That will be fine. 4 Now, the questions that I'm fixing to ask you 5 about pertain to a specific period of time. Have you 6 looked over your records? 7 Yes, sir. 8 Q With that period of time in mind? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Q Was Roberto Elizondo a member of that unit 11 in January of 1972? 12 A Yes, sir, he was. 13 Did that unit have a meeting or a drill Q 14 during the month of January 1972? 15 Yes, sir. A meeting was held on the 8th and 16 9th of January of 1972. 17 Is that a weekend? Q 18 Α That is a weekend. Yes, sir. 19 Would that have been a Saturday and Sunday? Q 20 It would have been a Saturday and Sunday. Α 21 Where would that meeting have been held? Do Q 22 your records reflect that, or were they all held in the 23 same place? 24 The meeting was held at that time, but 25

25

Elizondo was a member or was attending an NCO Academy at that time, and he was not present for that particular drill. He was present on the 4th and 5th of December, '71 in Robstown.

- Q In Robstown, Texas?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And the records of your outfit indicate that he was present at Robstown on December the 4th and 5th?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Was that in lieu of the January meeting?
  - A That is true, sir.
  - Q I see.

Do your records reflect that he attended a meeting somewhere in the month of January 1972?

A No, sir. The thing was that back in September of '71 when they originally started the NCO Academy, they had already performed two assemblies during that month and they were already one assembly ahead. So, in the month of December he will have automatically made the one for January.

Q I see.

- A And then in February he would have made—the one in January, he made it for the one in February.
  - Q Okay. On the 15th and 16th of January?
  - A Of January he was again at Robstown attending the

unit. 1 Would you have gone down like early Saturday 2 morning and come back Sunday night, or something like 3 that? 4 Come back Sunday noon. 5 Α Sunday noon? Q 6 7 Α Yes, sir. Q How about April of '72? 8 Α In April of '72 he made that meeting in 9 Robstown again on the 8th and 9th of April in lieu of the 10 15th and 16th. 11 Where is Robstown? 12 Q Robstown is located 28 miles east of Alice. 13 Q Sergeant, how far is Robstown from San Diego? 14 About 38 miles. 15 Thank you. Now, did he attend a meeting with Q 16 the unit in April of '72? 17 Yes, sir. On the 29th and 30th of April he Α 18 attended a meeting with the unit. 19 Okay. And where was that held? Q 20 That was held there in Alice. Α 21 How far is Alice from San Diego? Q 22 Α Ten miles. 23 How about in June of '72? Q 24 In June, on the 3rd and 4th, we held another 25 Α

1	assembly there in Alice, and he was also present.
2	Q And that was again a weekend meeting?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Did you have a summer camp that summer?
5	A Yes, sir. We had a summer camp on the 17th of
6	June through the 2nd of July, but Mr. Elizondo was not
7	present at that particular period. He attended on the
. 8	13th of August of '72 to the 26th of August. He attended
9	the NCO Academy which was held at Fort Wolters, Texas.
10	Q Where is Fort Wolters? Or do you know?
11	A I don't know, sir. It is close to Lubbock—
12	somewhere around there.
13	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mineral Wells.
14	A Mineral Wells?
- 15	Q Does Mineral Wells sound familiar to you?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q Did he attend a meeting in July of '72?
18	A In July of '72 he attended a meeting on the
- 19	15th and 16th of July.
20	Q Was that at Alice?
21	A That was at Alice.
22	Q And in August?
23	A In August he attended a meeting on the 12th
24	and he was reported to annual training on the 13th.
25	Q For two weeks?

1	Q And in September did he attend a meeting in
2	Alice?
3	A In September he attended on the 9th and 10th of
á	September.
4	Q How about October?
6	A In October on the 14th and 15th.
7	Q November?
8	A The 4th and 5th of November.
9	Q Both of these meetings in Alice, both weekend
10	type meetings?
11	A No, sir. I don't have the information there.
12	I would have to go back and find out whether they were
13	over night or they were just—
14	Q But you did have a meeting on those two days
15	and your records reflect that he was present?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q How about in December?
18	A On the 9th and 10th of December.
19	Q And your records reflect that he was present?
20	A He was present. Right.
21	Q And in January of '73?
22	A On the 20th and 21st of January of '73.
23	Q And your records reflect that Mr. Elizondo was
24	present for those meetings?
25	A Yes, sir
	<b>1</b>

		Valadez - Doyle 14-19
1	Q	And how about February?
2	A	On the 10th and 11th of February.
3	Q	And in March?
4	A	In March he was there. We had a meeting on
5	the 17th	and 18th, and he was present.
6	Q	And April?
7	A	The 7th and 8th, April '73.
8	Q	He was present again?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	How about in May?
11	A	May on the 5th and 6th, '73.
12	Q	He was present again?
13	A	He was also present. Yes, sir.
14	Q	Did you have a summer camp that summer, the
15	summer o	f '73?
16	A	Yes, sir. We had our summer camp 17 June to
17	1 July.	t ·
18	Q	Was Mr. Elizondo present for that summer camp?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Where did it take place?
21	A	At Fort Chaffee, Arkansas.
22	Q	Did you go with the unit?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Did you all leave Alice and travel to Fort
25	Chaffee,	Arkansas and stay there for two continuous weeks?
	1	

Yes, sir.

Α

24

- Q How about September?
- A In September, he was present on the 15th and 16th of September.
- Q That meeting, as far as your records show, was a weekend type meeting in Alice, Texas?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you know Mr. Elizondo other than through your connection with him in the National-
- A I have known him only there in the National Guard, sir.
  - Q You don't visit in his home or anything?
  - A No, sir.
- Q You don't play golf with him or go to movies with him or anything like that?
  - A (The witness shook his head.)
- Q You have delivered to me a few moments ago your notes that you made concerning Mr. Elizondo's appearance at various meetings. Is that what you handed me a while ago?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Would you just initial that copy. I'm going to introduce it. Just write your name on each page.
  - A (The witness complied.)
- Q Now, Sergeant, does this that you have just handed me that you have written your name on reflect the

```
attendance of Mr. Roberto Elizondo?
1
2
                Yes, sir.
                From the months, or for the period of time
3
     January of '72 to September of '73?
4
5
           Α
                Yes, sir. That information has been
     extracted from the morning reports that I have here, sir.
6
7
           Q
                The instrument you gave me shows the date on
     the left, the type of assembly next-
8
           Α
                Yes, sir.
9
           Q
                Whether Mr. Elizondo was present or absent?
10
           Α
                Yes, sir.
11
                What does this last column indicate?
12
           Q
                That indicates where he attended drills in
13
           Α
     lieu of his scheduled drill.
14
           Q
                What does your notation at the top, "ET" stand
15
    for?
16
           Α
                Equivalent training.
17
                Equivalent training. And this was taken
           Q
18
    directly from the records that you maintain-
19
                Yes, sir.
           Α
20
                -in the course of your employment for the
21
    unit that you belong to, the National Guard unit you are a
22
    member of and an employee.
23
                Yes, sir.
           Α
24
                     MR. DOYLE: I believe that's all.
25
```

Mr. Chairman, I tender this into evidence. 1 CHAIRMAN HALE: Hand it to the Court 2 Reporter and have him mark it. 3 4 (The instrument referred to was marked "Exhibit 75," for identification.) 5 MR. DOYLE: That's all the questions I 6 have, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you, Mr. Doyle. 8 Mr. Maloney? 9 BY VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY 10 Sergeant, where do you live? 11 I live in Alice, Texas, sir. 12 Q How long have you lived there? 13 I have lived there for twelve years. 14 I believe you told Mr. Doyle that you did not Q 15 know Mr. Elizondo in any other capacity other than as 16 National Guard. 17 That is true. 18 0 Do you happen to know his brother, Tomas 19 Elizondo? 20 Α No, sir. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Thank you. That's 22 all I have. 23 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Slack is not here. 24 Mr. Hendricks? 25

1	
2	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### BY MR. HENDRICKS

Q Sergeant, when a person attends the weekend drills you mentioned here—

A Yes, sir.

Q —are most of the daylight hours taken up with the drills themselves?

A Yes, sir. There are different occasions where we start our drills around 7:30 in the morning and they go through 5:00 o'clock in the afternoon, and then there are others that we start at 5:30 in the morning and it goes through the whole weekend until about 5:00 o'clock Sunday afternoon.

Q Most of the daylight hours in the weekend would be taken up with the drills. Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

MR. HENDRICKS: I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Nabors?

Mr. Kaster?

#### BY MR. KASTER

Q Sergeant, when a person is in the National Guard who has an obligation and signed up to be in the National Guard, what happens if they do not attend these meetings?

A If a member is an obligated reserve, sir,

there is an A.R. 13591 that governs the satisfactory 1 participation of the individual. If he does not attend 2 for a period of five scheduled drills in a one-year 3 period, then he is priority inducted into the Armed 4 Forces. 5 Is Mr. Elizondo under that type of obligation? 6 Is that why he is serving in lieu of going on active duty? 7 He was an obligated reservist up until the 16th 8 ο£ April of '75. 9 So that if he had not fulfilled these duties 10 he would have been inducted into active duty? 11 12 Α That is true, sir. Q So it was important if he didn't want to go 13 into active duty to fulfill this? 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 MR. KASTER: Thank you. I believe that's 16 all I have. 17 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson? 18 BY MR. DONALDSON 19 Sergeant, can you check your records there and 0 20 tell me whether or not he served a period of active 21 duty, six months active duty, and if so, when? 22 Α Yes, sir. 23 He entered active duty on the 1st of July of 24 25 1969, and he was released on 10 November 1969.

1	Q Where did he serve his active duty?
2	A Fort Ord, California.
3	Q For the entire period?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	MR. DONALDSON: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Laney?
7	MR. LANEY: Pass.
8	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Thompson?
9	MRS. THOMPSON: Pass.
10	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Weddington?
11	Mr. Chavez?
12	BY MR. CHAVEZ
13	Q Sergeant, during the time that these people
14	are attending their meetings, are they required to
15	remain at the camp, wherever the exercises are being
16	conducted?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And they are not permitted to leave the
19	premises to engage in any employment?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q During this particular time, from January of
22	'72 through September of '73, did you know where Mr.
23	Elizondo was residing?
24	A Yes, sir.
25.	Q Where?

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Benavides.
- Q Were you aware, or did you receive any information to the effect that he was also attending college?
  - A I'm not aware of that, sir.
- Q You're not aware that he was at North Texas State?

MR. DOYLE: No. Court Reporter School.

- Q I'm sorry. Court Reporter School in Houston.
- A No, sir.
- Q You all don't maintain those records as to where they might be in the event you need to obtain their presence?
- A He might have been, but I don't remember, sir, exactly if he was.
- Q This information is not necessarily noted in their records?
- A No, sir. We have their permanent home address and if the individual moves to another location he can furnish us with a different address, you know, where he wants to get his mail, or whatever. And I don't ever recall ever receiving a change of address for this purpose.
- Q In other words, it is not a mandatory requirement that they furnish you with any additional or separate address?

Could I see that application?

Q

I	A (The witness furnished the document to counsel.)
2	Q Is that what he filled out?
3	A That is his personal history.
4	Q On the back page of the form where it's listed
5	on the lefthand side about halfway down, where it makes
6	reference to-no, I'm sorry.
7	A Here?
8	Q That would your right.
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q I'm sorry.
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Where it makes reference to what he does in
13	civilian life, is that your handwriting or his?
14	A Mine.
15	Q Is that from information he furnished you?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q What does it show? Would you read that into
18	the record?
19	A It shows that he is a laborer.
20	Q What does it show under that?
21	A That he performs all types of manual labor.
22	Q And that would have been from information he
23	gave you, I assume?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	MR. DOYLE: I believe that's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there any further questions?

Mr. Hendricks has a question, Sergeant.

A Yes, sir.

BY MR. HENDRICKS

Q Sergeant, from that he told you he was a laborer, does it state who he was a laborer for?

A No, sir. It is just a remark that we usually put down there. If a man is not employed at the time, if he so states that he is attending school, or if he is just unemployed, we just make a remark that he is a laborer.

Q If we assume that the records of a court reporting school in Houston show that Roberto Elizondo was a full-time student from January of 1972 until September of 1973—

A Yes, sir.

Q —and the records reflect that he attended classes and got his degree in court reporting, but the County records also reflect that all during this period of time he was an employee of Duval County serving under Judge Carrillo and his office, then there is a conflict in the testimony somewhere, isn't there, in the records?

A Yes, sir.

Q There is no way the man could have been

attending school in Houston full time and working for Judge Carrillo in Duval County, and making the drills on the weekends. Would he have any time left to work for Judge Carrillo, Sergeant?

A I don't know, sir.

Q He couldn't have worked for him on the weekends he was attending drills, could he?

A Unless he was off at 5:00, you know, and he could work at night. But during the day I think he would have plenty on his hands there at the National Guard.

MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you, Sergeant.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further

questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Sergeant, thank you very much for your attendance here.

The Chair will release you to go back to your responsibilities, wherever they are, with the understanding that you are under subpoena to the Committee and if we should need you again we will notify you.

A Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Otherwise, you are free to go about your business.

A Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you very much for

testify?

your attendance.

(The witness, Sergeant Silverio Valadez, was excused.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Aurelio Correa.

Mr. Correa, it's my duty as Chairman to advise you of your rights with reference to your testimony. You will be sworn to tell the truth and your failure to do so could subject you to a prosecution for perjury.

After you have completed your statement, members of the Committee may ask questions concerning your testimony. You must answer these questions truthfully and your refusal to do so could subject you to punishment for contempt. You can refuse to answer questions only on the ground that such answers might incriminate you, or tend to incriminate you, in some way.

You are privileged to have an attorney of your selection sit with and advise you as to your answers if you desire. The Chair will attempt to protect your rights at all times.

Do you understand the advice I have given you?

MR. CORREA: Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are you now prepared to

MR. CORREA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Would you stand and raise

your right hand, please. 1 (The witness was sworn by the Chairman.) 2 3 MR. AURELIO CORREA 4 was called as a witness by the Committee and, being duly 5 sworn by the Chairman, testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY CHAIRMAN HALE 8 Q State your name, please. 9 Α My name is Aurelio Correa. 10 Q Where do you live? 11 Α San Diego, Texas. 12 Q What is your occupation or business? 13 Α I'm a school administrator. 14 Q Were you Superintendent of Schools there at 15 one time? 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 0 Are you still Superintendent of Schools? 18 I'm the Assistant Superintendent at this time. 19 You're Assistant Superintendent at this time. Q 20 Do you hold any other position for profit or 21 trust under any of the governmental agencies at this time? 22 No, sir. Α 23 Are you in any way connected with the Duval 24 County Grand Jury? 25

	14-36
1	it happened at the Board meeting of May 29, 1975.
2	Q Were you at that meeting?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q This was, I take it then, a demotion for you?
5	A In a sense. In position, yes, sir. Definitely.
6	Q Did they reduce your pay?
7	A No, they didn't.
8	Q Who is the Superintendent?
9	A Mr. R. H. Tanguma.
10	Q So, he has been the Superintendent for a few
11	days now?
12	A Some fifteen days. Yes.
13	Q Was he an employee of the school system prior
14	to that time?
15	A Not immediately prior, but had been an
16	employee of the school system on two different occasions
17	before.
18	Q In what capacity had he been employed previously?
19	A With the San Diego Independent School District?
20	Q Yes, sir. Since you have been there, if you are
21	familiar with it.
22	A All right. At one time he was a teacher in
23	the high school in which I was principal, and then later
24	on he became a Director of Federal Programs and worked
25	with the Federal Programs under Mr. B. P. Taylor who was
	i i

then Superintendent.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

But immediately prior to him becoming

Superintendent, he was not an employee?

No, sir. Not of the San Diego Independent School District.

Q Do you know if he was an employee of Right. some other school system?

Yes, sir. He was an employee of the Austin Independent School District, here in Austin, Texas.

> And has taken over duties now at San Diego? 0

Correct.

0 Now your superior officer?

Α Right.

Were you give any reason for your demotion, or Q were you just informed that you had always been Acting and that they were about to make somebody permanent?

I was never given any reasons for my demotion. Α I never asked any reasons. I just kind of felt that it was coming for one reason or another --- I can't really say But I felt that it was coming.

Were all of the members of the School Board present at the May 29th meeting?

I believe that that is correct. Six members of the School Board were present, and that's all we have on our School Board.

Q May 29th is the correct date, I believe. 1 Α That is correct. 2 Q 3 Okay. Now, you were asking me if I had previous 4 knowledge that this was going to happen. No, I didn't. 5 This was in a sense a total surprise, but yet a feeling 6 had been there, and I had made statements to several people 7 I probably was going to lose my job. 8 Q What made you think that? You hadn't read it 9 in the paper or heard it on the street or at the barber 10 shop or anything? 11 A 12 No, sir. I just had had several conversations with members of the School Board that led me to believe 13 that perhaps that was going to happen. 14 Mr. Correa, the Chair asked if you were at the 15 present time a member of the Grand Jury in Duval County. 16 You indicated you were. 17 Yes. 18 When did you become a member of that Grand Jury? Q 19 I think that we were impaneled on the 3rd day of Α 20 February, 1975. I believe that day is correct. If that 21 is a Monday, that's the correct date. 22 Was the Grand Jury impaneled in Duval County Q 23 for a six month period, a three month period? 24 That's correct. A six month period. 25

Does that correspond with the term of court 0 1 there, or do you know? 2 I'm not familiar with the term of court. 3 Right. You were just informed that this Q Grand Jury would function for six months, beginning on 5 the 3rd day of February? 6 7 Α Right. Q Are you familiar enough with the law of Texas 8 to understand that we use the Commissioners system in 9 selecting grand juries? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 Q A judge appoints a commissioner. 12 Α That's right. 13 The commission members select names, and from 14 those names a grand jury is selected. 15 Α Correct. 16 Q You were impaneled on the 3rd day of February? 17 Correct. Α 18 Q Obviously, at some time prior to that somebody 19 asked you if you would be willing to serve on the Grand 20 Jury. 21 No, sir. I was never asked if I was willing to 22 serve on the Grand Jury. I have served on Grand Juries 23 in Duval County on two previous occasions, and they felt 24 quite sure that I would serve, and I was informed prior to 25

the 3rd day of February that I had been selected as one of 1 the twenty members that would be selected from for the 2 twelve-man jury. 3 4 Q Who informed you? Manuel Amaya, Jr., who was a Jury Commissioner. Α 5 And how did he go about informing you? Where 6 7 were you when he informed you? Α Manuel Amaya, Jr. at the time was working for 8 the Diego Independent School District and-9 San Q In what capacity? 10 11 Α He was the Director of Follow-through, which is a federal program. Headstart Follow-through. 12 13 Q How much money did Manuel Amaya make in this job? 14 Well, roughly, I think that last year his 15 Α salary was sixteen thousand-between fifteen and sixteen 16 thousand dollars a year. 17 Is he still an employee of the school system, Q 18 19 to your knowledge? No, sir. He resigned on the 1st day of April, 20 1975. 21 And took other employment, I believe. Q 22 He was appointed by the District Judge to- I 23 take it back. He was appointed by the Commissioners 24 Court and the County Judge to serve as a Commissioner for 25

Right.

Α

25

1	T
1	Q You said that particular conversation—are we
2	to take it that there was more than one conversation
3	about this subject prior to you being impaneled?
4	A Concerning being a member of the Grand Jury
5	and perhaps even being named Secretary of the Grand Jury?
6	Q Yes.
7	A Yes, sir, there was.
8	Q Where was the other? How many other conversa-
9	tions were there?
10	A I recall one more.
11	Q And where was it?
12	A At Jerry's Diner, which is a restaurant at
13	San Diego.
14	Q Who was present at that conversation?
15	A Mr. Manuel Amaya, Jr. and Mr. Clinton Manges.
16	Q Mr. Clinton Manges?
17	A Right.
18	Q What time of day did this conversation take
19	place?
20	A It was in the evening. I had been to church
21	at 7:00 o'clock that evening. So possibly between 8:00
22	and 8:30, after church.
23	Q After you left church did you go home, or did
24	you meet them at church, or what?
25	A No, sir. After I left church I went home.
	II

1	Correa - Doyle 14-43
1	Q And did they come to your home or call you, or
2	did you call them?
3	A No, sir. Mr. Manges called me on the phone.
4	Q Mr. Manges called you?
5	A Right.
6	Q And for what purpose did Mr. Manges call you?
7	A He wanted to meet with me.
8	Q This was some two or three or four days prior
9	to February 3rd?
10	A On or about February the 2nd. I'm not real
11	sure.
12	Q So this was immediately prior to you being
13	impaneled?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Just a day or so?
16	A Correct.
17	Q What day of the week do you go to church?
18	A On Sunday.
19	Q Could we take it that it was the Sunday before
20	you were impaneled?
21	A At the risk of perjuring myself, I would like
22	to say on or about February the 2nd, because I don't know
23	if it was the Sunday before February the
24	Q Let's get a calendar.
25	CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair has a calendar.

Let the record reflect that in February 1975, February 1 1 was on a Saturday, February 2 was on a Sunday, and 2 3 February 3 was on a Monday. Mr. Chairman, my only concern is that it 4 Α could have been the Sunday prior to February 2. 5 That's all right. That's not important. 6 Q 7 Α Okay. 0 On a Sunday evening you received a telephone 8 call from Mr. Clinton Manges after you returned home from 9 church? 10 11 That is correct. 12 Q This would have been in early evening hours, 13 probably 8:00 or 8:30? Between 8:00 and 8:30. 14 Α Did he request that you meet with him at that 15 Q time? 16 Yes, sir. He said, "What are you doing?" 17 And I said, "I just got in from church." He said, "Could 18 you meet me at Jerry's?" And I said "Yes, sir." And he 19 said, "Before you come over there would you call Manuel 20 Amaya, Jr. and have him come with you." 21 Did you call Mr. Manuel Amaya, Jr.? Q 22 Yes, sir. And he told me he would meet us Α 23 there. 24 25 Did he indeed meet you there? Q

Yes, sir.

25

- Q Were any other persons present at this conversation except you three gentlemen?
  - A The conversation was just the three of us.
  - Q Okay.

Α

- A There were other people present at the cafe, but the conversation was just with the three of us.
- Q Right. Would you relate to us the tenor of that conversation, please, sir?

Well, let me first ask you this.

- A I believe I can do that.
- Q Let me ask you this first, Mr. Correa.
- A Okay.
- Q Was this before the conversation with Mr. Amaya on the campus, or after?
- A After the conversation with Mr. Amaya on the campus.
- Q All right. Okay. So, you would have seen Mr. Amaya at the regular course of your job and his job on a regular basis, I assume.
  - A That's correct. Every day.
- Q Okay. But this Sunday evening you went to Jerry's and you met with Mr. Manges and Mr. Amaya?
  - A Correct.
  - Q And what was the when did you first learn why

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

Mr. Manges wanted to meet with you?

A It was quite obvious why he wanted to meet with me. I had already learned that Joe Nichols was going to be the Foreman of the Grand Jury, and that I was going to be the Secretary of the Grand Jury.

- Q How did you learn these two things?
- A Mr. Amaya had indicated it to me.
- Q In this same earlier conversation?
- A Right.
- $\ensuremath{Q}$  This was at a time before the Grand Judy had been impaneled?
  - A We had not met yet.
- Q Before it was even a thing. It wasn't even in existence.
- A Mr. Doyle, you know a heck of a lot more about law than I do because I don't know any at all, and I hope you're not going to destroy anything we have done with that Grand Jury already.
  - Q No, sir.
  - A Mr. John Hill would be very upset with me.
- Q That's not it at all. It is just somewhat surprising to me as an attorney that some third person, be he a Commissioner or whatever, has knowledge prior to the creation of the thing, the make-up of the thing, particularly when it's a grand jury.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A We do strange things in Duval County, Mr. Doyle. (Laughter!)

Q Okay. So at the first conversation then, Mr. Amaya had informed you that you were going to be the Secretary and that Mr. Nichols was going to be the Foreman?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Now, you met with Mr. Manges. And would you relate to us that conversation?

A When I first sat down with him, Mr. Amaya had not gotten there, and Mr. Manges and I discussed the work of the Grand Jury, and we discussed—he discussed the idea that he wanted things cleaned up in Duval County, and it sounded like a good idea. He was very interested in making sure that some of the people that were involved in the wrongdoings or in the system, if you call it, were given some type of protection. I was immediately concerned about some of my friends who have been a part of the Duval County system for a number of years, and who at that particular time some of them were serving on the School Board. And I asked very specific questions like "What happens to Mr. Dan Tobin, Jr." who is a Commissioner, and also the President of the School Board? I'm very concerned about him. He's a friend of mine.

Q You mean he is a Commissioner now?

Α I guess now he is the Judge. 1 Q And he is also a member of the School Board? 2 Α Not any more. He resigned. 3 Go ahead. Q Okay. 4 Α And I asked very specifically about him because 5 I was very concerned. And he said, "Well, those people 6 that we feel we can grant immunity to--" this is Mr. 7 Manges speaking-"Those people that we feel we can grant 8 immunity to, we will grant immunity to." 9 Q Is Mr. Manges a member of the Grand Jury? 10 Α No. But this was Mr. Manges speaking. 11 Q Yes, sir. Go ahead. 12 13 I just acted like, well, okay, you know. this is what needs to be done. We discussed the-about 14 that time Mr. Amaya came in. We discussed the possibility 15 of indicting here, as you put it, this thing was not even 16 a thing yet, but we were discussing the possibility of 17 indicting a Rena Martinez who had allegedly stolen some 18 pipe from Mr. Manges. This is Mr. Manges' conversation. 19 Had you read anything in the newspaper about-20 is it Mr. Martin? 21 Martinez. 22 Martinez? Q 23 Α Uh huh. 24 Had you read anything in the paper about Mr. 25 Q

i

 Martinez?

A Mr. Martinez had been indicted by a previous

Grand Jury on stealing of some pipe from the Duval County-

A No. Mr. Amaya brought this up. Mr. Manges at the time— Mr. Amaya was not at all happy with the idea that Mr. Martinez had not been indicted the second time. And I could sense this, and I'm just saying it as something that I have sensed personally. I knew that Mr. Martinez had engaged in a fist fight with Mr. Amaya at Jerry's Cafe at a previous time and had whipped Mr. Amaya pretty bad, and that he was probably unhappy and would like to see him indicted, which makes good sense. But be that as it may—

Q Did either of these gentlemen suggest to you that perhaps Mr. Martinez needed to be indicted for anything?

A Mr. Manges immediately stopped Mr. Amaya and he said, "No, we're not going to indict." These are probably his exact words. "We're not going to indict Martinez. I've worked out a deal with George." Mr. Parr. "And he is going to pay me that pipe because, after all, Martinez is doing some ranch work for him right now, knocking down some brush, and he owes him some money so he's

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

going to pay me the pipe. We worked out a deal. We're not going to indict Martinez."

Mr. Martinez doesn't work for the county too, does he?

I don't know who he works for. I know that Α his wife worked for the school district.

> Q Okay. Go ahead.

Α This was very interesting to me because I thought that both of them wanted Mr. Martinez indicted and I was, you know, I was just waiting for the thing to become real so I could go ahead and oblige them. But as it turned out, Mr. Manges was not in favor of that. Mr. Manges did indicate at that time that he wanted the situation cleaned up and that all the records from the Benavides Independent School District, the Duval County and the Water District would be subpoensed and would be picked up that following morning, or whenever we were impaneled. I don't remember what particular day, but he indicated this to me. I became a little concerned about what they were going to do about the San Diego Independent School District records mainly because it takes a lot of work to put them together and deliver them. And I asked I said, "What about my school district records?" him. He said, "No. We're not going to touch yours."

> This is Mr. Manges or Mr. Amaya speaking? Q

Correa - Doyle This is Mr. Manges speaking. Α 1 2 Does any of Mr. Manges' ranch lie within the boundaries of your school district? 3 I don't think so. 4 Α The other two districts that you mentioned, 0 5 both I believe-6 7 Α Yes, sir. Q -encompass parts of the Duval County-8 Α Or all of the ranch. 9 -or Mr. Manges' ranch? 10 O 11 Α Right. I'm sure the records of the District Clerk Q 12 down there would reflect that subpoenas were executed. 13 I'm not attempting to, and I don't want you to answer me 14 if it will entail anything that happened within the 15 Grand Jury. 16 Fine. 17 But do you know whether or not the records of 18 the District Clerk would reflect that subpoenas were 19 indeed issued for those two school systems? 20 I think that the records will now reflect that 21 on that particular day subpoenas were issued to the 22 Duval County, to the Water District, and to the Benavides 23 Independent School District. As a matter of fact, I 24

25

know that the District Clerk's records will reflect that.

Q Right. Now again, I don't want you to- I'm not 1 suggesting or even—and I don't want you to answer it if 2 it will in any way divulge anything that happened in the 3 Grand Jury. But will the records of the District Clerk 4 reflect that those subpoenas were executed? 5 Yes, sir. 6 The records of the District Clerk would like-7 wise reflect any indictments which were returned to the 8 District Court by the Grand Jury that you're a member of. 9 Yes, sir. 10 Q Would the records of the District Clerk in 11 Duval County reflect indictments which had to do with 12 mishandling or tinkering with any of these records of 13 these three entities that you just mentioned? 14 You're talking specifically about the indict-15 ment? 16 Was John Doe indicted for doing something Yes. Q 17 bad with the records of the school district? 18 No, sir. Not for tinkering with the records. 19 Q All right. 20 Α No, sir. 21 All right. Your Grand Jury that you're a Q 22 member of at this time has returned indictments? 23 Yes, sir. Α 24 Has it returned indictments which, as a matter Q 25

of public record, would indicate wrongdoing with respect to the school districts in question or the county in question?

A I believe that in almost all cases it would reflect misappropriation and theft.

Q From each of these entities, or just one or two?

A I think that in this particular—at this particular time the entity only that has been—that has reflected any indictments is the Benavides Independent School District.

Q Were you informed at this meeting at Jerry's by Mr. Manges or Mr. Amaya who might be your cohorts on this Grand Jury?

A Yes, sir. I knew that Juan Martinez was going to be on the Grand Jury and I knew that Maria Aguayo, who is incidentally the first woman grand juror in Duval County, was going to be on the Grand Jury.

- Q Is she any kin to the other Amaya?
- A No, sir. I said "Aguayo." A-G-U-A-Y-O.
- Q I'm sorry.

A I also knew that Jack Damron was going to be on the Grand Jury. As a matter of fact, I probably knew, and as I say, "probably knew," who the majority of the Grand Jury would comprise, if they were to pick the top

,	Correa - Doyle 14-54
I	twelve, which they normally do.
2	Q So you not only had the list-
3	A I didn't have a list, Mr. Doyle, but I
-3	Q They had a list.
5	A Someone had a list and I had been told who they
6	were going to be.
7	Q By those two gentlemen?
8	A Mr. Amaya.
9	Q At your first conversation?
10	A Right. I don't think that Mr. Manges knew
11	exactly who the other people were.
12	Q Did either of them indicate that they had
13	received this information from third persons? Specificall
14	did either of them indicate that the Judge of the 229th
15	District Court had related any such information to them?
16	A No, sir, they didn't indicate it.
17	Q Didn't relate anything about any conversation
18	with the District Judge?
19	A Not at that particular conversation.
20	Q Did they at any other later conversation with
21	respect to this Grand Jury?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Would you tell us about that conversation?
24	A I had several conversations with Mr. Manges,
25	and on one occasion—
ı	

Q This was prior to being impaneled, or after. 1 Α Subsequent. 2 After you were impaneled? Q 3 A Right. ٠į Q Okay. 5 I believe I have the dates on those that I 6 could be sure of. 7 Q That would help a great deal. 8 Once again, Mr. Chairman, I would like to say 9 on or about. I would like- I'm very cautious since 10 serving on grand juries. I've seen what has happened to 11 Watergate people and whatever on perjury, and I by nature 12 do tell a few lies, but I think I could quote our illustr-13 ious Grand Jury Foreman by saying that "Never under 14 oath." 15 On or about February the 14th Mr. Manges 16 and I, Mr. Carrillo-Mr. O. P. Carrillo-. Mr. George 17 B. Parr, and possibly Mr. Morris Ashby, had a meeting in 18 Judge O. P. Carrillo's office. This meeting was prompted 19 by a telephone call. I was on campus when my office 20 secretary called and said that I was needed at the office 21 on an emergency basis. I got kind of shook up. But then 22 I found out that it wasn't near as big an emergency as 23 people would like to think that it was. It was Mr. Amaya 24 who needed to see me. He had just come from somewhere 25

21

22

23 24

25

downtown and had visited with Clinton Manges, and his exact words to me, he said, "Clinton wants to see you right away. He is madder than hell." And I said, "What is Clinton mad about?" He says, "That you're draging your feet on the Grand Jury and he wants to see you. He is really mad. He wants to come up and see you right now." I said something to this effect: "You go over there and tell Clinton Manges to go to hell. I'm not running from Clinton Manges. I'm not afraid of him. I've known him since I was a kid. I can tell you right now that he's not going to run me. Now, if he wants to talk to me as a friend-" and I think that at that time and perhaps even now I would like to consider him my friend-"I'll talk to him. But I will not run up there for him to chew my tail out. I'm not made that way and Clinton is not going to do it. If I have to, why, we'll get in a fist fight over it. We're not going to chew each other's tail. We're too grown for that and I know I can whip him anyway, so what the hell."

So, after I made these kind of statements to Mr. Amaya he realized that perhaps what he had better say is, "Come on up and let's go talk to Clinton," which is what he said, and we went over to see Clinton.

- Where did you go to see Clinton? 0
- I was very surprised that the meeting was to be Α

held in Judge O. P. Carrillo's office. I don't know why I
was surprised, but I was surprised.

I learned after I got there that Clinton was in there and Mr. Amaya and I had seen George, Mr. Parr, at the service station, the Texaco Service Station, and Mr. Amaya made it known to Clinton that Mr. Parr was at the service station and he said, "I'll be right back.

I've got to talk to George."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I walked inside Judge Carrillo's office and Morris Ashby was in there. And we sat down and visited.

Q Morris Ashby, isn't he an employee of Mr. Manges?

A He claims that he is Executive Vice President of the Duval County Ranch.

Q Okay.

A I don't know what his job description is. But, yes, I would say that he is an employee.

Q Is he on the Grand Jury?

A No, sir. He is not on the Grand Jury.

Q Okay.

A He is President of one of the school boards in Benavides.

After about fifteen or twenty minutes of waiting on Mr. Manges, he and Mr. Parr showed up, and Mr. Ashby

and Manuel Amaya, Jr. and myself were in the Judge's office, personal office, and they closed the door to the outer office and they conversed just outside the outer office. And I couldn't hear what Clinton was saying. I could hear a lot of what George was saying, because George spoke real loud and clear. And one of the things that he said—and incidentally, I think I have that in my billfold because I wrote it down. I thought it was interesting, and with your permission, Mr. Chairman, I would like to look for it. This was at 4:05 in the afternoon, according to my watch.

- Q Do you have the date noted?
- A Of the 14th day of February, 1975.

George said, "I'll promise you that anything you want is going to get done." Talking to Clinton Manges.

Morris Ashby was in the office with me.

Manuel Amaya was in the office with me.

- Q You say this conversation took place outside the office?
  - A In the outer office.
- Q The only two persons that were in that room were Mr. Manges and Mr. George Parr?
  - A I cannot say that for sure, because I can't-
  - Q But the only two you saw in there were those two?

A Those were the only two that I heard. However, when the office door opened and they came in to Mr. Carrillo's office, the Judge walked in with them. So, I cannot say for sure that they were the only two there.

Q Okay. Go ahead.

A Mr. Ashby and Mr. Amaya saw me write this down and I showed it to them, and Mr. Amaya wanted to keep it, but I wouldn't let him.

They walked in to the office and Clinton greeted me for the second time.

Q Could you tell from the conversation what it was that Mr. Manges was wanting done, or was there any indication?

A I feel certain that what it was that he wanted done was that he wanted some indictments to be returned and the scuttlebutt was that George was against any indictments being returned. As a matter of fact, I have reason to believe, from conversations with Mr. Parr, that this is exactly what the situation was.

They walked inside, and Mr. Carrillo walked in with them, and at this time Mr. Amaya left. Now, I cannot recall if Mr. Ashby remained in the office with us. But I know that the Judge, Mr. George Parr, Mr. Clinton Manges and myself were in that office. And we immediately started discussing the idea of indictments. It was very

17 18

20 21

19

22

24

25

obvious that Clinton and the Judge were very much alarmed at the amount of money that had been paid to two attorneys by the Benavides Independent School District: Marvin Foster and Charles Orr, and they were indicating that perhaps these two people should be indicted as well as Rudy Couling. Mr. Parr was very much opposed to the idea of any indictments. And he looked at O. P., or Mr. Carrillo, if you please, and he said, "Why is it that you want Mr. Couling indicted or Rudy I think he called him the "Green Devil," or "Diablo Verde" "indicted?" And then Mr. Carrillo looked at me and says, "Aurelio, will you tell George or Mr. Parr how much money was taken from the Benavides Independent School District?" And I think that this is not divulging from Grand Jury information because it is a matter of public records, and if you're asking me which side of the ledger I got it from, I got it from the open meeting, not from the Grand Jury.

I said, "I think it is somewhere in the neighborhood of \$60,000 in two days." And the Judge said, "You didn't know that, Mr. Parr"? At which Mr. Parr just kind of looked at him, and he had a real possum way of looking at people when he had something smart to say. An he said, "O. P., who taught them how to steal?" And that was the end of that conversation.

Mr. Parr took me to my office, and on the way

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

out he told me, "You go along with Clinton, but, damnit, he's an unreasonable man. Let's see what we can do about not indicting anybody."

He had a long conversation afterwards that did not relate to the Grand Jury work; it related to personnel that needed to be hired at the school district. Mr. Parr at the time was a member of the school board, or perhaps we could truthfully say, "was the school board."

- Q At San Diego?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. Now, that was one conversation. You indicated there were some others.
- A Yes, sir. On the 19th, on or about the 19th of February, which I believe was a Monday evening.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The 19th of February would have been a Wednesday according to the calendar.

A Perhaps that's correct. Okay.

On the 19th of February Mr. Amaya and myself were at Wayne's Restaurant in Alice. We went there to meet a consultant that had driven in or flew in from New Mexico that was visiting our Follow-through Project.

And while sitting there visiting with him and a couple of other ladies that were working on the Follow-through Project, we noticed that Mr. Manges was having supper and that Mr. Ashby and a gentleman named Jack Butler were

I

 sitting with him and they were all eating supper.

Mr. Amaya got up and went up and visited with Mr. Manges, and I stayed there with the consultant and the two ladies until such time as Mr. Manges waved at me and asked me to come over. And we went over there and we started visiting.

He asked me what I was going to do the rest of the evening. I said, "Well, you know, it's about 9:30.

I'm going home." He said, "Why don't you come and go with me." I said, "Where to, Clinton?" Because I know

Clinton and I know that he can get you in the car and you can stay in it for two days sometimes. However, I've never been in a car with him two days. I would like to clear that.

I said, "Where to, Clinton?" He said, "Well, come go with me. Have you got time?" I said, "Look, I've got to be in Dallas tomorrow." I really didn't have to be there. The American Association of School Administrators were having their national convention in Dallas and I never had attended one as a superintendent; I wanted to be there. I said, "I've got to be in Dallas tomorrow, and am I going to get back in time to go to Dallas?" He said, "Well, we're going to Rio Grande City, but first we're going to the ranch." I said, "Well, I'll go with you but let me stop by and tell my wife where

1 | |

В

we're going?"

So, I drove my car or my pickup, whatever the case might have been, to my house, and I informed my wife that I was on my way to Rio Grande City with Mr. Manges.

We got in his car and we left, and there was just Clinton and myself. We left San Diego going to Freer. While in the car—he has a mobile telephone—while in the car—and incidentally, this was probably one of the few times that he wasn't speeding—or maybe he was. While in the car Mr. Manges indicated to me that we should call Judge Carrillo. And he was very concerned about one particular witness that did not want to turn over some records from the Duval County Treasury Office.

Q This was records that the Grand Jury was interested in?

A Right.

Q Did Mr. Manges explain to you how he had knowledge of this?

A It was in the "Corpus Christi Caller."

Q Okay.

A And I said, "Why do you want to call the Judge?"

He said, "Well, we've got to get some kind of a writ—" I

don't know the terminology—"so we can make Mr. Meeks

turn over the records." So, he picked up the phone,

and I was very impressed because of the fact that he

"direct dialed" without asking them a number or anything, which indicated to me that perhaps he had called him at least once before and remembered the number.

He called the Judge. And after he got him on the line he handed the phone to me and I talked to the Judge. And I indicated to him that Mr. Meeks was rebelling and what could we do? And he said, "Well, get in touch with Arnulfo," or Mr. Guerra, Arnulfo Guerra, our District Attorney, "and see what procedure we can follow inasmuch as putting together a writ of attachment, or whatever." So, I visited with the Judge for a little bit and he said, "If you need to get back in touch with me, well, call me."

I hung up the phone. Mr. Manges picked it up and he dialed once again, by memory—it impressed me very much— Mr. Arnulfo Guerra's office. He didn't get an answer. He dialed the home and then he got an answer. And I talked to Arnulfo and I told him what the situation was; that I was in the car driving to Freer with Clinton and that Clinton was concerned about Mr. Meeks not making the records available and that he had been quoted as saying that the Grand Jury was playing games; that I had just talked to the Judge and he indicated that perhaps we could put together a writ of attachment and make him bring the records. And he said, "Well, okay. Let me get

I

it together and you all call me back. I'll be at the office." I don't recall whether he said he would be at the office in Roma or whether he would be at the office in Rio Grande City.

The gist of the conversation was that somehow or another we were going to get Mr. Meeks to produce the records.

I hung up the phone and from there he dialed Joe Nichols' number, and I talked to Joe Nichols and told him to meet us at the cookhouse there on the Duval County Ranch. He said, "What time is it?" And I said, "It's 10:30." I remember that very distinctly because Joe thought it was something like three or four o'clock in the morning. He had been as leep for a couple of hours he said.

We hung up the phone, and then he picked up the phone and he called Robert Forsche, who lives in Freer. And he told him, he said, "We're going to pick you up. We're going to come up by your trailer and pick you up. Get ready, we're going to the ranch. We've got to go to work."

So, I had had an occasion to be around Robert Forsche, but I didn't know that Clinton told him when to go to work. We pulled up at the trailer and Robert Forsche, "Bob," as we call him, came out of the trailer,

and he had a pistol in one hand and what looked to be like a sub-machine gun in the other hand. And he took the pistol and put it in his belt and then he looked inside the car and said, "Clinton, are we going anywhere where I'm going to need anything bigger than this?" And he showed him the sub-machine gun. And I said, "Oh, hell!" Clinton assured him that we didn't need the machine gun so he took it back in the trailer and then we went on out to the ranch and we had a meeting at the cookhouse.

In front of the cookhouse I called Arnulfo Guerra. After being unable to reach him at the Roma office I was once again—

Q What was the subject of the meeting at the cookhouse?

A Trying to put together a writ of attachment so that Mr. Meeks could produce the records. Mr. Manges was very concerned because the records had not been produced.

Q What records did Mr. Meeks possess that were

- A He is the County Auditor.
- Q So you were looking for Duval County records?
- A Right. That's correct.
- Q Okay.
- A But that was the gist of that particular

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

conversation. Finally, about 3:00 o'clock, we decided that we should call all the Grand Jury members, and the records will reflect the next morning we met at 8:00 o'clock in the morning so that we could instruct the District Attorney to send out a writ of attachment and forcefully, if it need be, bring in Mr. Meeks with the records.

 ${\sf Q}$  And so did you make the phone calls to the Grand Jury members?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you did this from Mr. Manges's ranch?

A From the cookhouse.

Q At his ranch?

A Correct.

Q Your list, from what I can see of it, indicates you perhaps had another meeting.

A Yes, sir. I had one other conversation with Mr. Manges on March 25, and actually that was not a conversation. I had an occasion to listen in on a conversation with Mr. Manges. This was a telephone conversation. On March 25— I believe it was a Wednesday—1975. We always meet on the last—the School Board meets on the last Thursday of the month, but because of something coming up on the 26th I believe we met on Wednesday. Is that correct, Mr. Chairman? I know you're looking at a

calendar.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HALE: March the 25th was a Tuesday.

A Okay. Well, I was close.

On March the 25th, on or about March the 25th, after a school board meeting, we, Mr. Parr, George Parr, who was a member of the school board then, revealed to us that the ousted school board members from Benavides were being replaced and that one particular member, Mr. Bill Ham, who had been appointed as a member of the school board by Judge O. P. Carrillo, in violation of Texas Education Code, particularly Bulletin 620 which indicates that the members of the school board are supposed to appoint their own members, was not going to be allowed to serve on the school board because he had made a statement in the press that he was a George Parr man. And the scuttlebutt was that Mr. Carrillo was not going to allow him to serve; that he in effect was not going to let him qualify, and that somebody else, a gentleman by the name of E. V. McMichaels, was going to serve.

And Mr. Parr was telling us this. And I asked the question, I said, "Do you really believe that this is going to happen?" And he said, "I don't know, but this is what is being said." And he said, "If there is any way in the world that I can find out it would probably be to talk to Clinton Manges."

ર્વ

Well, I tried to reach Mr. Manges and they told me that he was at the First State Bank, and I'm not sure of the name. Harlingen? Mr. Chaves, is that it?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  CHAVES: No. I think it's the First National Bank.

A First National? Whatever. In Harlingen?

And I called the Harlingen bank and luckily— I don't know how— I found Mr. Manges. And I indicated to him that Mr. Parr wanted to talk to him. And Mr. Parr asked him if it was true that they were not going to let Bill Ham qualify? And he said, "No. I don't know anything about it." And he said, "Well, Clinton, tell me the truth."

And he said, "I don't know anything about it. But I understand that he doesn't want to serve. He hasn't taken the oath yet so apparently he doesn't want to serve."

Well, it became very obvious then to Mr. Parr that there might be some truth to the situation. And he answered, he said, "Well, I understand that a fellow named E. V. McMichaels has been asked to serve." And, of course, I was on the other line and I heard him say, "Well, E. V. McMichaels is a friend of mine." And Mr. Parr came back and he said, "Yes, but Bill Ham is a friend of mine." And it became obvious to us that Clinton was trying to tell George that E. V. McMichaels was going to replace Bill Ham.

Q Did that come about?

A Yes, sir. We immediately tried to get ahold of Mr. Ham. And he was in Laredo at the time and I managed to reach him in Laredo. We ran up the school phone bill a little bit but we managed to reach him in Laredo. And we told him what the situation was. He said, "I'll be in there some time this evening to qualify, to take the oath."

Mr. Parr was very happy about that. And so we reached Clinton again and told him that Mr. Ham was willing to serve; that he had just talked to Mr. Ham and that he had said he was willing to serve and he would come to the Courthouse and qualify that night.

And that evening about 7:00 o'clock, as I think the District Clerk's records will reflect, Bill Ham took the oath.

Also that evening the District Clerk records will reflect that the sheriff served him with an ouster order. And also the next morning Mr. E. V. McMichaels qualified. And I think that Mr. McMichaels served on the school board for one meeting and became disenchanted or perhaps became worried or something, and resigned, and somebody else was placed in his place. But that conversation with Mr. Manges. And, like I said, it wasn't me personally talking to him. I did reach him. I did listen

24

25

in on the conversation.

Q Did Mr. Amaya indicate to you in your first conversation or in the conversation at the Diner how he knew who the Grand Jury was going to be?

A No, sir, but it was quite obvious that the Jury Commission had— I have been trying to find out who was on the Jury Commission, and I can't remember. I know that there were three different people on the Jury Commission, and I think there were as many as five, and each one named four. It was quite obvious that he had sat in as a Jury Commissioner and they knew approximately who the top twelve were and who was going to serve on the jury.

Q Isn't it a fact that Morris Ashby was a Jury Commissioner with Mr. Amaya?

- A Yes, sir. Mr. Ashby was a Jury Commissioner.
- Q And Roberto Elizondo was-
- A Roberto Elizondo, Manuel Amaya and Mr. Ashby—and I do not know who the other two were.
- Q Morris Ashby is an employee of Mr. Manges, I believe?
  - A That is correct.
- Q And Robert Elizondo, I believe, is an employee of Judge Carrillo. Is that correct?
  - A I couldn't answer that question, sir.

Isn't he the court reporter in that court? Q 1 Α Yes, sir. I guess he is. Yes, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Correa, you say you 3 can't answer that question. Do you mean you don't know 4 the answer? 5 I don't know the answer. Yes, sir. I'm sorry, 6 Mr. Chairman. I don't know the answer to that question. 7 From what I've read in the papers and some of the testimony 8 that has been presented here, I would say that that is a 9 correct statement. 10 Q Yes. He is the court reporter in that court. 11 On the night of the 10:30 to 3:00 a.m. meeting, 12 did you end up doing anything where the fellow needed 13 anything bigger than that machine gun? 14 No, sir. Α 15 Q At 3:00 o'clock in the morning, or whatever 16 time, you went on home, I assume? 17 Yes, sir. At 3:00 o'clock in the morning Joe 18 Nichols - I believe it was Joe Nichols that took me home. 19 And you say you spent most of this time worried 0 20 about a writ of attachment. Are any of these gentlemen 21 lawyers? 22 No, sir. Not to my knowledge. Α 23 Correa, previously in the testimony Q Mr. 24 received by this Committee, Mr. Arnulfo Guerra, your D.A., 25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

indicated that he had received information from you or from some third person, I believe Mr. Nichols, about some school records being destroyed. Do you remember a conversation with Mr. Guerra about that?

No, sir, I don't recall that conversation. As a matter of fact, when I found out that -- I haven't read the testimony, and I'm sure you've got plenty of volumes of it, but when I found out that he had used my name here—I believe that Mr. Terry Canales indicated this to me; perhaps Marvin Foster indicated it to me, he had said that we had pressured him in to the ouster because of the fact that some of the records of the Benavides Independent School District had been destroyed. I think perhaps Mr. Guerra confused my emotions, or perhaps my statements, with those of Mr. Nichols. Because I did not at any time indicate that anybody needed to be ousted. I did indicate on several occasions that they shouldn't destroy the records, and I did know, because the accountant, Mr. Ed Turner, had indicated to me that the records had been destroyed, or some of the records: the checks, had been destroyed.

But at no time did I—goodness, you know, to oust people is a pretty serious thing. At no time did I indicate that these people—the severity of what they had performed or done could be, or whoever had performed it,

could be related to the actions taken by the Board itself.

And I was very concerned about the statement that was made before this Committee, and I would like to say that Mr.

Arnulfo Guerra perhaps was mistaken in using my name.

Which this could actually easily happen. He probably didn't do it intentionally.

Q Have any third persons met with you or any other persons met with you as a member of the Grand Jury at, let's say, unusual times?

A No, sir.

Q Except on this occasion with Mr. Manges and the others at Mr. Manges' ranch?

A That is correct. I had one other brief meeting with Mr. Manges which I think this Committee could probably profit from hearing the gist of that conversation. It happened right after Mr. Jim Bates had been hired as special prosecutor for the Grand Jury. Without divulging anything, I think this is a matter of record.

The Grand Jury was concerned about how Mr.

Bates was going to be paid. Mr. Archer Parr, who at that
time was the County Judge, had indicated that the County
had not budgeted for a special prosecutor; that the
Grand Jury funds did not have that kind of money, and that
perhaps the County Commissioners were not going to approve

Mr. Bates' salary.

I stepped down from the Grand Jury room, which is upstairs in the Duval County Courthouse, and ran in to Mr. Manges in the outer office of Judge O. P. Carrillo. And I asked him, "What's going on in there?" Because the Commissioners Court was meeting and there was a tremendous amount of commotion and reporters, and what have you. And he says, "I think Archer is balking at the idea of paying Bates, but if he does that, I'm going to see to it that he's ousted," or a similar statement of that nature was made to me.

- Q When was this, to the best of your knowledge?
- A Mr. Doyle, I can't recall except that it had to be right after Mr. Bates was hired as special prosecutor by the Grand Jury. And I think that probably the records of the Grand Jury, the Minutes, would reflect the exact time.
- Q What day of the week, if you know, does the County Commissioners Court normally meet?
- A Mondays, I believe. But at that time I think that they were meeting more regularly than just on Mondays.
- Q And you indicated that you had had a conversation with Mr. Manges. That was with Judge Carrillo.

  Is that what you said?

Q Is that the District Attorney's investigator?

A Special investigator. Yes, sir.

24

25

r	Table 1 Reports 14-77
1	Q Special investigator? And you mentioned
2	picking him up at his trailer?
3	A Right. He lives in a mobile home in Freer.
q	Q Where is that mobile home located?
5	A In Freer.
6	Q In Freer?
7	A Right.
8	Q Do you know whether or not he maintains an
9	airplane?
10	A I've heard him talk on occasions of owning an
11	airplane.
12	Q Have you ever seen the airplane?
13	A No, sir, I have not.
14	Q Do you know of your own knowledge where he
15	keeps the airplane?
16	A No, sir, I do not, Mr. Hendricks.
17	MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Nabers?
19	BY MR. NABERS
20	Q Mr. Correa, I'm almost afraid to ask this
21	question, but you stated that Mr. Parr said something
22	about, asked the question, "Who taught the auditor to
23	steal?" Is that right?
24	A No, sir. The question was, "Who taught him
25	how to steal?" And he was talking about the- I believe

his correct title is the Tax Assessor and Collector, or 1 Business Manager for the Benavides Independent School 2 District, Mr. Rudy Couling. 3 Q Okay. Mr. Couling. In other words, the question was, "Who taught Mr. Couling how to steal?" 5 Right. 6 I don't understand who was supposed to have 7 been the teacher. 8 I don't either. Α 9 By the inference, I assume there was a 10 teacher. 11 Α Yes, sir. In the sneaky way in which Mr. Parr 12 asked the question, it inferred that it was somebody in 13 that room. 14 I see. Who was the County Judge when Amaya Q 15 was appointed a Commissioner? 16 Mr. Dan Tobin had been appointed County Judge. 17 So, he was the County Judge at the time Amaya 18 was appointed? 19 That very same day. 20 Q All right. Both of them took office the same 21 day? 22 That is probably disputed by some attorneys as Α 23 to whether they took office or not, but yes, sir, to answer 24 But they both assumed that role that same your question. 25

day.

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

25

Q Did you have any conversations with Judge Carrillo about the functions of the Grand Jury?

A None, other than that particular conversation in which we discussed the possibility of indicting Mr. Marvin Foster, Charlie Orr and Rudy Couling.

Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not Judge Carrillo is a good judge and should be continued in office?

A I have an opinion.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I think the Chair is going to interrupt there. That is a conclusion which the Chair feels like the Committee ought to reach and let's try to hold the questions to the witness to facts.

A Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. NABERS: Pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?

## BY MR. KASTER

Q Mr. Correa, this meeting on February 2nd in the cafe where you and Mr. Manges and Mr. Amaya were discussing the Grand Jury and you had stated that there was the possibility of reindicting René Martinez, did Mr. Manges or Mr. Amaya indicate that, when Mr. Manges said he wanted the situation cleared up, did he indicate any people who the Grand Jury might go after or any allusions

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

25

toward that fact, or not?

A I don't think so. First of all, I would like to clarify that by saying, "not reindicting, but indicting again." Maybe that is redundant and synonymons, but he had already been indicted by a previous Grand Jury, talking about Martinez. We talked about indicting him again. Or Mr. Amaya talked about indicting him again by this Grand Jury—on a different charge, of course. No, sir, at that particular time we did not discuss who we were going after except that all these records were going to be brought in.

Q When did it come after, or come out that you were going after Mr. Orr and Mr. Foster?

A In the meeting in Mr. Carrillo's office. I believe I gave that date.

Q Yes. I have it.

MR. KASTER: Fine. That's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson?

MR. DONALDSON: Pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Laney?

MR. LANEY: Pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Thompson?

## BY MRS. THOMPSON

Q Mr. Correa, you indicated that Mr. Bates represented the Grand Jury.

A For a very brief period. Yes, ma'am.

Q Do you know whether you have served—can you give me an idea as to when?

A Possibly I've served— an idea would be that in the last six years I have served on the Grand Jury three times. It is just an estimate.

Q Yes, sir. On these other occasions that you served as a member of the Grand Jury, have you had similar experiences with any citizen of Duval County with respect to who might be indicted or who might be appointed to different offices?

A No, sir. No, sir.

Q Have you ever had any conversations with the District Judge of that judicial dictrict in connection with any possible indictments?

A Never before.

Q Up until this time?

A Correct.

Q Then I would take that to mean that the situation that occurred during this particular time has been unusual.

A To say the least, Mr. Chavez.

Q Now, you also, in testifying about conversations, and particularly with respect to Mr. Manges, that on occasion he used the word "we" like at that time that you were at Jerry's, and that Mr. Nichols brought up that

"we want to reindict," or "indict" Mr. Martinez, and Mr. Manges had said, "Well, we don't want that." Do you know who he intended to encompass in that word?

A No, sir, I don't. And I kind of believe that perhaps it is a very customary thing to say "we" in situations like that. I can recall the late President Lyndon B. Johnson saying "We are going to be operated on a certain day." And it was just him that was going to be operated.

Q Was it in connection with any conversations Mr. Manges might have had with you on things he might have wanted done or people indicted? Is there any indication in there that might reflect that this was something by agreement that he might have had with the District Judge?

A Obviously, any indictment would probably have to come with the cooperation of the District Attorney's office and the District Judge. Certainly by the District Attorney's office. The Judge just receives the indictment.

Q That takes me to my next question. Prior to the time that you were appointed to the Grand Jury, had you had any conversations with the District Attorney about the work that you all would be conducting?

A No, sir. I met Mr. Guerra on a day that I believe we were impaneled.

Q And Mr. Manges indicated to you that some records were going to be subpoensed. Did he indicate to you that this was what the District Attorney wanted to do, or whether this was to be initiated by the Grand Jury itself?

A I believe that the investigation belongs to the Grand Jury, and certainly the whole idea would have to be with the approval of the Grand Jury, but of course, the District Attorney doing the actual work of issuing the subpoenas.

Q In other words, when the Grand Jury meets, at least in Duval County, the Grand Jury decides what they are going to do without waiting for the District Attorney to present cases that he might have?

A No, sir, that is not true. In many instances they return subpoenas to the Grand Jury that have been issued by the District Attorney, in this instance by the Attorney General's office, are being returned to the Grand Jury without us having knowledge of them being issued.

Q Right. But the investigation concerning the school, this was supposed to be on the initiative of the Grand Jury?

A Of the Grand Jury and the records were brought to the Grand Jury room and we, the Grand Jury members, looked at the records.

Q There was no other, aside from the District

3

i

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

BY MR. DONALDSON

22

23

25

more to the meeting in Judge Carrillo's office when you were present, George Parr, and I believe you said Amaya and you thought Ashby might have been there. Were those

Attorney, were there any individual citizens that themselves on their own initiative requested formally of the Grand Jury that the investigation be conducted in this area?

A At that time I don't think so, Mr. Chavez. At later dates through the Attorney General's office, the citizens of the county have requested that certain wrong-doings or what they felt were wrongdoings, be investigated. And they have proven to be very fruitful, or disastrous, if you please.

- Q To some people.
- A To some people. Right.
- Q But specifically, at least—and I don't particularly care what Mr. Manges might have been doing with the Grand Jury, but what I'm interested in is, has the District Judge himself in any way interfered with the makeup or the work of the Grand Jury?
  - A Not to my knowledge, sir.

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.

MR. DONALDSON: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson?

I want to direct your attention back once

the four people that were present? 1 No, sir. 2 Mr. Amaya left. Q 3 Okay. And I believe what I said, the four people 4 that were present, and possibly a fifth one. Mr. George 5 Parr was there, Judge Carrillo was there, Mr. Manges was 6 there, and I was there. So of those four I am positive. Q Right. 8 And it appears to me that Mr. Ashby was still 9 in the office with me, but I cannot recollect for sure. 10 Q Okay. And at that time you were Secretary 11 of the Grand Jury-12 A Correct. 13 -which was functioning. Right? Q 14 Α Correct. 15 Q At that time, was Mr. Ashby on the Grand Jury? 16 Α No, sir. 17 Q Okay. 18 Now, the general tenor of that meeting was to 19 discuss, number one, as you have related Mr. Parr's 20 position, that no indictments should be returned. 21 that correct? 22 Α To begin with, the general tenor of No, sir. 23 the meeting, if I may use your words, was to call Correa 24

on the carpet and chew his tail out for dragging his feet

25

Correa -Donaldson . 1 on the Grand Jury. But we did not at that time realize, or no one realized at that time, that Mr. Parr was going to be 2 3 present at the meeting. If you will recall, I was asked 4 to go see Clinton Manges. 5 Q Okay. But the point I'm getting at is, in that meeting, the four of you, or the five of you, did discuss 6 7 the question of indictments. Right? 8 We discussed the question and the possibility of indicting Charlie Orr, Marvin Foster and Rudy Couling. () 10 Q All right. Now, who specifically, in that conversation, mentioned the possibility of indicting 11 12 those three people? 13 I believe it was Mr. Manges. And I say that 14 without really knowing. But I believe it was Mr. Manges. I know it was not the Judge, to begin with. 15 Q All right. At that time, didn't you also talk 16 about some money that had been taken from the school 17 district? 18 Α I believe that the only reference to the money 19 20 was the \$60,000 that were paid in attorneys fees. Okay. I believe you stated that you knew of 21 Q the amount of money and you knew of that amount of money 22 23 independently of the Grand Jury proceedings. You had seen the ledgers. Right? 24

25

It's a matter of record, yes, that this money Α

2 3

чí ς

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had been paid to these individuals.

Now, I believe you said that Mr. Manges is the Q one that specifically mentioned those three people.

What I said was that I believed it was Mr. Manges.

> Q Right. Okav.

Now, as I recall what you had stated earlier, Mr. Parr either said, or left you all with the impression, that he didn't feel like any of them should be indicted. Is that correct?

I believe that I had—let me go back and say this: Mr. Parr had indicated this to me, yes, and I had indicated this to Mr. Amaya, which I felt probably prompted Mr. Manges to become aware of Mr. Parr's situation.

> Q Okay.

Α And this is why I was called on the carpet for dragging my feet by, supposedly.

I believe you mentioned that Judge Carrillo, Q Judge O. P. Carrillo, turned to you and asked you a specific question.

> Α Definitely.

Q In reference to money.

Α Yes, sir.

What exactly was that question? As best you Q can recall.

A All right. I know that it's on record already, but the best I can recall, the question was, or he said—it was a statement. He said, "Correa, you tell Mr. Parr—'and I'm not sure if he called him George or Mr. Parr—'how much money we're talking about." And George turned to me and I said, "Mr. Parr, as near as I can remember, it is \$60,000 which were paid to these attorneys in a matter of two days," I believe is what I said.

Q All right. Now, what else, if anything, can you recall that Judge O. P. Carrillo stated during that meeting?

A I believe he said to Mr. Parr, "You didn't know it was this much." And that's about all that I can remember.

Q And that discussion was in the framework of a debate, so to speak, of whether or not this individual should be indicted by this Grand Jury. Right?

A I think that we could assume that. Yes, sir.

Q And if—and I don't want you to divulge anything here that would violate your oath as a grand juror, but if at that time there was an investigation pending before the Grand Jury in reference to that money, or anything else, Judge Carrillo then was discussing in your presence as Secretary of that Grand Jury the question of whether or not an individual should be indicted.

Α That is correct. 1 Now, as a member of that Grand Jury, were you Q 2 left with an impression from that conversation as to 3 whether or not Judge O. P. Carrillo was making a ٠i recommendation in reference to that indictment? 5 I think that I could say that he was trying to 6 convince Mr. Parr that he should let the Grand Jury 7 function. 8 He should let the Grand Jury function? Q 9 Α Right. Correct. 10 Q And the tenor of that conversation was that 11 that indictment should probably be returned. Right? 12 Α I think that that is a correct assumption. 13 Q Do you know of anybody that had discussed 14 the Grand Jury proceedings with Judge O. P. Carrillo 15 prior to that time? 16 Α No, sir. 17 In the context of that money, that \$60,000, 18 we were talking about the two attorneys that received the 19 attorneys fees. Right? 20 Α Correct. 21 Q And also, who is the third gentleman? What is 22 his name? 23 Α Rudy Couling? 24 Q Who was the Tax Assessor Collector. 25

Į

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q —with the display of any weapon? Will you tell the Committee what happened on that occasion.

A It's funny that I had forgotten. Mr. Amaya walked into the Diner with a little briefcase. He carries a briefcase often. And he got very emotional in our conversation over some of the things that Mr. Manges was saying. Mr. Manges, most of you have not had an opportunity to listen to him other than to invoke the Fifth Amendment, is a very persuasive individual, a very interesting individual to talk to. And he just comes across real strong.

Mr. Amaya got very emotional and he opened up his little briefcase and he says— I think that he was a little irritated at the fact that Mr. Martinez was not going to be indicted, even though the Grand Jury had not been impaneled. And he said, "We're going to do what you say, Clinton, because you're the boss." And he opened up his little briefcase and pulled out a gun, a pistol. And he said, "If we don't get them that way, we'll get them like this." And I said "Put that damn thing away. It scares me."

And, yes, sir, he did show a gun in Jerry's Diner.

Q All right, sir. I get the impression from your testimony, all though your testimony, that Mr. Manges is fairly well calling the shots on what is taking place down there. Is that the impression you intend to leave

before the Committee?

A I think a more correct impression would be that Mr. Manges is trying to call the shots, and he has very notably called them with some people. This is correct.

- Q Well, you say he made a statement that if Archer Parr didn't pay Jim Bates that he would see that he was ousted.
  - A That is correct. He made that statement to me.
  - Q All right. And Archer Parr has been ousted.
  - A That is also correct.
- Q What two people would he have to use influence on to oust the County Judge?
- A I believe it would be more complete to say three people, Jose Nichols, the Grand Jury Foreman; the District Attorney, Arnulfo Guerra; and the District Judge, Mr. Carrillo.
- Q To file a civil suit you wouldn't need the Grand Jury, would you? You would merely file that?
  What two people would—
  - A The District Attorney and the Judge, I assume.
  - Q Yes, sir.
- A I would like to make one thing clear, that in all cases, and possibly this is due to reflections from the press, in all cases it appears that the Grand Jury was in on the ousting because it says that the initial order

was asked by the Grand Jury Foreman, Jose R. Nichols. 1 And this is incorrect. The Grand Jury never discussed— 2 and this is at the risk of divulging, but I don't think it 3 is divulging because it didn't happen. We never discussed ousting. 5 MR. HENDRICKS: I believe that's all I have. 6 Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney? 8 BY VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY 9 Mr. Correa, do you know the Superintendent of Q 10 Schools at Freer? 11 Would you be more specific? There have been 12 so many. 13 The present Superintendent of Schools. Q 14 Mr. Fancher? Α 15 Q Is he any relationship of Mr. Manges? 16 Α It is my understanding he is his brother-in-law. 17 This meeting that took place in Judge Carrillo's 0 18 office, I believe you said, was on February the 14th? 19 I believe that's correct. Yes. Α 20 And the Grand Jury had been sworn in on Q 21 February the 3rd. 22 Α Right. 23 So, the Grand Jury, if you just counted every Q 24 day, had only been working eleven days.

25

A Right.

Q And you say that someone keeps talking about that you were dragging your feet on indictments.

A This is correct.

Q What were you doing that would create the impression in someone's mind you were dragging your feet?

A I did one thing very specifically. I made

Manuel Amaya, Jr. aware of the fact that George was not

in favor of indictments. That was one thing specifically

that prompted that statement I was dragging my feet.

Q When did you make Manuel Amaya aware of this?

A Some time between February 3rd and February the 14th. And if I'm not—well, I'm not mistaken—it was in the presence of Dan Tobin, Jr., at Mr. Tobin's house.

Q What was the occasion for being there?

A We visited, drank coffee at his house from time to time, not very often. But we might have had some school business. He was the President of the School Board at the time. We might have had some school business, too.

Q Some time between February the 3rd and February the 14th you had at least we'll call it a social visit with Manuel Amaya and Dan Tobin, Jr.?

A That's correct, at Mr. Dan Tobin's house-

residence.

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

At which time you had made the statement to Manuel Amaya that, I assume this would be Mr. George Parr that you're talking about, was not in favor of any indictments.

- That is correct.
- And you feel this is what caused Clinton Manges to send for you?
  - This is correct.
- Now may I ask how you became aware that George 0 Parr was not in favor of any indictments?
  - He told me himself.
  - On what occasion was this? Q
  - Gosh, I saw George almost on a daily basis.
- Well, will you tell the Committee what the conversation was where he told you he didn't want any indictments?

He told me-and this happened several times. but the gist of any one of those conversations would be that Clinton wanted this thing cleaned up and that it looked like it was probably a good thing; that after all, they were going to go after records, not preceding, or not to go back any further than January 1, 1974, so let's get with it, let them look through the records and, hell, we'll keep the indictments from coming. He also indicated

to me that it was very important, mind you, it was very 1 important that I, as Secretary of the Grand Jury, did not 2 reveal to the members of the Grand Jury, and certainly to 3 the District Attorney's office, that I was not going to be 4 in favor of indictments; that I would go ahead and reveal 5 that I was all in favor of indictments so possibly this 6 would keep them off the San Diego Independent School 7 District. I listened to it. I did my own thing. 8 think I made a lot of enemies over it. I know that Mr. 9 Couling felt very, very bad about the way that I con-10 ducted my own investigation.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Members of the Carrillo family, I feel certain, were very hurt. But I did my own thing. since I became Assistant Superintendent I tendered a letter of resignation effective August 31, 1975, and I'm going to continue to do my own thing.

I'm still not very clear on why Manuel Amaya 0 and Clinton Manges would think that you were dragging your feet because George Parr didn't think there should be any indictments.

I'm not real sure that why-- I guess they had a feeling that since I had made that statement that I was going to vote against indictments-

Are you saying that you had the feeling that they felt that you were a George Parr man?

- 1
- Q
- 4
- ų.
- 5
- 6
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 22
- . 53
- 24
- 25

- There was no question in their minds.
- Q Were you?
- A Yes, sir.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: That's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?

## BY MR. CHAVEZ

Α

- Q Did I understand you to say that you had made a statement that you were going to vote against indictments?
- A No. I think that they had the feeling that I was going to vote against indictments. I never told anybody whether I was going to vote for or against indictments. Not even George Parr.
- Q Okay. When George Parr indicated to you that not to go past January 1, '74, and that in that way, "We could hold off the indicatents," did he indicate to you how that was going to take place, how he was going to prevent any indicatents from being returned?
- A It was very obvious that there were members of the Grand Jury that he could talk to, but I was not one of them. He could talk to me but he didn't want me to be a part of no indictments.
- Q Do you know whether Mr. Manges or Parr or the Judge had been talking to any other members of the Grand Jury?

1	
2	

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

BY MR. DOYLE

20 21

22

23 24

25

Α There is no question in my mind that they had great opportunities to. I know Mr. Manges had a great opportunity to talk to the Grand Jury Foreman and to Mr. Damron. At that time Mr. Juan Martinez was working for the County and under the direct supervision of Mr. George B. Parr, and he's a member of the Grand Jury.

Yes. Well, outside of the Grand Jury room, did any of these people talk to you about the possibility of indictments or who they ought to get after, or anything like that?

No, sir, they didn't.

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions?

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Doyle?

MR. DOYLE: No members of the Committee I have a couple of areas I would like to explore with this witness just for a moment.

Q Correa, you were a principal of a school Mr. in the San Diego system from '65 or so up until you took the position of the Temporary Superintendent?

Yes, sir. From the summer of 1964 until the summer of 1973.

Did you ever have an occasion during that period

of time to do business with the Zertuche General 1 I never knew that one existed. 2 Have you ever had occasion at any time during 3 your tenure down there to know of or be a party to the á school district doing business with the Benavides 5 Implement and Hardware? 6 No, sir. Benavides is sixteen miles from San Diego, and I go through there on occasions going to 8 Hebbronville, or I go to Benavides to-9 But you don't know of your school district 10 buying anything? 11 No, sir. No. None whatsoever. Not since I 12 have been Superintendent. And I have no knowledge of 13 them ever doing business with them prior to the time that 14 I became Superintendent. 15 Q Are you familiar with the Benavides Implement 16 and Hardware? Do you know of its existence? 17 No, sir, I don't. I don't even know where 18 it's located. 19 You don't know that Mr. Couling owns it? Q 20 I think I would be divulging. Α 21 Okay. Q 22 Α Is that fair to say, Mr. Chairman? 23 CHAIRMAN HALE: That is fair enough. 24 Yes, sir. Let me ask you this: Have you ever Q 25

5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

l

2

3

4

had an occasion to talk to Mr. Commissioner Carrillo or
Mr. Judge Carrillo about Benavides Implement and Hardware?

A No, sir.

Q Do you have any independent knowledge of their connection with this entity?

A Am I to assume that independent knowledge would be not—

Q I don't want you to give us things that you feel would be divulging Grand Jury—

A I think that anything that I give you— I think that any information that I might give you around or in relation to the Benavides Implement Company would come under the secrecy of the Grand Jury. So I would rather not.

Q All right.

In other words, prior to your service as a Grand Jury member during this term, you did not know anything about that sort of thing.

A I did not know anything about that sort of thing.

Q Okay. And you have no knowledge of your school system doing business with Zertuche or Benavides Implement and Hardware?

A No, sir.

Q How about the Farm and Ranch Store? Are you

23

24

25

familiar at all with it, or would that come under the same category?

- A It would come under the same category.
- Q That's fine.

Do you know if the records of the District Clerk would reflect indictments in connection with wrongdoings of Benavides or Zertuche?

- A Stores?
- Q Yes, sir.
- A I don't think they would reflect anything at this point.
  - Q Yes, sir.

MR. DOYLE: Thank you, Mr. Correa.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Canales?

## BY MR. CANALES

Q Mr. Correa, I would like to ask you questions in two areas, one very briefly. At the time that—well, prior to Dan Tobin's assuming the County Judgeship, he was a County Commissioner, was he not?

- A Of Precinct 1. Yes, sir.
- Q Was he serving at that time also on the San Diego Independent School District, or School Board?
- A Yes, sir. He was President of the San Diego School District.
  - Q At the same time he was County Commissioner?

Yes, sir.

Α

Q The second area of questioning I would like to go into this conversation or the trip from Wayne's Restaurant in Alice, Texas back through San Diego all the way to the cookhouse at the Duval County Ranch Company. Could we go into a little bit more detail? You mentioned something to the effect that Clinton had read in the paper or was otherwise apprised of the fact that the County Auditor was reluctant to release some of the records, claiming that the investigation was a sham. You say at that time he called Judge Carrillo?

- A That is correct.
- Q At home?
- A Yes. Well, no. I don't know where he called him. I assume it was at home. This was late in the evening and he dialed him from inside his car and I talked to him personally but, to answer your question more specifically, I just assumed that he was at home.
- Q At this point is where I want to be a little more detailed. What actually did you hear Clinton ask Judge Carrillo?
- A Nothing. He handed me the phone and I talked to the Judge.
  - Q You talked to the Judge?
  - A That is correct.

Q What did you ask the Judge?

A I just asked the Judge if he was aware of the fact that Walter Meeks was being reluctant, or was reluctant to produce the records and what could we do to make him produce? And, you know, it was a very brief conversation. He said to get in touch with Arnulfo and I think we can send out a writ on it and we'll get him to bring in the records.

8

10

7

Q You were driving down the highway. Clint was discussing the fact, I would assume, that Mr. Meeks was reluctant to produce the records?

11

A Correct.

13

Q And he decided or recommended or just picked up the phone and called the Judge?

15

14

A Right.

16

Q And told you to talk to him?

17

A Right.

18

Q And ask him what could be done?

19

could probably expedite what you're trying to bring out

That is correct. I think, Mr. Canales, I

21

by saying that it is my impression that had Mr. Clinton

Manges not picked me up that evening and had he not

22

initiated the phone calls that the next morning the Grand

23 24

Jury would not have met and sent out a writ of attachment

25

for the records; that it was wholly and entirely on the

I 2

3

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

> 23 24

25

initiative of Clinton Manges that this was done.

- Q The Judge was acting at the instance or at the request of Mr. Clinton Manges?
  - Certainly the District Attorney.
  - The District Attorney?
- Well, it was the District Attorney who, you know, had to do the research.
- Q Well, I understand and I was going to get to that. You picked up the phone at the-well, you received the phone from Mr. Clinton Manges. You talked to the Judge. He indicated what your remedy would be if you needed to obtain these particular records, possibly a writ of attachment. At such time you hung up the conversation terminated and Mr. Clinton Manges called Arnulfo Guerra.
  - Α From his car. That is correct.
- In fact, he made two telephone calls, his Q first one not being successful.
  - Α Right.
  - And reached him at home? Q
  - Α I feel certain we reached him at home.
- Did you comment to Mr. Manges about his ability Q to recall phone numbers at that time?
- Definitely. And I'm still very impressed. Α I commended and I'm still very impressed by his ability to

Did he reply? Q

recall.

Α He said it was just something he could do easily.

Q Did Mr. Manges talk to Arnulfo Guerra, or did you talk to Arnulfo Guerra?

He talked to him briefly and then handed the phone to me one more time.

> Q What did Mr. Manges tell Arnulfo Guerra?

"Here is the Grand Jury Secretary. He wants to talk to you."

Indicating that you were going to tell him what he was going to need or what you all were going to need?

That's correct.

And you told Arnulfo that the Judge had indicated to you that you needed a writ of attachment? Is that a fair statement?

I told Arnulfo that I had already visited with the Judge on the phone and that he felt that we should do something to make Mr. Meeks produce the records, and that a writ of attachment was probably the answer. I also told Arnulfo that I was willing to drive to Rio Grande City and pick it up and bring it to the Sheriff's Office, if that need be.

> What did Arnulfo tell you? Q

Α He said, "Well, let me research this and find 1 out how to write one up." 2 3 Not being familiar with the process of a writ of attachment he said he was going to brief it? 4 A 5 Right. Q That night? 6 7 That same night. And he told me then, he said, "I'm leaving the house now and I'm on my way to the 8 office at Roma or at Rio Grande City." And I asked him 9 where I could reach him and he gave me-no, he didn't 10 give me the numbers. He just said "the office, or the-11 one office or the other." 12 Q Okay. From there you proceeded on the highway 13 to Freer, picked up the armed entourage and continued 14 out to the ranch. 15 Yes, sir, after making two more phone calls. 16 My testimony will reflect that we called Joe Nichols. 17 Joe Nichols, and told him to get up and to go Q 18 to the cookhouse? 19 To meet us at the cookhouse. Α 20 .Okay. Q 21 And we also called Bob Forsche. 22 Mr. Forsche. That is the special investigator Q 23 for the District Attorney's office? 24 Some people call him the Reverend

25

Α

Yes, sir.

ı

Forsche.

2

Q The Reverend.

3

Oh, yes. He's the one that owns the Corrasco car, or had possession of the Corrasco car?

5

A I believe customs owns the car, but he had parked it under one of Mr. Parr's sheds up there at security.

7

Q But it was held in the name of some church.

9

Southern Calvary, to be exact.

10

Q When you reached the ranch, was Mr. Nichols there at the cookhouse?

11

A No. Mr. Nichols was half asleep during our conversation and he thought that he was supposed to meet us at Pecks. And it took him about fifteen or twenty minutes. I called him at Pecks, which is a restaurant in

14

13

Freer. I called him at Pecks and told him that we were

at the cookhouse, and he came on over then.

Were there any conversations, any phone calls?

16 17

Q What transpired enroute from Freer to the cookhouse between yourself, Mr. Manges and Mr. Forsche?

19 20

18

A No. sir.

21

Q None at all?

23

A We just visited. There were a number of deer on the road and we shined the light on them, looked at

them, and this type of thing, the headlights from the car.

24

25

We didn

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We didn't shoot them, even though we were properly armed.

Q When you arrived at the cookhouse, were there any conversations between yourself, Mr. Forsche and Mr. Manges prior to the arrival of Mr. Nichols?

A Other than the fact that we had to get ahold of Joe Nichols and we had to get ahold of Arnulfo and get this thing going, no, sir.

- Q There were no conversations?
- A No, sir.
- Q And no telephone calls?

A I believe I tried to call Arnulfo from the cookhouse before Joe Nichols got there. But I didn't reach him.

Q Once Mr. Nichols arrived there, were there any conversations at that time?

A The gist of the conversation was that we had to get this writ of attachment and that if need be that we would go pick it up in Rio Grande City; that if it need be that Mr. Nichols would go with me so he could sign it as Foreman of the Grand Jury. And that probably was the whole gist of the conversation. We did not discuss indicting anybody.

Q No. I'm just trying to get in to a little more detail to find out who was talked to and what not.

And after this conversation, you finally did

1 contact Arnulfo in Roma, or Rio Grande City? 2 Α I finally got in touch with him. 3 Q And what did he tell you? 4 He told me he would be in San Diego, to go 5 ahead and call the Grand Jury together, which we did, and that he would be in San Diego the next morning at 8:00 6 o'clock. 7 8 And he would be armed with his writ of 9 attachment? 10 Α Correct. 11 Q And no more conversations took place out at the ranch? 12 That's right. 13 Α 14 Q Then how did you return home, and when? Joe Nichols took me home. 15 Q Joe Nichols. 16 It was about 3:00 o'clock in the morning when Α 17 I got home. 18 19 Were there any discussions between yourself and Joe Nichols at that time? Obviously they weren't in-20 well-21 No, sir. You know, we probably discussed what 22 23 we were doing and that was about the extent of it. don't remember any. I was really sleepy. 24 Let me ask you, Mr. Correa, all these phone 25 Q

24

25

calls that you made to the District Attorney, were they made direct distance dialing where they would be a matter of record, or were they placed—

A They were direct dialed.

I'm not sure whether Freer has a direct dialing system or not. Maybe somebody here in the-

- Q The one to Roma was a direct distance call?
- A. I'm not sure whether they have a direct dialing.
- Q It wasn't a credit card call is what I'm saying.
- A Oh, no. It was not a credit card call. They would be charged to the cookhouse phone.
- Q Did you call the members, or were the members of the Grand Jury called that night to meet the next morning?
  - A That is correct.
  - Q They were all called from the cookhouse?
  - A Correct.
- Q And all of those phone calls were charged to Clinton Manges's ranch?
  - A To that particular phone, I'm sure.
- Q Would it be a fair statement to say that Mr.

  Manges has participated well in the investigation of the

  Grand Jury?
- A Financially? Definitely. He even at one time indicated that Jim Bates didn't have to worry about where

Q

And yet on a subsequent date concerning a

. 

subsequent attorney, namely Mr. Bates, who was to be hired as special prosecutor for the Grand Jury, Mr. Manges indicated to you that if Parr didn't approve the payment by the Duval County, that he, Mr. Parr, would be ousted?

A That is correct.

Q Mr. Manges was very concerned on the one instance that attorneys fees not be paid; he was very concerned on the other instance that attorneys fees be paid. Is that correct?

A That is a correct assumption. I think that in all fairness to Mr. Manges, he probably was more concerned with the nature of the service that had been rendered by the two previous attorneys, and whether that should have been paid. He was well convinced that the nature of the services rendered by Jim Bates merited pay.

Q Why did he want to indict the attorneys? Did he make any expression on that?

A No, sir. I know that he doesn't like Marvin.

Marvin killed a doe on his ranch just prior to this, and

it upset him a great deal.

Q And during all of these conversations that you have related, the numerous conversations between you and various people and between Mr. Nichols and various people, all of these conversations occurred while you were

 Secretary of the then existing Grand Jury and while Mr. Nichols was Chairman of the then existing Grand Jury?

A Yes, sir. As a matter of fact, I had not— Joe and I renewed acquaintances the day that the Grand Jury was impaneled, if that is correct to say. We had known each other. He at one time served as the Chief of Police from Raymondville, and I'm from that part of the country. And we had not seen each other since then. Any conversation that I had with Mr. Nichols is definitely after we had been impaneled. That is correct.

Q And during these conversations with people who were not members of the Grand Jury, most of these conversations that you have related involving Mr. Morris Ashby and involving Mr. Manges and involving Judge Carrillo and involving George Parr, none of them were members of the Grand Jury. Is that right?

A No, sir. That is correct.

Q And all of those people were taking and carrying on conversations with you and with Mr. Nichols, or with both of you, concerning the functions and work of the Grand Jury?

- A Definitely.
- Q Is that right?
- A That's correct.
- Q And on some of those occasions, Judge Carrillo

personally participated in the conversation. On one occasion. 2 3 And on other occasions he, as District Judge, was aware that outsiders were taking to the Grand Jury ıi. about the functions of the Grand Jury. 5 I don't think I can answer that question, sir. 6 All right. Did Judge Carrillo ever instruct you or ever instruct Mr. Nichols in your presence that 8 you as members of the Grand Jury were not to discuss these 9 matters with people not members of the Grand Jury? 10 Α The day that we were impaneled. Yes, sir. 11 Q In open court? 12 Α Right. 13 Did he ever on any other occasions of these 14 subsequent converstaions ever give you any such 15 instructions? 16 No. sir. 17 For example, when the phone call from Mr. 18 Manges' car to Judge Carrillo concerning the work of the 19 Grand Jury, did Judge Carrillo at that time say anything 20 to you about that you shouldn't be out in the middle of 21 the night talking to Mr. Manges about the work of the 22 Grand Jury? 23 Α No, sir, he didn't. 24

Q

25

Have you ever served on any other Grand Jury

where outsiders were contacting individual members of the Grand Jury in an attempt to influence them in the official performance of their duties?

I cannot recollect any such occasion before.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there other questions?

Mr. Nabers?

BY MR. NABERS

Did you give us, or do you know briefly the names of all of the members of the Grand Jury?

Yes, sir. I think I could probably give you the names of all of them. Would you like to have that?

> MR. CHAVEZ: We have them already.

MR. NABERS: Do we have them?

MR. CHAVEZ: The first day, I think.

MR. JOHNSON: It is in the record.

THE CLERK: I have them.

MR. NABERS: Nothing else, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is there any need to hold

Correa here this afternoon?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Correa, the Chair will

advise you again that you are under subpoena to the

11 12

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25 Committee. With that understanding, and with the knowledge that you are subject to recall in the event something should develop that we need your further testimony, subject to that limitation, the Chair will advise you that you are free to go. You can leave Austin and go back to your business. Just don't leave the State of Texas or get out of pocket, because you are still under subpoena to the committee and there is always a possibility on any of the witnesses that we might want to recall you at a later date.

Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: But subject to recall by the Committee, you are free to go about your business.

> Thank you, Mr. Hale. Α

CHAIRMAN HALE: On behalf of the Committee, let me express to you our appreciation for your testimony here today and for your obviously frank and honest answers.

Α Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I think the Chair advised all the witnesses here earlier-and I'm sure you heard it-that if you will contact the clerk you can get your travel voucher and get your expenses paid for this trip.

(The witness, Mr. Aurelio Correa, was

excused.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Members of the Committee, ı it's about 20 minutes to 1:00 now. How much time do we 2 need? Say, would 2:15 be adequate? 3 MR. CHAVEZ: 2:00 o'clock. 4 MR. DONALDSON: 2:00 o'clock. CHAIRMAN HALE: 2:00 o'clock? 6 All right. Mr. Donaldson moves the Committee 7 stand recessed until 2:00 o'clock this afternoon. 8 there objection? The Chair hears none. The Committee 9 will stand recessed until 2:00 o'clock this afternoon. 10 (Whereupon, at 12:40 p.m., the hearing was 11 recessed, to reconvene at 2:00 o'clock p.m.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 TUESDAY, JULY 15, 1975 2 AFTERNOON SESSION 3 (The hearing was reconvened at 2:10 p.m., pur⊷ ų. suant to the luncheon recess.) 6 CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come to order. 8 Mr. Hector Zertuche? Are you represented by a 9 lawyer? 10 MR. ZERTUCHE: Not yet, sir. I haven't 11 talked to my lawyer yet. 12 CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you intend to, before 13 you testify? 14 MR. ZERTUCHE: Yes, sir. 15 CHAIRMAN HALE: I was told that some of the witnesses here—is Mr. Mitchell going to represent you? 16 17 MR. ZERTUCHE: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, we'd probably better 18 19 hold him until in the morning then. 20 MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, may I ask him 21 a couple of questions before we decide to turn him loose? 22 CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, we're not going to 23 turn him loose, exactly. 24 I understood you to say, Ms. Fox, that Mr. 25 Mitchell wouldn't be here until tommorrow morning?

MS. FOX: That's right. He is due here 1 late this afternoon and will be here in the morning. 2 CHAIRMAN HALE: That's what I understood 3 4 you to say. Mr. Doyle, without even swearing the witness, if you want to ask him one or two questions-6 7 MR. DOYLE: I just wanted to be sure. Zertuche, you have already retained Mr Mitchell to repre-8 sent you in this matter? 9 MR. ZERTUCHE: I haven't talked to him yet. 10 MR. DOYLE: So you haven't --- you don't know 11 whether he is going to agree to represent you or not. 12 that correct? 13 MR. ZERTUCHE: I'm sure that he will. 14 feel that he will. 15 MR. DOYLE: You've not discussed the matter 16 with any other attorney? 17 MR. ZERTUCHE: No, sir. 18 MR. DOYLE: When were you subpoensed? 19 MR. ZERTUCHE: Thursday evening, I believe. 20 MR. DOYLE: Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair is disposed to 22 give the witness the opportunity to talk with Mr. Mitchell 23 before we put him on the witness stand. 24 Mr. Zertuche, you are under subpoena to the 25

Committee, and the Chair is not releasing you from that subpoena. Do you understand?

MR. ZERTUCHE: Yes, sir.

2

3

÷

5

6

8

0

10

Н

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

until tomorrow morning at ten o'clock. You're welcome to stay in here this afternoon and watch the proceedings if you want to, or you're free to go until ten o'clock tomorrow morning. In the meantime, I would urge you to contact Mr Mitchell. This is one of his associates here, this Ms. Fox. I presume you know that.

MR. ZERTUCHE: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And you can make arrange ments with her to make contact with Mr. Mitchell tonight,—
MR. ZERTUCHE: I will.

CHAIRMAN HALE: —so that you can appear here tomorrow morning. So you are released until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Doyle.

MR. DOYLE: Could I ask that you inform Mr. Zertuche, since I understand that previously there has been a question of conflict of interest between Mr. Carrillo, Mr. Judge Carrillo and his counsel, with respect to his counsel representing somebody else in this investigation, I would appreciate it if you would advise Mr.

7 8

10

11

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

25

Zertuche that if Mr. Mitchell sees fit not to represent him, and that if he finds that out today, to please let us know as quickly as possible, because we do need to move along and perhaps we can work somebody else in in the morning, and perhaps give him time to seek other counsel in the morning if Mr. Mitchell declines to represent him.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I suspect Mr. Mitchell will represent him, would be my guess. Wouldn't it be yours, Ms. Fox?

MS. FOX: It would.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I think we can be pretty confident Mr. Mitchell will represent him.

In the remote contingency he is not agreeable to representing you, Mr Zertuche, that Chair would urge you, if you are going to get a lawyer, that you make contact with somebody and have them here at ten o'clock in the morning if that is possible. Okay?

MR. ZERTUCHE: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: You're free to go until ten o'clock in the morning, or you're free to stay if you like.

Is Mr. Arturo Zertuche—does that same situation apply to you?

MR. ZERTUCHE: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Fine. The Chair will 1 excuse you until ten o'clock in the morning, too then, 2 3 or you are free to stay. Mr. Mitchell is already repre-4 senting you, I believe, isn't he? MR. ZERTUCHE: Yes, sir. He is my lawyer 5 right now, sir. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HALE: And Mr. Ramiro Carrillo, is that same thing true with you? 8 MR. CARRILLO: That's correct. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell is going to represent you? 11 MR. CARRILLO: He is already representing 12 me. 13 CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, fine. That will be 14 Well, we'll-I'll tell you the same thing I did 15 fine. You're free to stay if you want; if you want to them. 16 leave, the Chair will excuse you until ten o'clock in the 17 morning. 18 Mr. J. H. Saenz. Let the record reflect that 19 Saenz is represented by Mr. Emilio Davila, an attorney 20 of Laredo, Texas. 21 Mr. Saenz, you have previously testified before 22 the Committee, I believe. You were here on one previous 23 occasion, and I think it's probably unnecessary for the 24 Chair to give you the customary warning since you have 25

your counsel with you, and he can advise you as to all 1 2 your rights. 3 Out of a superabundance of precaution, would 4 you let the Chair, permit the Chair to swear you again, 5 although you were sworn before? 6 (The witness was administered the oath by the Chairman.) 8 9 MR. J. H. SAENZ 10 previously called as a witness, was recalled by the 11 Committee and, having been duly sworn, testified further 12 as follows: 13 **EXAMINATION** 14 BY CHAIRMAN HALE 15 Q State your name, please. Jose H. Saenz. 16 Α And you live in San Diego? 17 Q Α That is correct, sir. 18 19 And do you hold any official position there? Q 20 At this time? 21 MR. DAVILA: Mr. Chairman, may I make a 22 statement? 23 CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, sir, Mr. Davila. MR. DAVILA: As you well know, and the 24 25 other members of this Committee, Mr. Saenz has testified

complaint?

here before, and as a result of evidence that has come out of these hearings, Mr. Saenz is charged with a criminal complaint now in Duval County, which is pending. So out of an abundance of caution, I don't want to delay or impede your work here today, but out of the abundance of caution and of self-preservation, every question that you will propound to Mr Saenz, when he sees fit to consult me, he is going to do so. I just wanted you to know that.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, I would hope that he would consult with you. Are you telling me that he is going to take the Fifth Amendment on every one of them?

MR. DAVILA: No. No. I'm saying that we are not going to impede the work of this Committee. I am just saying that on several questions, I am sure, he is going to consult with me before answering, and that will delay the work a little. But—

CHAIRMAN HALE: That's perfectly all right.

MR. DAVILA: —the reason is because
heretofore the evidence that has come out here in his
testimony has resulted in a criminal complaint having
been charged against him, and is pending in Duval County
now.

CHAIRMAN HALE: What is the nature of that

Saenz - Doyle Ī MR. DAVILA: I believe it is a false 2 acknowledgement. The Attorney General's office, or one ş of the Texas Rangers filed a complaint. 4 CHAIRMAN HALE: In county court, or 5 district? 6 MR. DAVILA: I believe so. CHAIRMAN HALE: Misdemeanor? 8 MR. DAVILA: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN HALE: Counsel, of course the 10 Chair and the Committee expects you to advise and counsel 11 with your client as you see fit, and if it impedes the 12 work of the Committee it will just have to impede the 13 work of the Committee. That's what you're here for. 14 We will certainly accord him the right to confer with you 15 on any of the questions that he feels is necessary. Mr. Doyle? 16 17 BY MR. DOYLE Mr. Saenz, you testified earlier that you, at 18 Q 19 one time, worked for Judge O. P. Carrillo. Is that cor-20 rect? 21 Yes, sir. That's correct. Α Are you presently employed by the Judge, or 22 Q 23 Duval County in any capacity? 24 Yes, sir. Α 25 How are you employed now?

Q

HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

I'm a clerk for the Judge. 1 Α 2 And you still work for Judge Carrillo? Q 3 That is correct. Α 4 Are you paid by the Duval County, or are you Q 5 paid by more than one county? 6 Α My check comes from Duval County. 7 You're just paid by one check a month, Q Okav. 8 or some-the only governmental entity that you receive 9 pay from is the county of Duval. Is that correct? 10 Sir, there are sometimes I do extra work for 11 the Commissioner's Court, and I get an extra check for 12 that. 13 Okay. But you're regularly employed as a clerk Q in the 229th Judicial District Court of the State of 14 Texas? 15 Α That's correct. 16 Q And how much are you paid for your services? 17 Α \$750. 18 Per month? Q 19 That's correct. 20 Α Were you so employed in January of 1973? 21 Q 22 Α Yes, sir How long have you been employed as the clerk, 23 Q or a clerk, in the 229th District Court of the State of 24 25 Texas?

Α Since Judge Carrillo took office, I believe in 1 1971. 2 January of '71? 3 Q That's correct Α Are you familiar with the process that Duval Q County uses to pay people on a contract basis, wherein 6 the individual files what's called a "Claim for Payment"? Will you repeat that question, please? 8 Q Are you familiar -- let me ask it this way of 9 you, Mr. Saenz: The Committee has been informed that it 10 is rather a common practice in Duval County to pay cer⊷ 11 tain laborers or other individuals on a contract basis, 12 daily or weekly or monthly. They do a little work; they 13 get a little pay. They do a little more work; they get 14 a little more pay. They're not on a regular salary. And 15 they do this on what they call the basis of "Claims" and 16 they file what is called a "Claim for Payment." Are you 17 familiar with this system that is used? 18 Yes, sir. 19 Α You are familiar with it? Q 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 Has it traditionally been among your duties, Q 22 assigned to you by Judge Carrillo, that you handle certain 23 of these claims? 24

Claims for other people, or for myself?

15

2

á

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23 24

2 <

- Q No, sir. You're paid a salary, I understand?
- A Yes.
- Q You don't fill out a claim each month. You get paid by the—don't they hold income tax out of your check?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q No, sir. What I have reference to is claims that people file on a weekly basis, or even a daily basis, I understand sometime they do it.
  - A If I have been instructed by Judge Carrillo-
- Q No, sir I'm asking you if part of your duties in your capacity as clerk is to handle any of these claims, process them, type them up, see that they get to the right spot, and that sort of thing?
  - A It is not my duty, no sir.
- Q Okay. We have exhibits that have been introduced in evidence in this hearing, in this cause, which indicate that you signed certain of these claims only as a Notary; you know, taking an acknowledgement. I'm tryding to determine whether you did this in just a few isodated instances, or whether it was part of your regular routine that you did for the Judge, or for whoever.
- A I refuse to answer that on the grounds that it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman?

ન 

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$$  MALONEY: I move that the Committee insists upon an answer to that question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Just to that specific question, or do you want to develop this a little further?

MR. MALONEY: Let's have that question answered, and go from there.

A I invoke the privilege of——I believe I'm en—
titled to that.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I might suggest that is the Committee is going to take up Mr. Maloney's motion, the Committee perhaps should consider taking up Mr. Maloney's motion with the idea of a line of questions which I would like to propose to Mr Saenz, concerning a series of claims notarized by him in behalf of Roberto Elizondo and Patricio Garza, wherein it appears as though these two individuals submitted claims for payments to Duval County and ultimately were paid by Duval County. And if the Committee sees fit to take such action as would require Mr. Saenz to answer the questions, I would suggest that the Committee do so for the entire series of questions, so we wouldn't have to go through this process on each question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That was the reason that the Chair had said "about this question," or did we want

to wait. It occurs to me, the motion is going to be required, of course, is the motion to grant this witness immunity as a result of the testimony, and in order not to enlarge on that area of immunity, it occurs to the Chair that what we should do is lay out the questions that you want answered first. Let him take the Fifth on each of those questions. Then we will, the Chair will accept the motion as to those specific question for a grant of immunity. And then we can repeat the questions.

MR. DOYLE: I think that's the way we ought to proceed, Mr. Chairman. Do you want me to start asking the questions?

CHAIRMAN HALE: How is that?

MR. MALONEY: Well, Mr. Chairman, the way I understand the law on this is that the question is asked, the witness invokes the Fifth. At that time the Committee may decide whether it wishes to have the question answered or not. If they insist upon an answer to that question, he gets transactional immunity for anything arising from that answer. And until you have the answer, I don't know whether you want to ask another question. So I certainly don't want to grant immunity in areas that we haven't even asked the question yet.

MR. DOYLE: That's fine, Mr. Chairman. We can proceed on that basis. Mr. Maloney is probably

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

correct.

That's going to require CHAIRMAN HALE: a motion on every question then, if you proceed along those lines.

MR. DONALDSON: Let's do it that way.

MR. DOYLE: It won't take that long.

CHAIRMAN HALE: All right. Mr. Maloney moves that the witness be instructed by the Chair to answer the question, and that as a result of such answer the Committee is thereby granting him immunity from further prosecution for this testimony under the provisions of Section 13 of Article 5429 F. Is there any discussion on the motion?

MR. CHAVEZ: Mr. Chairman, could I ask a question? What about—he's got these pending charges. Is this immunity going to protect him as to those pending charges?

MR. MALONEY:

MR. DOYLE: Yes, sir.

MR. CHAVEZ: Are you sure?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, it will protect him only to the extent that the questions on which he testifies are pertinent to the charges that have been filed against him.

MR. CHAVEZ: No, sir. Charges haven't

been filed.

the charges were made . .

4

5

6

8

4)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

MR. DOYLE: If he answers truthfully.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Of course, the charges weren't filed as a result of his testimony up here, because he didn't testify to any of those things on which

MR. DAVILA: No. Mr. Chairman. of the evidence that came out of these hearings.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, sir.

MR. DAVILA: Those charges were filed, and it is my understanding that it involves these two specific claims.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, it might be to the interest of your client that this proceeding go along the way we're thinking then, Mr. Davila.

MR. DAVILA: It may be.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That's for you to decide. The Chair doesn't want to prejudice you in your advice to your client.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

Just a statement, Mr. Chair-MR. MALONEY: I don't believe that it will be necessary to go through a motion every time an answer is-a question is asked and an answer is desired, by the Committee. simply a matter of the Committee, or the Chairman,

.'

.

insisting upon the answer. The immunity is automatic under 5429 --F at that point.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I think that's probably true. We did specifically include it in the motion on every occasion where I've been involved with committees where we granted immunity. We made it abundantly clear that we were doing that as a matter of protection to the witness.

MR. MALONEY: But I would have the Chair advise him that it will be necessary for him to claim his privilege before any answer is given, to give him his immunity.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That's true, and the Chair will so advise you and counsel, that on each of these questions that will be propounded, it will be necessary for your client to invoke the Fifth Amendment. Having invoked the Fifth Amendment, the Chair will then instruct him to answer the question, not withstanding his objection And if he answers under that instruction, he thereby acquires immunity under the provisions of this statute from further prosecution.

In order that we not have to take a motion on every one of these, why don't we—let the Chair rephrase the motion then.

Mr. Maloney moves that the Chair be authorized

and directed on a question-by-question basis to instruct the witness to answer notwithstanding his claim of Fifth Amendment immunity, Fifth Amendment privilege, and that on such instruction by the Chair on a question-by-question basis, that we are thereby according to him the immunity granted under Section 13 of Article 5429-F.

MR. DONALDSON: Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson?

MR. DONALDSON. Under that motion, any subsequent question asked by our counsel or anyone else, which invokes the Fifth Amendment, would any member of this Committee then be in order to seek recognition from the Chair to suspend the authority of the Chair to grant immunity as to that question?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, sir. That would certainly be in order. You can just make it by an objection. If there is any objection, we will certainly discuss it further.

Is there any discussion on the motion? All those in favor of the motion will vote "Aye"; those op-

(The motion, being put to a vote, was carried.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Being eight Ayes and no Nos. the motion is adopted.

24

25

Mr. Doyle, you may proceed.

MR. DOYLE: Counsel, are you ready?

MR. DAVILA: Yes, Mr. Doyle.

BY MR. DOYLE

Q Mr. Saenz, was it part of your regular duties to fill out and prepare and acknowledge "Claims for Payment" made by individuals in Duval County?

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I would request that you instruct him to answer this question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is there any objection?
(No response)

Structs you, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment to the U. S. Constitution, that you answer this question and provide this Committee with the information it seeks, and thereby you will acquire immunity from prosecution from that under the provisions of Article 5429-F, and you are so instructed.

MR DAVILA: Mr. Chairman, may I make a statement?

AUSTIN, TEXAS

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, Mr. Davila.

MR. DAVILA: While this Article 5429-F,
Mr. Chairman, states that it will grant immunity, that is

1 2 3

,

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

2I 22

23

24 25

MR. DOYLE:

for prosecution in a state forum. Am I correct?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, it doesn't say that. Of course, the Texas Legislature, I'm sure, can't grant immunity to prosecution in federal courts. However, I'm sure counsel is aware of the very liberal attitude that all of the federal courts have taken in the last ten or fifteen years in zealously protecting individual rights, and it would seem to me to be certainlay a reversal form for a federal court to allow prosecution of anyone who was compelled to testify in any forum after he had once invoked the Fifth Amendment.

MR. DAVILA: Nevertheless, Mr. Chairman,
I feel it a duty to advise my client that the immunity
is good as far as state prosecution is concerned, and
that the federal jurisdiction or courts may prosecute on
any answer you may give to this Committee.

MR. DOYLE: Counselor, are we to take it that you're advising this gentleman to still not answer our questions?

MR. DAVILA: No, no.

MR. DOYLE: Or do you just want to prometect yourself in the record to the extent that you have advised him?

MR. DAVILA: That is correct.

Okay.

HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

BY MR. DOYLE

۶ ر

Q Mr Saenz, you've heard my question. You've heard the Chairman's direction which has ordered you to answer the question, and you've heard your counsel's

statement. We're waiting.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Let me ask Counsel a question. Counsel, what conceivable federal offense could be involved in a false acknowledgement involving only funds coming from a county?

MR. DAVILA: Mr. Chairman, I'm sure that you are also well aware that counties and cities now are recipients of federal funds in a million ways. And what if just one of these claims, on which he will be asked, federal funds were used to pay that claim? Then, the federal government would have grounds to prosecute him.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, you're probably right

MR. DAVILA: And that is the reason that I am so advising my client, because I feel that to be a duty. And I don't want to be later on charged as having been ineffective.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, the Committee would not want you to be in that position, Mr. Davila. We would certainly expect you to do your duty as an attorney before the Bar.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, for the Chair's

·i

information, as well as that of Counsel, all of the claims that I've looked at indicate that the monies were paid from the County Road and Bridge Fund.

MR. DAVILA: Mr. Doyle, the Road and Bridge Funds of many counties in this state—and I speak of Webb County—have transferred revenue—sharing funds into that fund. So I do not believe that this Committee can grant immunity to this man, or say that no federal question will arise as a result of these particular claims, because federal funds have found themselves into many entities of government, specifically County Commissioner's Court.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Doyle, do you have any further comment?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I would advise Counsel to look at Murphy vs. Waterfront Commission, 322 U.S. 487, 64th Supreme Court 1082, 1964 case, in which it holds that we would be able to grant him immunity. We would be able to compell his testimony and failing his testimony, hold him in contempt of the Legislature; that the Collateral Rule would apply, and that they would not—federal would not be able to use his testimony against him if they were able to show that their prosecution came

from other sources than his testimony. And so your I advice to your client would still subject him to con-2 3 tempt of the Legislature. MR. DAVILA: Well, that may be true, Mr. 4 Maloney. Would you defer to me the opportunity to read 5 that case? 6 MR. MALONEY: Certainly. 7 MR. CHAVEZ: Why don't we give them some 8 time to talk it over? 9 MR. DOYLE: There is a line of cases. 10 as safe as he can be. 11 MR. JOHNSON: Want a recess for about ten 12 13 minutes? MR. DAVILA: May we recess for ten minutes? 14 Don't you have another witness? 15 CHAIRMAN HALE: I think we only have one 16 other witness here this afternoon. 17 MR. DONALDSON: I move that we call him 18 and let him come back in later. 19 CHAIRMAN HALE: There was a sequence in 20 21 these witnesses. Members of the Committee, there is only one 22 other witness for this afternoon, and for reasons which 23 will be obvious, I think, as we move along, the Chair 24 feels it would be advisable to finish the testimony of 25

24

25

man.

Mr. Saenz before we call this other witness. And at the same time, we probably should give Counsel an opportunity to read this case to satisfy himself on what the immunity would be in the federal court.

MR. DONALDSON: Mr. Chairman, in lieu of taking a recess, would it be in order for me to make a motion that the Committee convene in Executive Session to consult with our counsel.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That would be fine, but for the pruposes of the public hearing, we need to give Mr. Davila some time element—

MR. DONALDSON: Thirty minutes.

CHAIRMAN HALE: —thirty minutes?

MR. DAVILA: That will be fine, Mr. Chair-

CHAIRMAN HALE: It's now a quarter of three. About 3:15, Mr. Davila. Why don't we just recess for thirty minutes, and then the Chair will advise all of the Committee members to stand by for an executive session.

(Whereupon, at 2:40 p.m., a brief recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will please come to order.

Mr. Doyle, where do we stand now?

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I was attempting

11

10

14

13

15

17

18

20

21

23

24 25 to have Mr. Saenz answer a question concerning duties assigned to him by Judge O. P. Carrillo, in connection with filling out "Claims for Payment" which were submitted to Duval County by persons who did work on a contract—type basis. The Committee had just voted to give the Chair authority to instruct Mr. Saenz, or to direct Mr. Saenz, to answer those question on a question—by—question basis with the clear understanding that each time the Chair so instructed Mr. Saenz, that the Committee was thereby granting Mr Saenz immunity from prosecution in connec—tion with those answers.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Davila, have you had an opportunity to read this Murphy case?

MR. DAVILA: Yes, Mr. Chairman, and Mr.

Maloney is correct in his interpretation of the law.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I think it's a pretty clear cut decision.

MR. DAVILA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: They overrule all the prior cases, holding the evidence admissible, and as the Chair reads that case, even the fallout or the fruits of that testimony would be protected.

MR. DAVILA: Yes, sir.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to get an education.

3

-ŧ

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

MR. NABERS: Were always just practicing.

MR. DAVILA: Thank you, Mr. Nabers.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, in order to-

I'm sure you've had an opportunity to confer with your very able counsel during the thirty-minute recess, notwithstanding, and out of a superabundance of precaution, the Chair is going to also give you a warning. It is your right to assert the privilege against self incrimination and refuse to answer questions directed to you by this Committee, on the grounds that they might tend to incriminate you. However, after asserting that privilege, you will be required to answer any questions that the Committee insists that you answer, and must answer them truthfully. Should you give truthful answers to any questions directed to you by this Committee that tend to incriminate you, you will be deemed to have been granted immunity by this Committee for the transactions about which those statements are made.

If you answer questions untruthfully, you may subject yourself to a prosecution for perjury in Travis County. If you refuse to answer, you may subject yourself to confinement in jail upon a finding of contempt by the House or to a prosecution in Travis County for contempt of the Legislature.

With this admonition, the Chair will instruct

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

you from time to time to answer questions, and notwithstand ing that these questions would otherwise tend to incriminate you.

The Chair would further call to your attention that the penalty under Article 5429\*F, for contempt of the Legislature, is spelled out in the statute at a fine of not less than one hundred nor more than one thousand dollars and by imprisonment in jail for not less than thirty days nor more than twelve months. And I would emphasize the word "and" between those two penalties. It's not "or": it's "and."

So, with that warning, and counsel that has been given to you by your lawyer, I'm sure you will be able to judge for yourself then how you should conduct yourself in answering future questions.

Will you repeat the question, Mr. Doyle?

MR. DOYLE: As best I remember, Mr. Chair. man, my question was something like this.

## BY MR. DOYLE

Mr. Saenz, was it part of your regular duties as assigned to you by the court—that's who you worked under, I understand?

That's correct.

Was it part of your regular duties to prepare Q "Claims for Payment" for individuals, which were later

F7.

submitted to Duval County?

A I respectfully refuse to answer on the grounds that it might tend to incriminate me.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Notwithstanding, Mr. Saenz, your assertion of your Fifth Amendment privilege, and in an effort to overrule that, the Chair, by action of the Committee, as authorized by the Committee, directs you to answer this question and thereby acquire immunity as a result of your answer.

A Yes, sir. It was not my actual duties, but I would do it in the office.

Q Now, Mr. Saenz, I'm going to hand you two folders which have been marked with the numbers "30 to 37" and the number "66" and the number "69". Now, these folders contain exhibits that have been introduced into evidence previously in these hearings. And these folders, the content of the folders, are what purport to be "Claims for Payments" by individuals seeking funds from Duval County. And I'm going to ask you if you're familiar with the ones that I hand you.

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{DOYLE}:\ \operatorname{Mr}.\ \operatorname{Chairman},\ \operatorname{I}\ \operatorname{would}\ \operatorname{request}$  that you instruct the witness to answer that question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding

your claim of Fifth Amendment privilege, by authority of the Committee and as authorized by the Committee, the Chair hereby instructs you to answer the questions and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution by virtue of your truthful answers thereto.

A Yes, sir. I am familiar with those claims for payments.

Q Mr. Saenz, each of the ones that I handed you appear to bear your signature as Notary Public, indicating that you have taken, that you have certified the signature of the claimant. Is that indeed your signature?

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds that it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, again I would request that you direct him to answer that question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, the Chair, by authority of the Committee, directs you to answer this question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answers thereto.

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Yes sir, they are your signature?
- A That is correct.
- Q Mr. Saenz, on any, or all, of these claims-well

I 2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

.17:

18:

20

21 22

23

24 25 let me rephrase—I'm trying to hold it down to as few questions as I can, Mr. Saenz. These claims all bear the signature of two persons, Roberto Elizondo and Patricio Garza. On any of these that you just looked at, did Mr. Elizondo not sign his own name, or Mr. Garza not sign his own name?

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Again, I would request that you direct the witness to answer, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, and as authorized by the Committee, the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answers thereto.

- A They did not sign any of those claims.
- Q Who signed the statements?
- A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I would again ask that you direct him to answer that question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, and by authority of the Committee, the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from

1 prosecution for your truthful answers thereto. 2 I signed those claims myself. 3 Q For both the individuals, Patricio Garza and 4 Roberto Elizondo? 5 Α That is correct. 6 Q Was it with their knowledge? 7 I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds 8 that it might tend to incriminate me. 9 MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I would ask that 10 you direct him to answer that question. 11 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding 12 your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, and 13 by authority of the Committee, the Chair instructs you 14 to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from 15 prosecution for your truthful answers thereto. They knew about it. 16 Α 17 Q How do you know that they knew about it? I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds 18 19 it might tend to incriminate me. 20 MR. DOYLE: Again, I would ask that you 21 direct him to answer the question, Mr. Chairman. 22 Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding CHAIRMAN HALE: your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, and by 23 24 authority of the Committee, the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from 25

I prosecution for your truthful answers thereto. 2 Mr. Elizondo would ask me to do it for him; he 3 wouldn't have time to come by the office. And I would so 4 do, and submit the claim. The same with Mr. Garza. 5 Are these the only claims that you ever filled Q out for individuals who submitted claims for payment to 6 7 Duval County, or did you do it for other persons? 8 I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds Α 9 it might tend to incriminate me. 10 MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I respectfully 11 ask that you direct him to answer that question. 12 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding 13 your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, and 14 by authority of the Committee, the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from 15 prosecution for your truthful answers thereto. 16 No. sir. I notarized several other claims for .17 other people. 18 19 Was this part of your regular duties assigned to you by the court? 20 I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds 21 22 it might tend to incriminate me. MR. DOYLE: I again need this answer, Mr. 23 Chairman. 24

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding

25

ų.

\_

6

7

8

9

10

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, and by authority of the Committee, the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

A It was like I said a while ago, I was there in the office, I'm a notary public, and people come in and ask me to notarize a claim for them and I will do it.

Q Now, Mr. Saenz, I think you misunderstood my question. You have advised us that you filled out these claims and signed the names of the claimants and then took the acknowledgment, wrote your own name. Now my question is: Did you do this—well, was handling claims a part of your duties?

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Again, Mr. Chairman, I would request that you direct him to answer the question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, and by authority of the Committee, the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

A To answer that question, like I said, it was not my—that was the only thing I did. I would do it, like I say, for anybody that asked me to sign one for them.

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 I was there when they had their meetings. It was not part of my duties in the office, but I would do it.

0 I don't think this is one he needs to worry about, Counselor, but: Were your duties not assigned to you by Judge Carrillo?

I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I need to know who assigned him his duties.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you want to rephrase your question in that way then, Counsel? BY MR. DOYLE

Who assigned you your duties? You were working 0 for Duval County. That's who was paying your salary?

That's correct.

You were assigned as a clerk to the 229th Dis. trict Court?

That's correct.

I would assume that some person directed your 0 efforts, told you what to do?

Yes, sir. Like there in the office, as far as that goes, but not on the claim part of it. My duties were as a clerk there in the office. This was just some mails that I did while I was there. Like I say, it was not a part of my duties in the office.

Q Mr. Saenz, in the exhibits that I handed you a moment ago, marked 30 through 37, if you will remember, all of those exhibits were claims for payment made by Roberto Elizondo. I'm going to hand those back to you again so you can look at that and verify that that is the case before I ask the question.

(The witness looked at the exhibits.)

Q First, the dates on these claims run from January of '73 through September of '73. Although the signatures are those of yourself, signing Mr. Elizondo's name and then your own signature where you certify that it's his signature, are the dates accurate? Were the claims indeed submitted in January of '73, February of '73, March of '73?

A I really wouldn't know. I didn't keep tract of the dates or anything. So I would assume that they are.

- Q Well, when you made out these claims, Mr. Saenz, would you do a bunch of them at a time, or did you do them just once a month?
  - A Once a month.
- Q So if the date indicated is January the 5th,
  '73, in all likelihood that's the date the claim was submitted to the county for payment, in January of '73?

A Yes.

	Saenz, Doyle
1	Q Now, who approved these claims? Did they go
2	to anybody before they went to the Commissioner's Court?
3	A They would go to the Commissioner's Court.
4	Q Do you know of your own knowledge what Roberto
5,	Elizondo's duties were for the county?
6	A I understand that he would do work in the office
7	Q Now, you worked in that court on a daily basis,
8	isn't that correct?
9	A That is correct.
10	Q Now, this is the period of time when Mr. Elizond
11	was a student at a Court Reporter school in Houston, ac*
12	cording to his own testimony. Did you ever see him
13	around the courthouse during this period of time when you
14	were signing these claims for him?
15	A Yes, sir. I couldn't think of any specific
16	date, but I would see him there once in a while.
17	Q Once in a while?
-18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you know—this is a question for information
20	al purposes. These claims would be submitted to the
21	Commissioner's Court and the Commissioner's Court then
22	would respond to the claim with a warrant. Isn't that
23	correct?
24	A A check, yes sir.
25	Q Now, on these claims that I showed you earlier,

1 for Elizondo and Garza, did you ever see the warrants? 2 No, sir. Α 3 Q So you don't know what happened to the money? 4 No, sir. Α 5 Was Judge Carrillo aware that Elizondo and Garza Q 6 were on the county payroll? 7 Α I would imagine so. 8 Q Did Judge Carrillo ever direct you to fill out 9 these claims or any other claims for any other individuals 10 Α No, sir. 11 Q How did it come to pass that you filled out 12 claims for Roberto Elizondo at a time when he was attend. ing school in Houston? 13 14 Like I say, he asked me to do it; that he was working for the Judge part time, and if I could do it 15 for him as a favor, and I told him I would. 16 To your knowledge, did Judge Carrillo have any 17 knowledge of the fact that you were filling out these forms 18 for Elizondo or for Garza? 19 Not to my knowledge, sir. No. 20 Α 21 Q Do you know what sort of work Garza was supposed to have been doing for Duval County? 22 I think he was working at the County Shop in 23 Benavides, or Precinct No. 3, I believe. 24 Now, in response to an earlier question, you 25 Q

•

6

7

10

11

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24 25 said that you had notarized claims for others who worked for—who did work for the county and got paid by virtue of claims and warrants. Can you give us the names of any of those persons?

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I would urge that you direct him to answer that question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, by authority of the Committee the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

A There have been so many, offhand—let me try to think. People would come in and have a bill for posts or caliche or whatever, and I would have to see them to really, you know—

Q No, sir. What I have reference to was those that you had filled out the claim on, and signed their name to and certified that they signed it. That is what my question was about.

A I refuse to answer the question in that form because it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I respectfully ask that you direct him to answer that question.

ŀ

2

4

6 7

8

10

12

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Doyle.

(Bench conference between Mr. Doyle and the Chairman.)

Q It has been suggested, Mr. Saenz, that perhaps my question wasn't too clear. You have explained to us that you signed the name of Roberto Elizondo and Patricio Garza to claims, and then certified those claims and forwarded them for payment to the Commissioner's Court.

A That is correct.

Q I want the names of any other persons that you performed the same service for.

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: That I would ask that you direct him to answer, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, by authority of the Committee the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

A I believe so. Several years ago,—I wouldn't remember exactly when,— I believe that I did sign some also for Mr. Arturo Zertuche at one time, also at his request, and he got the money. I didn't. But I don't remember when it was.

- Q And he did what, please?
- A He received the money, he got the check. That was --
  - Q Zertuche, and who else?
  - A That's the only one I can think of right now.
- Q You mentioned another name. I didn't catch it.
- A No, I said Arturo Zertuche. That was, oh, I don't remember how many years ago.
- Q Was this at a time when Mr. Zertuche was away at college?
- A Like I say, it's been so long ago, I really don't remember. It's been some time back.
- Q The claims that I have given you, the ones that you looked at just when we first started these questions, as well as the claims that we have that Arturo Zertuche submitted, all indicate they were paid out of the funds from Precinct 3. Is it your recollection that this is, all of the ones you have ever handled, were all paid out of Precinct 3?
- A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.
- MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, would you direct him to answer that question.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding

3

à

6

7

8 9.

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, by authority of the Committee the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

A I really would not know. I know they were paid by the county, but I don't know what fund they were paid from.

Q The claims that I showed you of Roberto Elizondo and Patricio Garza indicate that in Elizondo's case, for instance, this practice went on for some ten months. Can you give us any idea, did this practice go on for a longer period of time than that, or are the claims that we have, and that I've shown you, do they cover pretty much all of the claims that were handled in this manner, as far as you know?

A As far as I know--like I didn't keep a record of it, so if that's what you have that's what's there.

Q You indicated that when people would bring you claims for fencing or fence posts, I believe was the example you cited—is that correct?

A Yes sir, that's correct.

Q —that you would take their acknowledgement and prepare the claims. Is that correct?

A Half the time, or most of the time, they would already have the claim made. They just wanted a notary,

I

2

3

.

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

to notarize the signature.

- Q Now, would they leave the claim with you, or would they just have you sign as notary and take it with them and go on about their business?
  - A They would take it with them.
  - Q So you didn't do anything other than just sign?
  - A That's correct.
- Q Now, in the ones that were in the packages that I handed you earlier, the exhibits that we identified earlier that you looked at, what would you do with those once you had signed and certified the instruments? Did you personally deliver them to the Commissioner's Court's representative, or did you turn them over to the Judge, or what did you do with the instrument after you signed and certified?
- A I would take them to the County Auditor's office and from there they would process them to take them to the Commissioner's Court.
  - Q And you never saw the checks or warrants?
- A No, sir. Well, I have seen copies of them now, but not then, at the time.
- Q Mr. Saenz, do you ever remember filling out or signing and certifying such a claim for Tomas Elizondo?
- A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

3

ų.

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  DOYLE: I would ask that you direct him to answer that question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, under authority of the Committee the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

- A I think so.
- Q For Tomas?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Who directed you to do that one for Tomas Elizondo?

A I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. DOYLE: I would ask that you direct him to answer that question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, under authority of the Committee the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

A I believe he did, sir. If I can say this: I don't remember if I signed his name or if he brought them in to me, but I remember that there were some with his name also at one time, some time back.

12 13 14

9

10

11

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

the answer.

22 23

24

25

MR. DOYLE: I believe that's all the questions I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you, Mr. Doyle. there questions by the Committee? Mr. Maloney? BY MR. MALONEY

Mr. Saenz, in preface to my testimony to you, or my questions to you, I will advise you that Mr. Roberto Elizondo testified before this Committee, when asked by me, "Did you sign any of those claims for payment?" his answer was "No, sir." My question: "Yet each one of them is notarized?" Answer: "Yes, sir." Question: "Do you have any idea who signed these?" Answer: "No, sir. I sure don't."

Now, my question to you is: Did Roberto Elizondo tell you to sign those for him, even though he testified before this Committee he didn't know you signed his name?

I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I insist on

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, under the authority of the Committee the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

[		
1	A	He did ask me to sign his name.
2	Q	When?
3	A	At the time. I don't know the dates.
4	Q	Mr. Saenz, Roberto Elizondo submitted claims
5	notarized	by you from May 1971 every month, until October
6	1973. Di	d he ask you each and every time, or only the
7	first tim	e?
8	A	Only the first time, sir.
9	Q	How did you know when to quit?
10	A	When he told me.
11		MR. DOYLE: Who told you?
12	A	No more claims.
13	BY MR. MA	LONEY
14	Q	And he told you he was doing this work for the
15	Judge?	
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	Did you ever ask the Judge if he was doing the
18	work for	him?
19	A	No, sir.
20	Q	Is it your testimony that all it took was some.
21	body to c	ome to you and tell you they were doing some
22	work and	you would sign their name without checking to
23	see if th	ne work was done?
24	A	I respectfully decline to answer on the grounds
25	it might	tend to incriminate me.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I insist on 1 the answer. 2 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding 3 your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, under 4 the authority of the Committee the Chair instructs you 5 to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from 6 prosecution for your truthful answer thereto. 7 I would, if they would tell me to do it, I Α 8 would do it. And then if the Commissioner's Court approved 9 it, that was their business. I didn't approve anything. 10 And you never checked with the Judge to see if Q 11 any of this work was ever done? 12 Α No. sir. 13 Mr. Saenz, you were employed by Judge Carrillo, 14 is that correct? 15 Α That is correct. 16 What days of the week did you work? Q 17 Monday through Friday. Α 18 Did you ever work on a weekend? 19 Q Not to my recollection. Α 20 Do you ever recall seeing Roberto Elizondo 21 working at the Judge's office any time during this period 22 you signed these warrants for him? 23 No, sir. Not to my recollection. Α 24 During this time, who was the Court Reporter 25 Q

1 for Judge Carrillo? 2 I believe his name was Jerry Parmer, sir. ξ Do you recall when Mr. Parmer ceased to be the Q ŧ Court Reporter for Judge Carrillo? 5 Α No. sir. Was Roberto Elizondo hired as the Court Reporter 6 Q 7 immediately subsequent to Mr. Parmer's leaving? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 Were you ever a notary public in Nueces County? Q 10 Α No, sir. 11 Were you ever a notary public in any other 0 12 county than Duval County? 13 A No, sir. 14 MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I pass the 15 witness at this time. Mr. Slack? Mr. Hendricks? 16 CHAIRMAN HALE: I have no questions. 17 MR. HENDRICKS: CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Nabers? 18 19 MR. NABERS: Pass. CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster? 20 21 MR. KASTER: Yes, sir. 22 BY MR. KASTER Mr. Saenz, I want to preface this question 23 Q with some previous testimony that Mr. Roberto Elizondo 24 gave before this Committee when he stated that when he 25

25

went to Court Reporter school that he continued to receive his monthly county check because the Judge Carrillo authorized it and said that he wanted to help Roberto out while he went to school, to the Court Reporter's school. Now, with that basis, from what Mr. Elizondo said, are you now telling this Committee that it's your feeling that the Judge was unaware that you were signing Mr. Elizondo's warrants?

A Yes, sir, as far as— I don't know whether the Judge knew that I was doing it or not. That, I do not know, sir.

Q Are you aware that the Judge knew that Mr. Elizondo was away at school?

- A Oh, yes sir.
- Q It was common knowledge?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you know he was away to school?
- A Yes.
- Q Did the other people around the courthouse know he was away to school?
  - A Well, that--
- Q I guess that's right. You knew and as far as you know, the Judge knew?
  - A That's correct.
  - Q Where is your office, or where do you work in

2

4

5

7

9

10

11

13 14

15

16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 relation to the Judge's quarters?

A We have a three-room office. The first room is where the receptionist is. The back room is where I am, and then the Judge is in the last office.

- Q Well, where is your office in relation to the Judge's office?
  - A It's right next to it.
  - Q Right next to it?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q When you notarized and signed these names, was it done in your office?
  - A That is correct.
- Q And this went on for a number of months while—well, you've already testified to it. And it's your testimony that during all that time the Judge was never aware that you were signing Mr. Elizondo or Mr. Garza's warrants?
- A I couldn't answer that because I don't know whether he knew or not, sir.
- Q Now, you're telling us that you don't know if he knew or not?
  - A That's right.
- Q Mr. Saenz, did you ever work personally for the Judge in other work, other than your duties as clerk?
  - A I don't believe so, sir.

```
You don't believe so?
1
          Q
2
          Α
               No.
3
                    MR. KASTER: I believe that's all.
- į
                    CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson? Mr. Laney?
5
                    MR. LANEY:
                                Pass.
                    CHAIRMAN HALE: Ms. Thompson? Ms. Wedding
6
7
     ton?
8
                    MS. WEDDINGTON: No questions. .
                    CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?
9
10
                    MR. CHAVEZ: No questions.
                    CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions of
11
12
     this witness?
13
               (No response)
                     CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, there will be
14
     one other series of questions, I think, that Mr. Maloney
15
     will have as soon as we get some other documents here.
16
     The Chair would ask if you would just to please stand
17
     by. We're going to try to get to it this afternoon,
18
     Counsel, and we appreciate your cooperation up to this
19
     point. So if you will just stand by until we can get
20
     prepared for this, we're going to move on to another
21
     witness. Thank you very much.
22
                (The witness, Mr. J. H. Saenz, was temporarily
23
24
     excused.)
25
                     CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Montemayor?
```

fy?

Mrs. Montemayor, it's my duty as Chairman to advise you as to your rights with reference to your testimony. You will be sworn to tell the truth and your failure to do so could subject you to prosecution for perjury. After you have completed your statement, members of the Committee may ask questions concerning your testimony. You must answer these questions and your refusal to do so could subject you to punishment for contempt.

You can refuse to answer questions only on the grounds that such answers might incriminate you or tend to incriminate you in some way. You are privileged to have an attorney of your selection sit with and advise you as to your answers if you desire. The Chair will attempt to protect your rights at all times.

Do you understand this advice I have given you?

MRS. MONTEMAYOR: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are you now ready to testi-

MRS. MONTEMAYOR: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I don't mean this question the way it may sound, but do you understand the English language perfectly?

MRS. MONTEMAYOR: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: All right. Would you stand and be sworn, please?

(The witness was administered the oath by the Chairman.) 2 3 MRS. ZENAIDA MONTEMAYOR 4 was called as a witness and, having been duly sworn, tes-5 tified as follows: 6 **EXAMINATION** 7 BY CHAIRMAN HALE 8 Please state your name, please? Q 9 Zenaida Montemayor. A 10 Q Where do you live? 11 In San Diego, Texas. A 12 What business or occupation do you follow? Q 13 Now I work for the Tax Collector's office. Ι 14 am a Deputy in the Tax Collector's office. 15 In Duval County? Q 16 Right, sir. A 17 How long have you been so employed? Q 18 I have been with the Tax Collector's office for-19 it will be two months tomorrow. No, one month tomorrow. 20 What was your occupation prior to that time? Q 21 I used to be Judge Carrillo's receptionist. Α 22 How long did you work for Judge Carrillo? Q 23 For approximately five years, I think. A 24 Was this during the time he was District Judge? Q 25

l			
2			l
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			l
15			ŀ
16			
17	-		
8			ŀ
19	į	,	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

A Before. I started working for him before he was a District Judge.

- Q And then continued after he was District Judge?
- A Right.
- Q And did that work continue up until about two months ago?
  - A Until April the 16th, when he fired me.
  - Q I see. And that was this year?
  - A This year. April the 16th of this year.
  - Q And then shortly thereafter you went to work-
- A I stayed out for a month because he gave me a thirty=day vacation.
  - Q Fine. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Doyle?

## BY MR. DOYLE

ask you about is, counsel for Judge Carrillo tendered certain exhibits to the Committee on a previous hearing, one of which contains several checks which they allege were payments for labor done on the Judge's ranch in the year 1971, and I'm going to hand you a copy of what's been marked as Carrillo 12, Carrillo's Exhibit No. 12, the Judge's exhibit, which contains on the second page a check made out to you. Unfortunately, he didn't tender the back side of the checks—a check made out to you in

24

25

December of 1970, and ask if you are familiar with it?

A Yes. That was my Christmas bonus, twenty five dollars. Yes.

- Q That's a check on what appears to be the perw sonal account of Judge Carrillo, and not an account having to do with the county. Is that.....
  - A Right, sir.
  - Q It was sort of a gift?
  - A Right. That was my Christmas gift.
- Q Okay. If you will look on the front of that exhibit—just turn back that first page—doesn't that thing up at the top indicate that was for labor?
  - A Yes. It sure does. But there was no labor.
- Q Now, I'm going to hand you another one which was tendered to the Committee as "Ranch labor, 1973."

  Did you ever do any work on Judge Carrillo's ranch?
  - A No. sir. I've never been to his ranch.
- Q I'm going to ask you if you remember receiving the check, which is dated—I believe it's October 16th.

  It could be April.
- A On October, no. Usually, when Judge Carrillo gave me some money, I mean a check, it would be my Christmas bonus.
- Q Do you remember getting that check in October?

  That's his handwriting, which you're more familiar with

Montemayor - Doyle
than I am.
A Yes, it is.
Q Is that October or April?
A It looks like October.
Q Do you remember receiving a hundred dollar
check from him in October of '73?
A I didn't in October. No, sir. I don't remember
receiving this check.
Q And if you did ever receive a check, was it for
farm labor?
A No, sir!
Q Now, you worked for Judge Carrillo even before
he was a Judge, I understand?
A Yes, sir.
Q You went to work for him while he was County
Attorney?
A Right.
Q And worked for him for a time as County Attorne
and then stayed with him as secretary after he went on
the behon?
A Receptionist. Right.
Q When did you go to work for him when he was
County Attorney?
A I think it was a few months before he resigned
as County Attorney. I don't remember the exact date.

Г	T	
1	Q	In '69 or '70, perhaps?
2	A	Right.
3	Q	And you worked for him until just a few months
4	ago?	
5	A	April the 16th.
6	Q	Now, you were present in the audience while
7	I was ask	ing questions of Mr. Saenz, and some of the other
8	Committee	members were asking questions of Mr. Saenz.
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Is that correct?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	Now, Mr. Saenz described the office arrange-
13	ment in a	situation where you were kind of in the recep.
14	tion area	?
15	A	Right.
16	Q	And then his office?
17:	A	Right. In the middle.
18	Q	And then the Judge's office?
19	A	The Judge's chambers, right.
20	Q	So you officed next to Mr. Saenz?
21	A	Uh÷huh. Right.
22	Q	You heard me ask him a series of questions
23	about cla	ims?
24	. А	Correct.
25	Q	You saw me hand him exhibits which appeared to
	·	

Ţ	
1	be claim forms?
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q Are you familiar with those claim forms?
4	A Yes. I have seen them.
5	Q How did you come to know what a claim form
6	looked like?
7	A Oh, we used to issue claims for stamps from the
8	office. You know, we had to issue one every months, so
9	we could get some stamps in the office.
10	Q Now, in the normal course of business, who would
11	prepare the claims for the office?
12	A Mr. Saenz.
13	Q Mr Saenz would do that?
14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q Do you know if Mr. Saenz prepared claims for
16	persons other than Mr. Elizondo, Mr. Garza, and perhaps
17	claims for stamps and such for the office?
18	A For stamps and for Elizondo and Mr. Garza. Yes.
19	I would see him type them out and write them out.
20	Q Did your office handle claims as a matter of
21	routine for other persons?
22	A Not to my knowledge, no.
23	Q You didn't know about it if it occurred?
24	A No. If it occurred, I didn't know.
25	Q Did you have personal knowledge before today

1 that Mr. Saenz was handling claims for Mr. Elizondo and 2 Mr. Garza? ኝ Α Yes. I would see him making them out. 4 Q Did you just see him making them out, or did 5 you have knowledge about it from some other source? No, I would see him. 6 Α Q Done in plain view? Α Yes. 8 9 0 Now, your office is on one side of Mr. Saenz? 10 Α Uh-huh. And Judge Carrillo's office is on the other 11 Q side? 12 13 It's in the back. Right. 14 And do you know if Judge Carrillo was aware that Mr. Saenz was making these claims for Mr. Elizondo 15 and Mr. Garza? 16 Judge Carrillo would sometimes call in and 17 remind us not to forget to make out those claims for 18 19 those persons. Did you on occasion do the actual typing of the 201 Q 21 claims? No. I don't type. Α 22 You do not? 23 Q No, sir. 24 A So you have had occasion to have the Judge 25 Q

۲

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

Α Yes.

remind both you and Mr. Saenz not to forget Elizondo's claim and Garza's claim?

- Α To remind Mr. Saenz.
- Q He would tell you to remind Mr. Saenz?
- Α Yes, sir.
- Now, this took place over, according to the exhibits I showed Mr. Saenz, over a ten-month period of time according to the records which we have obtained from the county, over about a two-year period of time with respect -- in fact, more than two years -- with respect to Mr. Elizondo. Is that the way you remember it? That it was a continuing thing and not just a casual once in a while\_\_\_

It was a continuance. It was monthly. Every time we were going to have Commissioner's Court.

- And did the Commissioner's Court normally meet Q once a month?
  - Once a month.
- And he would remind you to tell Mr. Saenz to 0 be sure and get Elizondo and Garza's claims ready?
- Not every month, but once in a while he would Α call in and tell me.
- Now, did you work for the Judge when Mr. Arturo 0 Zertuche was being paid by claims?

ı	Q Did you have knowledge about Mr. Zertuche sub
,	mitting such claims for payment?
٠,	A Yes. Occasionally. It wasn't every month that
	I remember Mr. Sanez doing it, no. But occasionally,
`	yes.
6	Q Was Mr. Saenz also in the Judge's employ while
-	he was County Attorney?
8	A Yes, he was.
9	Q And even then, did he take care of, for instance
10	Mr. Zertuche's claims?
11	A (The witness nodded.)
12	MR. MALONEY: Please answer for the record.
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Now, you worked in that office, I assume,
15	Monday through Friday. Is that correct?
16	A Right.
17	Q While he was the Judge?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Now, during this period, were you familiar with
20	the fact that Roberto Elizondo was going to Court Reporter
21	school and living down in Houston and so forth?
22	A Yes.
23	Q That was common knowledge at the office?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Did you also at that same time have knowledge

	ſ	
I		
2		
3		
-i		
5		
6		
7	1	
8		
9		
10		
11		1
		۱
12		
13		
14		١
15		
16		
17		l
18	ı	l
19		Ì
20		İ
21		
22		
23		
14		

that he was being paid each month by the county?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you ever inquire as to what he was doing to earn that money?
  - A No, sir.
- Q Okay. Now, when you came to work on Mondays, for instance, was there ever any evidence that Mr. Elizondo had done any work over the weekend?

A No. Mr. Elizondo never came in to do any work during the weekend. It was Jerry Parmer that would do all the work. He would even hire extra help to help him type.

Q Did you ever see during this period of time while Mr. Elizondo was in school—it was some eighteen to nineteen months—from January of '72 to September of '73—during this period of time did you ever see Mr. Elizondo at the Courthouse?

- A No, sir.
- Q Did you ever see anything that indicated to you that he had done any work at the Courthouse?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q Any letters he had typed?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q Any transcripts he had worked on?
  - A No. Jerry would do everything.

Q Nothing to indicate to you that Elizondo had performed any function for Duval County?

A No, sir.

Q Do you have any knowledge about Mr. Elizondo perhaps doing some other work to justify this \$225 a month he was receiving?

A Not at the office he wouldn't do it. He might have done it at the ranch, but not at the office.

Q Now I asked Mr. Saenz, and frankly I'm not sure Mr. Saenz and I ever got on the same wave length, but other than the claims that you saw Mr. Saenz work on for Elizondo and Garza, and your regular office claims for stamps and stationery and such, were there other claims prepared in your office or by Mr. Saenz?

- A Not to my knowledge. I never saw them.
- Q You never saw them?
- A No.
- Q Did the Judge ever remind him or you or anyone, discuss with you or he or anyone, any other claims by any other persons or entities?
  - A No, sir.
- Q You were in the Judge's employ up until a few months ago?
  - A Right.
  - Q And have been since back in '69 or '70?

25

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you ever have an occasion to do any work at the Judge's direction in connection with the Zertuche Store?

A No, sir.

Q Did you ever have an occasion to overhear or witness discussions by the Judge with any third persons concerning that store?

A No, sir.

Q How about the Farm and Ranch Store? Were you familiar with the fact that he and his brother owned that store?

A I knew they owned it.

Q Did you ever have an occasion to do any work at the Judge's direction in connection with his ownership or operation of the Farm and Ranch Store?

A No, sir.

Q Were you familiar with the existence even of the Zertuche arrangement whereby monies were taken from the county and placed into Zertuche and subsequently into the Judge's and other bank accounts?

A No, sir.

Q You never were privy to that information?

A No, sir.

Q Were you ever advised or did you ever hear

25

discussed the arrangement with Judge Carrillo and his brother, the Commissioner, and perhaps others, in connection with Benavides Implement and Hardware Company?

- A No.
- Q You never saw any evidence of—Did you do, for instance, the Judge's banking? Did he ever say, "Here, make this deposit for me," and that sort of thing?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did you ever notice checks from Benavides

  Implement and Hardware to Judge Carrillo as he made

  deposits to his account?
- A No. I would handle some of his accounts but not all of them.
- Q Yes, ma'am. So you never had any knowledge about that arrangement?
  - A No. No, sir, I didn't.
- Q There has been testimony that Garza, Patricio Garza, was paid by the county for activities performed in Judge Carrillo's court. What were his duties?
  - A I know he was a ranch hand.
- Q I made a mistake. Garza worked, I believe, at the garage. Tomas Elizondo was, I think— We have information that indicates for a time he was paid as a bailiff in that court. Were you familiar with his duties?
  - A Tomas Elizondo became a bailiff this year.

25

Q This year.

A This year. I think it was before I was fired or during the time that I was fired that he became the bailiff.

- Q Are you familiar with what functions he performed around the courtroom?
  - A Before he became a bailiff?
  - Q Or after.

A Well, after he became a bailiff he was just named as a bailiff, but he did not perform the duties of a bailiff. The deputy sheriff's office are the ones that perform the duties of a bailiff.

Q Did you ever see him at the courthouse even, say, the last month before you got fired? Was he a bailiff in the court or performing any duties that you could tell?

A He would go with Judge Carrillo to the courthouse but he usually stayed down in my office. He wouldn't go up to the courtroom. I even left him sometimes answering my phone so I could go hear some of the cases upstairs.

- Q Now your duties were primarily that of a receptionist?
  - A Right.
  - Q Did you take care of such matters as setting

the docket and that sort of thing?

- A No, sir.
- Q Who did that for the Judge?
- A The Judge would do it in the District Clerk's office.
- Q I see. So the matter of, for instance, calling attorneys and telling them when they were getting ready to go to trial and that sort of thing, did you perform that function?
- A Well the settings usually, the court reporter would. Sometimes I would fill out the cards. They have cards made out and we would fill them out, you know, giving them the date and the hour when they could come in to hear the cases.
- Q Well now, you performed essentially the duties of a receptionist and clerk. What duties did it appear to you that Mr. Saenz carried out?
- A Well, Mr. Saenz would sometimes type things for the Judge when the court reporter was busy. He would type up some letters or things like that at the office.
- Q There has been testimony earlier today about a meeting attended by Judge Carrillo, a witness, Mr.

  Manges and others, at Judge Carrillo's office in February of this year. Do you remember that occasion?
  - A Yes.

	Montemayor - Doyle
1	Q Do you remember that meeting?
2	A Yes.
3	Q The witness testified that he overheard a good
4	bit of a conversation between the now deceased Mr. Parr
5	and Judge Carrillo. Were you in a position such that
6	you might be able to add anything to what he told us?
7	I believe you were present when he testified.
8	A Yes, sir, I was present, but I didn't hear
9	anything.
10	Q He indicated that in the room at the time the
11	conversation became somewhat heated, perhaps, was at
12	least Mr. Manges and the Judge, and perhaps Judge Carrillo
13	Could you shed any light on that?
14	A No, sir.
15	Q You were not in a position that you could see
16	that or overhear that?
17	A No, sir.
18	Q Was it uncommon for Mr. Manges to be in the
19	Judge's office?
20	A Oh, yes. Yes.
21	Q It was uncommon or common?
22	A It was common.
23	Q Common?
24	A It was common.
25	Q Was it perhaps more common in February or

24

25

perhaps late January or March that it had been on previous occasions? Or did he come and go pretty much?

- A He would come and go.
- Q This was what, over the entire period of time that you worked there?
  - A Yes.
- Q Were you familiar with any business dealings between Mr. Manges and Judge Carrillo?
  - A No. sir.
- Q You indicate that you were not at all familiar with the Judge's participation in Farm and Ranch or Benavides or Zertuche. Were you familiar with any of the Judge's business other than just what took place within the confines of the 229th District Court?
- A I wasn't familiar with the Zertuche, no, nor the implement.
- Q Is it a fact, Mrs. Montemayor, that you pretty well had your activities or your duties outlined in connection with running the courtroom and not participating in the Judge's business dealings at all?
  - A Right.
- Q Did it appear to you that anyone who officed there with you, Mr. Saenz or any of the bailiffs or anyone else, did that sort of work for the Judge? You know, took care of his ranch operations or assisted him

2.5

in any of his other personal business dealings which were unconnected with the running of the court?

A Well, Mr. Elizondo, Tomas Elizondo, would handle a lot of his things.

Q Now, Mrs. Montemayor, I want to call your attention to something that probably really doesn't—
I probably really don't need to call your attention to it; you probably recall it vividly. Do you remember the hullabaloo that arose over the removal suit in connection with the school board members and so forth?

A Yes.

Q I understand there was quite a lot of activity around your office during and immediately prior to that.

Is that correct?

A The removal of the board?

Q Yes.

A Of the Benavides-

Q Right.

A Yes. Uh-huh.

Q Now, the day before that, there has been some testimony and lots of things in the newspaper about Mr.

George Parr's activities in connection with certain threats he may or may not have made towards Judge Carrillo.

A Correct, sir.

Q I assume you've read about those things?

25

- Q Okay. There has been some indication that

  Mr. Parr threatened serious harm to the Judge. Threatened

  to kill him, I believe. Did you overhear any of that?
  - A Correct, sir. I did.

I was there.

- Q Did you hear Mr. Parr make such threats?
- A Yes, sir.

Α

- Q Was he armed?
- A I never saw the run.
- Q And you were present when-
- A He came to me and told me to call Judge Carrillo, that he wanted to kill him.
- Q How was he dressed, ma'am? Was there any ability for him— Did he have a gun hidden on him? That's what I want to find out about.
  - A If he did I never saw it. I was so nervous-
- Q Did he have on an overcoat or anything, long sleeve shirt, short sleeves?
- A I really don't remember what he was wearing.

  I've tried to remember whether he had on a suit or just
  a shirt. I was so nervous I really don't remember.
- Q I can well understand that, ma'am. That would have made me and most everybody else nervous.
- Was Mr. Manges around the day that you overheard this threat?

Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Yes.

Q Where was Mr. Manges?

Mr. Manges and Mr. Parr and Archer Parr, before coming into my office were talking outside the courthouse, and I saw them, saw that they were outside, through the window, but I didn't know what was going on. A few minutes later Mr. Parr walks in and tells me, you know, points at me like that with his finger.

What did he tell you?

He said, "Where is Olivero?" because he didn't call him "O.P." He called him "Olivero." And I said, "Mr. Parr, we're waiting for him. He's supposed to come in. We're supposed to have a hearing." And he said, "You get him on the phone right now." I said, "We're waiting for him." He says, "He's not coming in." And I said, "All right, I'll call him." So I called the house and his father answered the phone, and I asked for Judge Carrillo. His father said that he was on his way to San Diego.

Q Which is where you were.

Right. That's where we were waiting for Judge Carrillo to come in because he had been holding court in Rio Grande City that week.

Did he come on in ultimately? Q

Oh, no, sir. At that time when I closed the Α

phone I told Mr. Parr, I said, "He's on his way." And Mr. Parr said, "He's not coming because Manges already met him on the highway and told him that I was waiting for him."

- Q Did Mr. Parr indicate to you what he was upset about?
  - A No, sir. I found that out later.
  - Q How did you find out later?
- A Oh, through here and there hearsay. I asked around why he was so mad. I didn't know why he was so mad.
- Q Were you ever told specifically why you were fired?

A Well, yes. When Judge Carrillo called me that morning he said, "I hear that you and Judge Parr and Mrs. Yzaguirre were having a party at Jerry's Diner." I said, "It wasn't a party." He says, "I want you out of my office for thirty days." "I don't want you in my office." "You get a thirty day vacation." I said, "Thank you, Judge." And he closed the phone on me, and so I went ahead and went to the office and packed up my belongings and took off. Thirty days later I called him. But by that time he had already hired the lady that was with me, having coffee with Judge Parr.

Q She is still there I guess.

Α 1 Oh, yes. She sure is. 2 Q And you're up here testifying? 3 A Right. 4 MR. DOYLE: I believe that's all, Mr. Chairman. 5 BY CHAIRMAN HALE: 6 7 Q Mrs. Montemayor, let me ask you a few questions about the telephone. There was a telephone in the 8 Judge's office? 9 Α 10 Two, sir. Q 11 Two phones? 12 Α Two phones. Two different numbers. Was one of those numbers 279-3957? 13 Q Α 279-3957. Correct. 14 What would the other one be? Q 15 Α 279-3829. 16 Q Why was there two phones there? 17 One was supposed to be an unlisted number, 18 a private line. The 279-3829 was supposed to be an 19 unlisted. 20 Q An unlisted number?! 21 Α Right. 22 Q Were both of those paid for by Duval County? 23 Α Correct. 24 Q And they were invoiced not to Judge Carrillo 25

Montemayor - Hale personally but to the County of Duval by Southwestern 1 Bell Telephone? 2 3 Α Correct. Q Now, did you make any of the phone calls for 4 the Judge? 5 Most all of them. 6 Q For instance, if Judge Carrillo wanted to talk 7 to me, would he say to you, "Get Mr. Hale on the tele-8 phone"? 9 Correct, sir. 10 You would actually do the dialing; look up the 11 number and do the dialing? 12 Α Correct. 13 Q Did you have occasion to frequently dial Mr. 14 Clinton Manges at the Judge's request? 15 Α Oh, yes, sir. 16 Q Do you know offhand what telephone you would 17 dial if you wanted Mr. Clinton Manges? 18 Yes, sir. The 394-7386. That is the Duval A 19 County ranch. 20 That is a listing in Freer, Texas? Q 21 A Correct. 22 Is there also an unlisted number there? Q 23 I don't know about the unlisted number at that Α 24 office, but he has an unlisted number at home. 25

	Montemayor - Hale
1	Q Do you know that number?
2	A I think I remember it. It is 394-7128 or 29.
3	Q Would 7129, would that be correct?
4	A Right.
5	Q I have here a few records from—photocopies
6	of records from Southwestern Bell Telephone Company,
7	for a few selected months, and these all reflect a
8	billing to number 512, which is the area code, 512-279-
9	3957. That would be one of the numbers in Judge Carrillo
10	office?
11	A Correct, sir.
12	Q I noticed here, for instance, from November 19
13	to December 19, and that would have been '74, there was
14	\$179.70 in long distance telephone calls. Does that
15	sound reasonable?
16	A Could be possible. Yes, sir.
17.	Q The month from January 19 to February 19,
18	\$162.84.
19	A Correct.
20	Q February 19 to March 19, \$250.21.
21	A (The witness nodded.)
22	Q March 19 to April 19, \$235.15.
23	May 19 to June 19, \$400.75.
24	Did you make most of those telephone calls?
25	A Correct, sir.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}$   $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Did}}$  he have that much judicial business that he was making long distance telephone calls on?

A I don't think they were judicial.

Q For instance, this is the one from January

19 to February 19, and it shows here—these are telephone
calls to Freer, Texas, either 394-7129 or 394-7386.

Both of those would be Mr. Manges' number. Is that
correct?

A Correct, sir.

Q There is a call on January the 16th, four on January the 17th, two on January the 15th, two more on January the 16th, another one on January the 17th, and then these others go back into December. There is one January the 2nd, two on January the 3rd, one on January the 4th, and a number back in December, and I won't go into those. Did you make most of those calls?

A Correct, sir.

Q Does that sound like a reasonable number of calls from your recollection of having made them?

A Correct.

Q Does that sound like the billing would be proper?

A (The witness nodded.)

Q Do you have any idea why he was calling Mr. Manges so much?

A No, sir.

Q Mr. Manges wasn't employed by the 229th District Court in any capacity, was he?

A No, sir!

Q Does Mr. Manges practice law in the 229th District Court?

A No. sir.

A Yes. He had one. I think it was in 1973; I think December, 1973; November or December of 1973, if I recall the date right.

Q In going through these it would appear that there are—I'm just guess-estimating at this now. I haven't counted them, but it would appear to be that there are somewhere between five and fifteen phone calls every week emanating from this number going to one or the other of the two numbers of Mr. Manges. How would you account for so many telephone calls on county business as being charged to Duval County, going from the Judge to Mr. Manges?

A I don't know, sir.

Q Did you ever listen in on the telephone calls that the Judge made?

A No.

Q I don't mean surreptitiously. I mean, did he occasionally tell you to listen in and make notes or anything?

A No, sir.

Q He never did instruct you to listen in on his phone calls?

A No, sir.

Q You have no idea what he was talking to Mr. Manges about on all these phone calls?

A No, sir. I would only place the call and walk out of the office. If I was in his office and placed the call I would walk out.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are these phone records in evidence? Have we ever put those in evidence?

THE CLERK: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: For whatever value they might be, let's put them in evidence. Label them as different exhibits, each package there.

(The record of telephone calls referred to were marked "EXHIBIT-76" through "EXHIBIT-80.")

Q Do you know if Judge Carrillo ever reimbursed Duval County for any of these long distance phone calls that were billed to his office number there and paid by Duval County?

A No, sir.

Q You know he did not or, no, you don't know? 1 2 A No, he did not. 3 Q He did not ever reimburse them? A Not to my knowledge, sir. 4 Q Was Mr. Manges a frequent caller to Judge 5 Carrillo's office? 6 Α Yes, sir, he was. 7 Q Daily? 8 Α Well, I could say daily, or every two or three 9 days. He would call once or twice during the day; if 10 I couldn't find Judge Carrillo, couldn't get in touch with 11 Judge Carrillo he'd keep on calling until I could get 12 in touch with Judge Carrillo. 13 All of these calls I've asked you about were 14 calls that Judge Carrillo placed to Mr. Manges. 15 addition to those, did Mr. Manges call Judge Carrillo 16 frequently? 17 Yes, sir. 18 Q . Would you say that he called about as much as 19 the Judge did? 20 Α Correct, sir. 21 So that whatever the total number of calls 22 shown on here are, if you just about doubled that to 23 get accrued figure would be the number of times they 24 talked on the telephone? 25

Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A To my knowledge.

10 my knowiedge

Mr. Maloney?

CHAIRMAN HALE:

BY MR. MALONEY:

Q Mrs. Montemayor, you said that you were fired by Judge Carrillo because he accused you of having a party with Judge Parr?

A Correct, sir.

- Q What were the circumstances actually under which you were fired? What actually happened?
- Q It was after five and I was taking Mrs.

  Yzaguirre to pick up her car at the garage. It was at the Texaco.
  - Q Is that near the courthouse?

A About a block from the courthouse. And I drove her to pick up her car. While we were there Judge Parr walks out of the Texaco and says, "Would you all like to go have some coffee with us, or don't they let you go have some coffee with me?" I said, "Nobody tells me what to do; come on, hop in, let's go."

Q Did you go somewhere then?

A Right. We went around the corner and that's where Jerry's Diner is. We stopped and went in and we sat down and ordered, and then a few more friends came in and sat with us; a couple from Benavides, and the

Ì,

county attorney from San Diego, and a deputy sheriff from Jim Wells County in Alice, from Alice, and Leo Casis from San Diego. Then three ladies came in, and one of them was a lady from Benavides that is very close-her husband is very close to Judge Carrillo. I had a feeling that she was going to go tell, you know, Judge Carrillo, and she did go tell Judge Carrillo.

Q Did you feel you were doing anything wrong' by having coffee with Judge Parr?

A No, sir. I was hired by Judge Parr. When I was given a job at the courthouse I was hired by Judge Parr. I don't have anything against Parr, and I don't have anything against Judge Carrillo either. So I didn't see anything wrong by having coffee with Judge Parr.

Q Did you say then you received a call at home that night or—

A No, sir. When Mrs. Yzaguirre and I left she said, "Will you please go with me to Concepcion?" She lives about 37 miles from San Diego. Because it was late. It was about six-thirty by that time. "They're going to get after me." And I said, "I'll go with you." When we got there Judge Carrillo had already called Mrs. Yzaguirre, but not to ask her about me; to tell her that the Commissioners' Court was going to meet and for her to tell Mr. Real not to go meet with the rest of the

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Commissioners' Court because he would hold him in contempt, contempt of court.

Did Mrs. Yzaguirre work for him?

Yes. She was his secretary. She was Mr. Leal's secretary. But Mr. Leal had already left. I think he was on his way to San Antonio that afternoon.

Well, how did you know that you were fired? Q

The following morning by seven o'clock in the morning, Judge Carrillo calls her to clarify what that lady had told him, and Mrs. Yzaguirre said, "It's true. We were having coffee." He said, "I don't care about you having coffee, but you can go tell Zenaida this," and she didn't finish the sentence because she said, "You tell her. She's right here." That's when she handed the phone to me, and I got the phone and that's when he told me, "You were having a party." I said, "No, Judge, it wasn't a party." He said, "I want you out of my office." When the thirty days got there I called him three times and he never returned my call.

Q And Mrs. Yzaguirre was working for-

Right. A week later Mrs. Yzaguirre came to work for him.

You had mentioned earlier that Judge Carrillo had 0 called in- I believe you said called in-but at least he told you on several occasions to remind Mr. Saenz to

	Montemayor - Maloney
1	make the claim payments for Patricio Garza and Roberto
2	Elizondo.
3	A Correct.
4	Q Is that correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q Did he tell you personally or did he phone in?
7	A Sometimes he would walk in and remind us.
8	Q On these occasions when the Judge would call
9	and tell you to remind Mr. Saenz, did you remind Mr.
10	Saenz?
11	A Correct, sir.
12	Q What would you tell him?
13	A "The Judge said not to forget the claims."
14	Q And what would Mr. Saenz say about that?
1.5	A He wouldn't say anything. He would go ahead
16	and make them out.
17	Q Did you ever actually see him sign any of
18	these names?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q Did he ever explain to you why he signed these
21	names exactly the same each time, even though the signa-
22	tures would vary from person to person, they were always
23	exactly the same for a particular person?
24	A No. He never <b>explai</b> ned to me and I never asked.

Q You heard Mr. Saenz say that no one ever told

25

him to do these claim payments. Were you here during his testimony?

- A Yes, sir. I heard him say that.
- Q And you've just testified that on several occasions that you reminded him per instructions of Judge Carrillo.
  - A Correct, sir.
- Q I cannot reconcile those two statement in my mind. One of you doesn't seem to be telling us the truth. Is your statement correct?
  - A Correct, sir.
  - Q You understand that you are under oath?
  - A I do.

MR. MALONEY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks?

MR. HENDRICKS: Pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Nabers?

# BY MR. NABERS:

- Q Was Patricio Garza also one of the ones that claims were filed on, that Mr. Saenz filled out claim payments for?
  - A Correct, sir.
  - Q Do you know him?
- A I met him once. He went to the office once.

  In five years that I worked for Judge Carrillo I met him

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
17
20
21
22
23
24

Q Did the Judge remind you also for Mr. Saenz to make out his claim payments?

- A Correct, sir.
- Q Do you know what he did?
- A Patricio?
- Q Yes.

once.

- A Patricio would work at the ranch. He was a laborer at the ranch.
  - Q At Judge Carrillo's ranch?
  - A At Judge Carrillo's ranch.

MR. NABERS: That's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?

#### BY MR. KASTER:

- Q I just want to verify again what Mr. Maloney said. These claims to your knowledge were filled out at the direction of Judge Carrillo because he reminded you to remind Mr. Saenz to do it, to make sure that they were done in time to present to the Commissioners' Court?
  - A Correct, sir. That's right.
- Q And he would do it when it got time to present to the Commissioners' Court?
- A Usually on Fridays before Monday or Monday morning before Commissioners' Court.
  - Q And you knew and you think other people knew

that Mr. Elizondo was away to school in Houston part of 1 this time? 2 Α Right. 3 It is further your testimony that he didn't do Q 4 anything during that period of time? 5 Α Oh, no, sir. Not to my knowledge he didn't. 6 And it was also to your knowledge that Mr. Q 7 Garza worked out at the ranch full time? 8 To my knowledge, he did. A 9 MR. KASTER: I think that's all. 10 CHAIRMAN HALE: Ms. Thompson? 11 BY MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Did you ever buy groceries for the Judge? 13 No, ma'am, I never did. A 14 Did Mr. Saenz ever make out any claims for Q 15 the \$300 grocery bill for the Judge each month? 16 A No, ma'am. Not that I know of. Not to my 17 knowledge. 18 You testified that you did make some deposits Q 19 for him in the bank? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q Did you ever make a deposit and send it to 22 Mexico, to the bank in Mexico? 23 Α No, ma'am. 24 MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. 25

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: Ms. Weddington?

MS. WEDDINGTON: No questions.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?

#### BY MR. CHAVEZ:

Q Did the Judge ever instruct you to call over at the Cash Store to find out any balance he might have over there?

A No, sir.

Q He never did cause you to deliver any checks to that store?

A No, sir.

MR. CHAVEZ: That's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there any further questions?

Mr. Canales?

### BY MR. CANALES:

Q Mrs. Montemayor, you made a comment that created or raised a doubt in my mind or raised a question at least. You said that Mr. Saenz occasionally did typing. How often did Mr. Saenz come in to the office?

A Well, when Jerry Parmer was there Mr. Saenz didn't come in to the office every day, and there was not too much typing that he did. Now that Robert Elizondo came in as a court reporter, Mr. Saenz has been at the office more often and has done some work.

1	Montemayor - Canales
ı	or did some work while I was there.
2	Q Let's put it on this basis. While Mr. Parmer
3	was there, assuming a five day working week, how many
4	days would he generally show up?
5	A Maybe once a week or twice a week.
6	Q Once or twice on the average?
7	A (The witness nodded.)
8	Q And now that Mr. Elizondo is the court reporter
9	he comes how often, or came how often?
10	A He came more often, maybe three or four times
11	a week, or maybe the whole week.
12	Q Now, regarding that check, I think it was in th
13	amount of a hundred dollars. Could that possibly have
14	been a loan or an advance on your salary or something
15	that you don't remember at all?
16	A (The witness shook her head.)
17	Q You don't remember it at all?
18	A At all.
19	MR. CANALES: Okay. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney?
21	BY MR. MALONEY:
22	Q You said that you knew that Mr. Garza, Patricio
23	Garza, worked at the ranch?
24	A Correct, sir.
25	Q How did you know that?

	ſ	1
I		
		1
2		ı
	l	1
3	1	١
	Ì	ı
4	١	١
•	1	l
5	١	ł
,	١	ı
,	١	ł
0	I	Į
_	١	١
7	١	1
	ļ	1
8	İ	l
	I	1
9	l	١
	١	١
01	۱	ļ
	l	١
11	l	١
	l	ı
12	I	I
	ı	Į
13	I	١
13	ı	١
	I	I
14	۱	١
	I	1
15	l	ı
	l	١
16	l	1
	I	ł
17	l	1
-	I	١
18	l	ı
10	l	1
19	l	١
17	Į	1
	I	١
20	ĺ	1
	ł	l
21	l	
	١	
22	۱	
	ĺ	
23	1	
-	1	
24	1	
- 7	1	ı

A Because every time they mentioned that Patricio was at the ranch. You know, everything, Patricio would ask for something, and they'd say, "Take it to the ranch to Patricio," you know. He needed some fence or wire or something, "Take it to Patricio at the ranch."

Q Who would say that Patricio is at the ranch, take something to Patricio at the ranch?

A Judge Carrillo, Tomas Elizondo, the ones that worked for Judge Carrillo.

Q Would tell Elizondo to take something to Patricio at the ranch?

A Right. Correct, sir.

Q Was this during the entire time that the Judge was on the bench or would it have been only during the last eighteen months?

- A The entire time the Judge was at the bench.
- Q How long have you known Patricio Garza?
- A I only met him once.
- Q How long ago would that have been?

A Maybe about three or four years ago. He came to the office to meet me.

Q Was this during the time that the Judge was telling people to take things to Patricio Garza at the ranch?

A Correct, sir.

· r	Montemayor - Maloney
1	Q Did you ever know him to work anywhere else
2	but at the ranch?
3	A No, sir. I never knew of him working anywhere
4	else.
5	Q There is no phone at the ranch is there?
6	A No, there isn't. Not to my knowledge there
7	isn't.
8	MR. MALONEY: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further questions
10	MR. DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I need to ask
11	her one question.
12	BY MR. DOYLE:
13	Q There is no telephone at the ranch, I believe
14	you said?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q Did you ever have an occasion to have the Judge
17	tell you or anyone else to take a message out to the
18	ranch to Patricio?
19	A I don't recall. I really don't.
20	Q But you do recall the Judge sending supplies
21	out or instructing people to bring supplies out and that
22	sort of thing?
23	A Correct, sir.
24	Q Was that a common occurrence?
25	A Oh, yes, sir.

Г	T	Montemayor - Doyle
1	Q	Daily, weekly?
2	A	Weekly.
3	Q	Now, are you familiar with Tomas Elizondo?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	What is your understanding about what his
6	duties we	re?
7	A	At the ranch?
8	Q	Well, if that's what he did.
9	A	Well, I know that he worked for him at the
10	ranch. R	an errands for him.
11	Q	Did you ever know him to work at the courthouse?
12	A	Well, for this past year, yes. That's when
13	he became	the bailiff.
14	Q	Right. Now, prior to the time he became
15	bailiff?	
16	A	No, sir.
17	Q	You never saw him around there, never saw him-
18	A	He would come in with Judge Carrillo once in
19	a while,	and he would run errands from the courthouse
20	to Alice,	to Corpus, for Judge Carrillo.
21	Q	You never knew of him to work for the county
22	in some o	ther capacity? Always at the ranch?
23	A	Right. Correct, sir.
24	Q	Again, was this the same way: "Take this out
25	to the ra	nch to Tomas," or something like that?
1	l	

ance.

23

24

25

A Tomas would run the errands.

MR. DOYLE: That's all, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Montemayor, thank you very much for your appearance here today.

As the Chair advised you earlier, if you will see the Clerk, you can get a form for claiming your travel and expenses for coming up here for this meeting.

You are under subpoena to the Committee, but the Chair will authorize you to go about your business. You're free to go with the understanding you are still under subpoena to the Committee. In the event we need you for additional testimony we will call you.

A Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Unless you hear from us to the contrary, you can consider your chore here completed.

A Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you for your appear-

(The witness, Mrs. Zenaida Montemayor, was excused.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, would you take the witness stand again.

1 MR. J. H. SAENZ previously called as a witness, was recalled by the 2 Committee, and testified further as follows: 3 4 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN HALE: 5 Your name is J. H. Saenz? 6 That is correct, sir. 7 Q You're the same J. H. Saenz that testified 8 here earlier today in this case? 9 That is correct. 10 0 And were sworn to tell the truth at that time? 11 Α That is correct. 12 You understand you're still under that oath, 13 Q with the same warnings and the same admonitions. 14 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney has a few 15 questions. 16 BY MR. MALONEY: 17 Mr. Saenz, you were present during Mrs. Monte-18 mayor's testimony. Is that correct? 19 Α That is correct. 20 Now as I understand your testimony to this 21 Committee previously, it was that on one time, and one 22 time only, each of these people, Arturo Zertuche, Patricio 23 Garza, Tomas Elizondo, Roberto Elizondo, told you to file 24 a claim for them, and you continued to file those claims 25

for them each month without any further direction from anyone. Do I understand your testimony correctly?

- A Yes, sir. That's correct.
- Q Is that the truth?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you understand that any testimony for which you are receiving immunity from this Committee must be truthful testimony or it is no holds barred, all bets are off?
- A I'm answering to the best of my recollection.

  I mean, I think it's true to the best of my recollection.
- Q And that you are still subject to the pains and penalties of perjury?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Who is telling the truth, you or Mrs. Montemayor, as regards the fact that she says that on occasions she reminded you, her instructions of Judge Carrillo, to file these claims?
  - A I do not remember that, sir.
- Q You do not remember on any occasion her ever reminding you to file these claims?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q You did it as a matter of course?
  - A That's correct, sir.
  - Q Every month before the Commissioners' Court met,

I you started filing claims for people? 2 Α That is correct. 3 Q No one told you to do it; you just did it? 4 That's correct. Α 5 Q I asked you once before, and I don't remember what your answer was. How did you know when to quit 6 7 filing the claims? Who told you to stop filing claims? 8 Robert told me to stop when he started working with the Judge. 9 What did he say to you? 10 Just, "I'm on the payroll now," or whatever. 11 And the other one, I don't remember, sir. And that is 12 the truth. 13 14 Who told you to stop filing claims for Arturo Q Zertuche? 15 Α That's been so long ago, I also do not remember, 16 sir. 17 But someone must have told you. Is that correct? Q 18 Yes, sir. That's correct. 19 Α Who told you to stop filing claims for Tomas 20 0 Elizondo? 21 Α I think it was him, sir. When he also went 22 on the payroll he said to. 23 Who told you to stop filing claims for Patricio 24 Q 25 Garza?

r	Saenz - Maloney
1	A I think it was— I'm not sure. I think it was
2	him, sir.
3	Q How did he do it? Did he come into the office
4	or did he send you a letter or what?
5	A Like I said, I don't remember that, sir.
6	Q Would you have just continued to file these
7	claims if no one had told you to stop?
8	A I would imagine.
9	Q Would you still be filing them today?
10	A I would imagine so.
11	MR. MALONEY: No further questions.
12	CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there any further
13	questions?
14	Mr. Hendricks?
15	BY MR. HENDRICKS:
16	Q Your personal knowledge is that Patricio Garza
17	worked on the ranch for the Judge. Is that correct?
18	A Not when he was receiving the claims, sir. No.
19	Q Where did he work?
20	A My understanding was that he was working at
21	the county shop in Benavides. That's what I understood.
22	Q Who told you this?
23	A Like I say, I don't The County shop is in
24	Benavides and I work in the courthouse in San Diego.
25	Q All right. The previous witness testified that
İ	

25

it was common knowledge around the office there that Garza worked on the judge's ranch.

- A He works on the Judge's ranch now.
- Q She testified, as I understood her testimony, that at the time he was drawing these county warrants he worked on Judge Carrillo's ranch. That isn't correct?

A I don't know. I know that he is there now because I hunt on the place occasionally.

Q But he's not drawing the warrants now. You're not drawing them for him now?

A No, sir.

MR. HENDRICKS: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?

## BY MR. KASTER:

Q Mr. Saenz, the previous witness testified that while Mr. Parmer was the court reporter, you didn't go to work every single day in the courthouse. Is that correct?

A I didn't put in my eight hours a day. That's true. Sometimes I did and sometimes I didn't.

Q So you would go infrequently into the office.

Is that correct?

A When I had something to do I would go in and do it.

r	T	Saenz - Kaster
1	Q	You're now testifying that you were never
2	reminded	to fill out these warrants; that you had such
3	a good kn	owledge of this that you just did it automatical-
4	ly?	
5	A	That's correct, sir.
6	Q	You were never reminded?
7	A	Not that I remember. No.
8	Q	You don't remember ever being reminded?
9	A	That's correct, sir.
10	Q	It is possible you could have been?
11	A	I could have been, yes, but I don't remember.
12		MR. KASTER: Thank you.
13		CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further questions
14		Mr. Doyle?
15	BY MR. DO	YLE:
16	Q	Did you ever have occasion to make deliveries
17	of anythin	ng to Patricio Garza at the ranch?
18	A	I don't think so. No.
19	.Q	Tomas Elizondo at the ranch, did you ever make
20	deliverie	s to him?
21	A	I don't think so. No.
22	· Q	Did you have any knowledge of the Judge's
23	ownership	of the Farm and Ranch supply company?
24	A	I knew he had an interest in it. Yes.
25	Q	Did you know that he had an interest? Did you

have any knowledge at the time that he had an interest 1 in the Zertuche Store arrangement? 2 3 A No, sir. Q Did you have any knowledge of the Judge's 4 arrangement with Benavides Implement and Hardware Company? 5 Α No, sir. 6 Q None of that rings a bell? 7 Α No, sir. 8 Did you ever have an occasion to see Tomas Q 9 Elizondo performing duties as bailiff in the court? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 Recently? Q 12 Α I think since the Judge took office. 13 Q What did he do there? 14 He would just sit there like the rest of the 15 bailiffs. 16 Q There is more than one bailiff assigned to 17 that court? 18 A All of the deputy sheriffs are bailiffs. 19 Q Is he a deputy sheriff? 20 Α No, sir. 21 So while court was in session he would be 22 there sometimes? 23 A Yes, sir. 24 Q As well as other bailiffs? 25

A That's correct.

Q We have a check which I showed Mrs. Montemayor from the judge to her on the judge's personal account.

Did you ever receive any such checks?

A I used to get a bonus, or we get a bonus every year. Sometimes he's give us checks; sometimes he'd give us cash.

Q And that was all?

A Yes, sir.

Q You weren't a farm laborer either?

A No, sir.

Q You didn't perform any services as farm labor or on his ranch?

A No, sir. No, sir.

Q So any notation to that effect would not be correct as applied to you?

A If it's that way, I mean, I don't know anything about it, sir.

Q In other words, if he wrote you off as an expense on his ranch it was an incorrect notation as far as what you performed for the Judge. Is that correct?

A That's about the size of it. Yes, sir.

MR. DOYLE: I believe that's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Canales?

BY MR. CANALES:

Q Mr. Saenz, you said that some days during the period that Mr. Parmer was working as a court reporter you didn't put in an eight hour day. I would presume you didn't come to the office is what you meant, on some days. Some days you would put in a short day?

A I would leave early. Yes, sir.

Q A rough "guesstimate" on your part, during those days Mrs. Montemayor said you'd come in on an average of once or twice a week. What would be your guess as to how many days you came in?

A I was there I would say every day, not the whole day, but every day. Maybe I would stay in the morning and leave at noon, but I was there just about every day. There were days I was sick that I would stay home.

- Q But you would spend almost every day there?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Even if it was a short brief visit?
- A That's right.
- Q You had occasion to go into the courtroom every day that you were there?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And you would see Mr. Elizondo there every day acting in the capacity of a bailiff?

1		
1	A	Only when we were holding court.
2	Q	Only when you were holding court there?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	And he was there every time?
5	A	As far as I can remember. Yes.
6	Q	And he would sit up in the front part of the
7	courtroom	with the Judge?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9		MR. CANALES: Okay.
10		CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions?
11		Mr. Maloney?
12	BY MR. MALONEY:	
13	Q	Mr. Saenz, I notice in preparing your claims
14	for Arturo	Zertuche and the others that you always filled
15	these class	ms out in the amount of \$225. What was your
16	reason for	picking that figure?
17	A	They would tell me to make them out for that
18	amount.	
19	Q	I beg your pardon?
20	A	They would tell me to make them out for that
21	amount.	
22	Q	Now I thought they only told you on one occasion
23	to make th	nese.
24	A	Well, I mean that was going to be the monthly-
25	Q	But did they tell you when they told you to fill
- 1	1	

these out to"fill it out every month for me for \$225"? 1 I think that's the way it is. Yes. 2 That a person would come to you and say, "Fill 3 out a claim for me for \$225 for this month and \$225 for every month thereafter." Is that correct? 5 To the best of my recollection, yes, sir. 6 Q And you would continue to do that until some-7 body told you to stop? 8 Α That is correct, sir. 9 Then why did you fill one out for Arturo Q 10 Zertuche on August the 10th of 1970 for \$680? 11 I don't remember about that one, sir. Α 12 Are you saying you did not do that? Q 13 I say I do not remember. 14 When you'd fill out a claim, was there ever 15 any supporting document that went with it as to what 16 the person was supposed to have done that was submitted 17 along with the claim? 18 Α On some, yes. 19 Q Where did you get those from? 20 They would bring them in to me. Α 21 Who would bring them in to you? Q 22 However the person, the claim was to be made Α 23 out to. 24 Well, did Arturo Zertuche ever bring you in any? Q 25

A I don't remember. I would have to see it. I might remember something but right offhand I don't.

Q Do you remember any of these people that we've been discussing here ever bringing you in something that showed you what it was that they were supposed to have done?

A Only when on reimbursements, or, like I say, posts. They'd bring a bill and the bill would be clipped on to the claim.

Q Do you ever remember filling any claims out for anyone in any amount other than \$225?

A Not the names. It's like I say, people come in to make out the claim forms. Some would already have them and I would just notarize them. Offhand, I would have to see them. I don't remember.

Q These were all filled out in the Judge's offices. Is that correct?

A Most of them. Yes.

Q Well, do you recall any that were not filled out in the Judge's offices?

A Sometimes right in the Commissioners' Courtroom.

Q You would do your deed in the Commissioners'

A Right. Right there at the meeting. Yes, sir.

Q Sign the person's name right there?

A No, sir. No, sir. I mean people that— I would notarize the name for somebody and they would present the bill right there.

Q I'm trying to confine ourselves to these four people that we're talking about.

A Oh, no, sir. No, sir.

Q You never filled those out in the Commissioners'

A No, sir.

• Q Did Commissioner Ramiro Carrillo ever tell you to fill out any claims?

A I don't remember offhand, sir.

Q Do you remember any other persons that you filled in and signed the names for other than the four people we've talked about?

A I will respectfully decline to answer on the grounds it might tend to incriminate me.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I would insist on the answer.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Saenz, notwithstanding your claim of privilege under the Fifth Amendment, under the authority of the Committee, the Chair instructs you to answer the question and thereby acquire immunity from prosecution for your truthful answer thereto.

A I don't remember. No, sir.

Q The fact that you didn't remember you felt was incriminating to you. Is that correct?

A No, sir. No, sir. If you show me any bills
I will admit that they are mine, or whatever, but I don't
remember.

- Q Could there have been others?
- A There could have been. Yes.
- Q How many of these would you fill out in a month's time in the Judge's office?

A To the best of my recollection, it was only Garza and the—

- Q I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
- A Garza and the Zertuches.
- Q I still didn't hear your answer.

A I mean, to the best of my recollection I would submit the Pat Garza and the Robert Elizondo claims every month. Those two were every month, until they were stopped.

- Q Where did you get these forms?
- A From the county auditor's office, sir.
- Q Did you go down and get them or did someone bring them to you?
  - A I would go and get them myself.
- Q Would you go and get them each month or did you keep a pad of them in your office?

,	Saenz - Maloney	
1	A I always had—	
2	Q I beg your pardon?	
3	A I always had several of them there.	
4	Q Were any of these checks ever delivered back	
5	to the office to be distributed to the people?	
6	A To our office?	
7	Q Correct.	
8	A No, sir.	
9	Q So you never handled any of these checks in	
10	payment of any of these claims?	
11	A No, sir.	
12	Q Did you ever fill out any claim forms for	
13	supplies for the Judge?	
14	A The only claim for the office was for stamps.	
15	That's the only ones.	
16	Q Stamps?	
17	A Yes, sir.	
18	Q How about office supplies?	
19	A No, sir.	
20	Q Where did you all get your office supplies?	
21	A Salesmen would come in and we'd order whatever	
22	we had to order, and they would bill the county auditor.	
23	Q When you would make a claim for stamps, what	
24	would happen? Would you get stamps or would you get a	
25	check to go to the post office and buy stamps?	

	Jaenz - Matoney
1	A No, sir. They would issue a check made out
2	in the Judge's name. He would endorse it, and we would
3	bring in the stamps.
4	Q Now, you would get a check?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Made out to the Judge?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q And then what would you do with it?
9	A The secretary would have the Judge endorse it,
0	and she'd go out and buy the stamps. Cash the check
11	and buy the stamps.
2	Q She would take that check made payable to the
3	Judge and go cash it and then buy stamps with it?
4	A That's correct.
5.	Q How much were those claims for? Did they vary
6	or were they in the same amount each time?
7	A It was twenty dollars a month.
8	Q Twenty dollars per month?
9	A That's correct.
0	MR. MALONEY: Thank you.
1	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson has a
2	question.
3	BY MR. DONALDSON:
4	Q What do your duties consist of other than
5	filling out claims? What else do you do?

Α The work that has to be done in the office 1 that is not the court reporter's work. 2 3 Q Like what? Α Answering mail, filing, running errands, whatever 4 has to be done in the office. 5 Q What did the secretary do? 6 A The receptionist would answer the phone. 7 Q Did you type the letters whenever you answered 8 correspondence? Would you type the letters yourself? 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 Q You would do filing? 11 Yes, sir. 12 Α Did you ever fill out any claims for Judge Q 13 Carrillo for groceries? 14 Α No, sir. 15 I believe as I have understood your testimony 0 16 before this Committee, you've told us, you're telling 17 us now under oath, that it was not-that this was just 18 a courtesy that you provided these gentlemen in filling 19 out these claim forms. 20 That's correct. That is the way I look at it, 21 as a favor. 22 How well did you know Roberto Elizondo? Q 23 I have known him for maybe three or four 24 25 years.

1	Saenz - Donaldson
1	Q What about Patricio Garza?
2	A Pat, I've known longer. He is an older man.
3	I don't know exactly how long.
4	Q All this time you worked for Judge O. P.
5	Carrillo. Right?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q You still work for Judge O. P. Carrillo?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q And you said you knew Tomas Elizondo for about
10	three years or four years? Roberto
11	A No.
12	Q Roberto Elizondo.
13	A Three or four years.
14	Q And you're telling us that you filled out those
15	claim forms for these men while you were working for
16	Judge Carrillo and he didn't know about it, and he
17	didn't authorize, and you're telling us it was okay.
18	Is that right?
19	A The Judge, he would have to answer that.
20	I don't know whether— He would have to answer that.
21	MR. DONALDSON: That's all.
22	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks?
23	BY MR. HENDRICKS:
24	Q How old are you, Mr. Saenz?
25	A I'm 36, sir.

What education do you have? Q 1 2 Α High school. 3 Q Any college? 4 Α One semester of college. 0 Where at? 5 Α 6 A&I in Kingsville. 7 Q You do type? Α That's correct. 8 9 Q You do filing work? Α 10 Yes. Apparently well versed in the English and 11 Q 12 Spanish languages. 13 All right. These four people come to you. 14 Did they come all at once and tell you to fill these 15 forms out, and fill them out for \$225 a month or come in individually? 16 At separate times, sir. They weren't all 17 at the same time. 18 19 All right. Who sent them up? Who told them to come talk to you? 20 I don't know, sir. 21 Α Well, now you know somebody sent them up there. 22 Q Who sent them to you? 23 Like I say, I don't know, sir. A 24 You don't remember or you don't know? 25 Q

24

25

A I don't know.

Q All right. Now, let me ask you this: Every claim was \$225 per month. Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q What if Tomas would say, "Make that out for \$525 a month every month." Would you have made it out for \$525 a month?

A Yes, sir.

Q In other words, you didn't want anyone in authority, just whatever that man said to make that draft out, that's what you were going to make it out? You talked to no commissioner or the District Judge or anybody else? You just took their word?

A The claims had to be approved by the commissioners.

Q What if I had come in and asked you to make me out a check for \$500 a month until I tell you to stop, would you have made it out?

A Not a check.

Q Well, a warrant, a county warrant.

A If you'd say, "do this for me" I don't know.

Q And you're asking this Committee to believe that, Mr. Saenz?

A Pardon me?

Q Is there anything you'd like to correct on

1	П	
		У
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		В
8		
9		g
10		t
11		o
12.		
13		а
14		
15		E
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		t
21		0
22		
23		i
24		t
25.		

your testimony, sir?

- A No, sir.
- Q You're positive of that?
- A Yes, sir.

MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Doyle?

## BY MR. DOYLE:

Q Mr. Saenz, prior to the time you were in effect granted immunity and began to answer questions about these claims, had you ever discussed them with anybody other than the claimants?

A No, sir, I don't believe so. My wife, my attorney.

- Q Right. For instance, did you discuss Roberto Elizondo's \$225 a month with Arturo Zertuche?
  - A No, sir, I don't think so.
  - Q You never discussed it with the Judge?
  - A No, sir.
- Q Well now, in the morning when the Judge reads the newspaper he's going to find out about these deeds of yours, isn't he?

A No. He already knows about it. It's already in when those people said they were getting them and they hadn't signed them. It's been in the paper.

Q Well now, you and I are both aware that he

is the judge of the 229th Judicial District Court in the 1 State of Texas. Right? 2, That's correct. 3 Well, hasn't he discussed with you your 4 resignation? 5 Α No. sir. 6 Has he indicated to you that he was going to 7 dismiss you because of these misdeeds? 8 Α No. sir. 9 Q You don't anticipate being dismissed for this 10 activity? 11 I don't know what's going to happen, sir. 12 Well, Mr. Saenz, can you imagine any district Q 13 judge in the state of Texas keeping you on the payroll 14 after you telling this story up here to, oh, everybody 15 in the state of Texas? 16 I don't know, sir. 17 MR. DOYLE: No further questions. 18 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster? 19 BY MR. KASTER: 20 In line with what Mr. Doyle said, do you know Q 21 if the Judge knew, has knowledge of these deeds? 22 you say he already knows about them from reading in the 23 paper or in some way? 24 Α I imagine so. 25

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

Q You imagine so. But the Judge fires Mrs.

Montemayor because she had coffee with Judge Parr, and
yet he knows of these deeds you're doing and you're
still on his payroll. Is that correct?

A That's correct, sir.

MR. KASTER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney?

## BY MR. MALONEY:

Q Mr. Saenz, I'm just having a hard time understanding exactly how this would happen each month. Now, say the Commissioners' Court is going to meet next Monday. Do you sit down and start writing out these claims then for next Monday on these four people, or whoever it is you're going to put the claims in for this month?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you put them all in? You sit down, "I'm going to spend my next fifteen minutes writing claims here for people," and you write all your claims. Is that right?

A I do it any chance I had.

Q Well, I mean, if you're sitting down, you've got your claims pad out, and your notary seal, and your pencil, and your typewriter that you're going to write all these claims with, because you don't require anybody

25

to be there, is there any reason why you don't write them all out at the same time?

- A I would write the ones that were—
- Q Well, let's just take Patricio Garza, and Roberto Elizondo. Let's just take those two people that you're writing claims for, that you've already told us neither one of them ever signed the claim; you just filled them out to get them to Commissioners' Court on time?
  - A That's right, sir.
- Q Now, did you do those together, those two together each time?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q All right. Then, why is it on January the 5th, 1973, when you put in a claim for Patricio Garza you didn't put in a claim for Roberto Elizondo until January 8th, '73?
  - A (The witness shrugged.)
  - Q Why was it not the same day?
  - A I don't remember.
- Q Why is it on February 9th, '73, when you put in a claim for Patricio Garza, you didn't put in a claim for Roberto Elizondo until February 14th, '73?
  - A I don't remember, sir.
  - Q Why on March 9th, '73, when you put in a claim

I 2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

, 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

for Patricio Garza, you didn't put in a claim for Roberto Elizondo until March 12th, '73?

- I don't remember, sir.
- Why on April 6th, 1973, when you put in a claim Q for Patricio Garza, you didn't put in a claim for Roberto Elizondo until April the 18th, '73?
  - Again, I don't remember, sir.
- Why on May 11th, 1973, when you put in a claim Q for Patricio Garza, you waited until May the 14th to put one in for Roberto Elizondo?
  - Again, I don't remember, sir.
- Why on June 8th when you put in a claim for Q Patricio Garza, you didn't put in one for Roberto Elizondo until June the 12th?
  - I don't remember, sir.
- Why, when you put in a claim on July 6th, '73 Q for Patricio Garza, you waited until July 17th to put one in for Roberto Elizondo?
  - Again, I don't remember, sir.
- Q Why did you skip the months of August and September for Roberto Elizondo before you gave him another one in October?
  - Α I don't remember, sir.
- Well, is that the reason you had to be reminded Q all the time to get these claims in; you missed two months

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on Roberto Elizondo?

A I still say I do not remember, sir. I used to do it and that's—

MR. MALONEY: That's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is there any further

questions?

MR. HENDRICKS: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks.

MR. HENDRICKS: Would you recognize me

for a motion?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Let me ask what motion; you make before I pass on the decision.

MR. HENDRICKS: Mr. Chairman, I'm completely in accord with what the Committee has done regarding immunity from prosecution for a witness who will come up here and be truthful with this Committee, but I do not feel, and I do not think the Committee feels, that this witness has been truthful with us. I would certainly recommend that this Committee refer the matter to the Travis County Grand Jury for the purposes of contempt of this Committee and perjury.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Let's think outloud for just a moment on that, Mr. Hendricks.

Does the Committee Members think that there may be other areas of testimony that we've heard in the

last six weeks wherein we might want to also refer to the Travis County Grand Jury?

MR. MALONEY: Yes, sir.

MR. HENDRICKS: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm wondering if it wouldn't be better if we did it all at one time, if we're going to refer anything, and enumerate the areas specifically for the benefit of the district attorney.

MR. HENDRICKS: I'll withhold my motion at this time, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair would be inclined to take a motion at the proper time with respect to the perjury aspect of it. I'm not so sure that the contempt portion of it would be valid in view of our grant of immunity on it.

Are there any further questions of the witness?

(No response.)

Mr. Saenz, let the Chair make one statement to you, and I think it should be obvious to you and to your counsel that there are members of this Committee who have some degree of doubt concerning the truth of some of your answers here today, and without attempting in any way to prejudge what the Committee might do with respect to your testimony, as Chairman I feel compelled to suggest to you that you give some serious thought to

this testimony, and if any of the areas on which you've testified are not the truth, the Chair would certainly give you an opportunity to make any corrections in your testimony that you might want.

I may say this more for the benefit of counsel, Mr. Davila, than Mr. Saenz, because I think you could appreciate the importance of it. If you'll recall, the immunity is applicable only to the extent that the testimony is truthful, and it certainly doesn't cover the area of perjury at the time. That's a complete new offense if committed subsequent to the grant of immunity. So it could be you might want to confer with your client on it and advise him.

MR. DAVILA: Mr. Chairman, may I say something?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Davila? Yes, sir.

MR. DAVILA: I think that before Mr.

Saenz would have an intelligent opportunity to look at his testimony, we would have to get a transcript of his answers and questions. After he sees them, if he should see that he wants to change his testimony, then that would be the proper time to do so. But as far as letting him go on his own mind now as to what he wants to change or not, I don't think he can do that intelligently.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, it's a decision we

2.5

don't have to make right at this moment, Mr. Davila.

The Chair was just expressing out of a superabundance

of precaution, giving you opportunity to confer with him.

I'm not passing judgment on whether he's telling the truth or not. I think it should be obvious to you there are some doubters on the Committee, and it's those doubts, of course, that make for lawsuits, I suppose.

You will have opportunity tonight. In view of the fact that we are going to hear additional testimony tomorrow morning, in view of the fact that there are possibly in view of that testimony, there may be additional questions that we might want to ask Mr. Saenz, the Chair is going to instruct you, Mr. Saenz, to be back here again at ten o'clock in the morning. We may or may not have occasion to call you again tomorrow, but the possibility exists, and I would ask that you stand by and be here tomorrow morning.

A If I might say so, sir, I answered all of those questions to the best of my recollection. I do not have records of dates or anything. I answered what I thought was the truth, or, you know, what I remember.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, if you're satisfied with your testimony, then the Chair is not questioning you. That's all you can do, to do your best.

You may be excused now until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

Mr. Davila, thank you for your appearance here. You've been very helpful.

(The witness, Mr. J. H. Saenz, was excused.)

Members, we have no further witnesses this afternoon. There are three witnesses that are potential witnesses tomorrow morning: Hector Zertuche, Arturo Zertuche, and Ramiro Carrillo.

At our executive session during the break a moment ago it was the thinking of the Committee, as we discussed these matters with counsel, that we needed to do some additional work with the counsel in working on the specific Articles of Impeachment, and also in attempting to sort of review and organize our thinking for the remaining witnesses tomorrow. And the suggestion was made that the Committee meet tonight in executive session. If that is the will of the Committee, the Chair now has ten minutes until six. Should we meet at seven-thirty or eight? Eight o'clock? Is that too much time? Eight o'clock.

Ms. Weddington, also, one other matter we were discussing was to attempt to have everyone here tomorrow.

Do you know of any reason you could not be here tomorrow?

MS. WEDDINGTON: No. I will be here.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair will attempt to contact Mr. Slack. Has anybody seen him? Does anyone know if he is in Austin or not?

MR. JOHNSON: I will have him called.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Okay. Fine. If you'll take care of that, Bob, I'd appreciate it.

Is there anything further that we need to do at this point?

(No response.)

If not, is there any objection to an executive session at eight o'clock tonight? Any objection to an executive session?

The Chair hears none. We will meet in Executive Session here in the Supreme Courtroom.

Mr. Maloney moves the Committee stands recessed until eight p.m. tonight.

(Whereupon, at 5:55 p.m., the hearing was recessed to reconvene in public session at 10:00 o'clock a.m.. on Wednesday, July 16, 1975.)

## CERTIFICATE

1 2

3

THE STATE OF TEXAS

OF

TRAVIS

COUNTY

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

: 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

I, Walter H. Hickman, a Notary Public in and for Travis County, Texas , do certify that on the 15th day of July, 1975, the foregoing proceedings before the TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT were reported by me and that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and correct transcription of my stenograph notes.

GIVEN under my hand and seal of office this 18th day of July, 1975.

> Hickman, Notary Public in and for Travis County, Texas.